

# TOP 3V0-25.25 Reliable Exam Registration 100% Pass | High-quality Reliable Advanced VMware Cloud Foundation 9.0 Networking Exam Question Pass for sure



The study material is made by professionals while thinking about our users. We have made the product user-friendly so it will be an easy-to-use learning material. We even guarantee our users that if they couldn't pass the VMware 3V0-25.25 Certification Exam on the first try with their efforts, they can claim a full refund of their payment from us (terms and conditions apply).

## VMware 3V0-25.25 Exam Syllabus Topics:

Topic	Details
Topic 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Troubleshoot and Optimize the VMware Solution: This domain focuses on identifying and resolving NSX issues using VCF tools, troubleshooting infrastructure and routing problems, and understanding ECMP, high availability, and packet flows.</li></ul>
Topic 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Plan and Design the VMware Solution: This domain addresses NSX design including architecture, connectivity solutions, multisite deployments, NSX Fleet considerations, and optimization decisions based on given scenarios.</li></ul>
Topic 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• IT Architectures, Technologies, Standards: This domain covers foundational IT structural designs like client-server and microservices, implementation technologies such as containerization and APIs, and industry standards like ISO</li><li>• IEC, TOGAF, and security frameworks.</li></ul>
Topic 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• VMware Products and Solutions: This domain focuses on VMware's core offerings including vSphere for virtualization, NSX for software-defined networking, and vSAN for storage, enabling private and hybrid cloud environments.</li></ul>
Topic 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Install, Configure, Administrate the VMware Solution: This domain covers NSX implementation including deploying Federation, configuring components, creating Edge Clusters and gateways, managing VPC, stateful services, tenancy, integrations, and operational tasks.</li></ul>

>> 3V0-25.25 Reliable Exam Registration <<

## Useful 3V0-25.25 Reliable Exam Registration Covers the Entire Syllabus of 3V0-25.25

Selecting ITexamReview can 100% help you pass the exam. According to VMware 3V0-25.25 test subjects' changing, we will continue to update our training materials and will provide the latest exam content. ITexamReview can provide a free 24-hour online

customer service for you. If you do not pass VMware Certification 3V0-25.25 Exam, we will full refund to you.

## VMware Advanced VMware Cloud Foundation 9.0 Networking Sample Questions (Q50-Q55):

### NEW QUESTION # 50

An administrator has been tasked with providing a networking solution including a Source and Destination NAT for a single Tenant. The tenant is using Centralized Connectivity with a Tier-0 Gateway named Ten-A- Tier-0 supported by an Edge cluster in Active-Active mode. The NAT solution must be available for multiple subnets within the Tenant space. The administrator chooses to deploy a Tier-1 Gateway to implement the NAT solution. How would the administrator complete the task?

- A. Create a new Tier-1 Gateway in Active-Standby mode and attach it to Ten-A-Tier-0.
- B. Create a Tier-1 Gateway in Distributed Routing mode only and do not attach it to Ten-A-Tier-0.
- C. Create a new Tier-0 Gateway in Active-Standby mode and attach another Tier-1 Gateway.
- D. Change Ten-A-Tier-0 to Active-Standby to support the stateful NAT.

**Answer: A**

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed 250 to 350 words of Explanation From VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) documents:

In a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) environment, the implementation of stateful services-such as Source NAT (SNAT) and Destination NAT (DNAT)-requires a specific architectural configuration within the NSX component. This is because stateful services need a centralized point of processing (a Service Router or SR) to maintain the session state tables and ensure that return traffic is processed by the same node that initiated the session.

The scenario describes a provider-level Tier-0 Gateway running in Active-Active mode. While Active-Active provides high-performance North-South throughput via ECMP (Equal Cost Multi-Pathing), it does not support stateful NAT services because asymmetric traffic flows would break the session tracking. Rather than changing the Tier-0 to Active-Standby (which would reduce overall throughput for the entire environment), the architecturally sound approach is to offload the stateful services to a Tier-1 Gateway.

According to VCF design guides, when a Tier-1 Gateway is required to perform NAT for multiple subnets, it must be configured as a Stateful Tier-1. This involves associating the Tier-1 with an Edge Cluster and setting its high-availability mode to Active-Standby. Once the Tier-1 is created in this mode, it creates a Service Router (SR) component on the selected Edge Nodes. By attaching this Active-Standby Tier-1 to the existing Active-Active Tier-0 (Ten-A-Tier-0), the tenant's subnets can enjoy the benefits of localized stateful NAT while the environment maintains high-performance, non-stateful routing at the Tier-0 layer.

Option A is inefficient as it impacts the entire Tier-0. Option B is redundant. Option C is incorrect because a "Distributed Routing only" Tier-1 (one without an Edge Cluster association) cannot perform stateful NAT.

Therefore, creating an Active-Standby Tier-1 and linking it to the provider Tier-0 is the verified VCF multi-tenant design pattern.

### NEW QUESTION # 51

An administrator is troubleshooting an issue where workloads connected to a Tier-1 Gateway named T1-App can no longer reach external North/South destinations.

\* The Tier-1 is connected to an Active/Standby Tier-0 Gateway named T0-Prod.

Symptoms observed:

- \* VMs on segments attached to T1-App can ping each other.
- \* VMs on T1-App cannot reach any external IP outside T0-Prod.
- \* From a VM on the segment, ping to the T1-App Distributed Router (DR) IP succeeds.
- \* Ping from the VM to the T1-App Service Router (SR) fails.
- \* The Edge cluster hosting the T1-App SR shows both Edge nodes Up and Healthy.
- \* No failover has occurred - the same Edge node is still shown as Active for T1-App.

What is the most likely cause of this issue?

- A. The overlay network between DR and SR has an MTU mismatch.
- B. Route advertisement from T1-App to T0-Prod for 100.64.x.x/31 is disabled.
- C. Localized control plane is enabled on the Tier-1 causing the SR to remain admin-down.
- D. Static default route is missing on the Tier-1 DR component.

**Answer: A**

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed 250 to 350 words of Explanation From VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) documents:

In the NSX multi-tier routing architecture used by VCF, a Tier-1 Gateway is composed of two primary components: the Distributed Router (DR) and the Service Router (SR). The DR runs as a kernel module on every ESXi host in the transport zone, facilitating East-West traffic. The SR resides on the NSX Edge nodes and provides centralized services like North-South connectivity and stateful services.

Communication between the DR (on the ESXi host) and the SR (on the Edge node) occurs over a hidden internal segment known as the Router Link. This link is encapsulated in Geneve just like VM-to-VM traffic.

When a VM attempts to reach an external destination, the packet is first routed by the DR on the local host.

The DR then encapsulates the packet and sends it across the overlay to the TEP (Tunnel Endpoint) of the Edge node hosting the SR. If the MTU (Maximum Transmission Unit) is misconfigured on the physical network or the virtual switches, large encapsulated packets will be dropped. However, small packets (like pings between VMs on the same host) might still succeed. In this scenario, the fact that the VM can ping the local DR but cannot reach the SR

-and therefore cannot reach external networks-points to a failure in the transport between the host and the Edge.

If the Geneve-encapsulated packet containing the ping request to the SR's internal interface exceeds the physical network's MTU, it will fail. Since VCF 5.x/9.0 requires a minimum MTU of 600 (ideally 9000) for the overlay to account for the Geneve overhead, a mismatch anywhere in the fabric will break the DR-to-SR

"backplane" communication. This prevents the Tier-1 from passing any traffic to its Tier-0 uplink, effectively isolating the workloads from North-South traffic.

### NEW QUESTION # 52

Which two requirements are part of the registration process for Local Manager (LM) to a Global Manager (GM) in NSX for centralized management of network and security services across different workload domains deployed in separate locations? (Choose two.)

- A. The LM will validate the GM license to perform the GM registration.
- B. The LM Cluster VIP / FQDN is provided for GM-LM communication.
- C. The IP / FQDN of any of the 3 LM must be used for registration.
- D. The external load balancer VIP is used for NSX Managers without requiring node API certificate updates.
- E. The GM-Active requests the LM IP / FQDN and admin credentials for registration.

**Answer: B,E**

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed 250 to 350 words of Explanation From VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) documents:

NSX Federation is the architectural framework used within VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) to provide consistent networking and security across multiple sites. The core of this framework is the relationship between the Global Manager (GM) and one or more Local Managers (LMs).

The registration process is the critical first step in establishing this "parent-child" relationship. According to the "NSX-T Data Center Administration Guide" and Federation-specific documentation, the registration is initiated from the Active Global Manager.

\* Initiation and Credentials (Requirement E): The administrator logs into the Global Manager UI and navigates to the "System > Fabric > Locations" section. To add a new site, the GM-Active requires the IP address or FQDN of the target Local Manager and the Admin credentials. This allows the GM to authenticate with the LM, exchange security certificates, and establish a secure thumbprint-verified connection.

\* Stable Communication Endpoint (Requirement C): For the ongoing management and synchronization of "Global Objects" (like Tier-0s or Security Groups), the GM must communicate with the LM cluster as a whole rather than a single individual node. Therefore, the LM Cluster Virtual IP (VIP) or a FQDN pointing to that VIP is provided. Using the VIP ensures that if the specific LM node that initially handled the registration fails, the GM can continue to communicate with the remaining nodes in the LM cluster without administrative intervention.

Option A is incorrect because the Global Manager typically manages the licensing for the federation, not the LM validating the GM.

Option B is incorrect as an external load balancer is not a prerequisite for the native GM-LM registration handshake. Option D is incorrect because providing the IP of an individual node (one of the three) does not provide the high availability required for a production Federation environment. Thus, the use of the Cluster VIP and the GM-Active's request for LM credentials are the verified procedural requirements.

### NEW QUESTION # 53

An administrator must provide North/South connectivity for a VPC. The fabric exposes a distributed external VLAN across all ESX hosts. But, the only BGP peer to the core is on a VLAN only accessible on the Edge Cluster. Which design is required?

- A. Use a VPC Tier-0 Gateway in active/active mode with distributed eBGP peering.

- B. Deploy a Provider Tier-1 with BGP and connect the VPC Transit Gateway via route leaking.
- **C. Centralized Transit Gateway on the Edge Cluster.**
- D. Distributed Transit Gateway with an EVPN route reflector on the transport nodes.

**Answer: C**

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed 250 to 350 words of Explanation From VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) documents:

In a VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) environment utilizing the Virtual Private Cloud (VPC) model, North/South connectivity is managed by the Transit Gateway (TGW). The TGW acts as the bridge between the VPC-internal networks and the provider-level physical network.

The scenario presents a specific constraint: while an external VLAN exists across all hosts, the actual BGP peering point (the interface to the physical core routers) is restricted to the NSX Edge Cluster. In NSX terminology, when a gateway or service must be anchored to specific Edge Nodes to access physical network services—such as BGP peering, NAT, or stateful firewalls—it must be configured as a Centralized component.

A Centralized Transit Gateway (Option C) is instantiated on the Edge nodes. This allows the TGW to participate in the BGP session with the core routers on the VLAN that is only accessible to those Edges. The TGW then handles the routing for the VPC's internal segments. Traffic from the ESXi transport nodes (East-West) travels via the Geneve overlay to the Edge nodes, where it is then routed North-South by the Centralized TGW using the physical BGP peer.

Option A is incorrect because "distributed eBGP peering" would require every ESXi host to have peering capabilities, which contradicts the constraint. Option B involves EVPN, which is a significantly more complex and different architecture than what is required for standard VPC North/South access. Option D is an unnecessarily complex routing design that is not the standard VCF/VPC implementation pattern. Thus, the use of a Centralized Transit Gateway on the Edge cluster is the verified design requirement to bridge the gap between the overlay VPC and the localized BGP peering point.

#### NEW QUESTION # 54

An administrator is troubleshooting a BGP connectivity issue on a Tier-0 Gateway (Active/Active). The Tier-0 has the following configuration:

- \* Uplink VLAN 100: 192.168.100.0/24
- \* Uplink VLAN 101: 192.168.101.0/24
- \* BGP neighbors configured: 192.168.100.1 and 192.168.101.1
- \* A single static default route (0.0.0.0/0) exists with next-hop 192.168.100.1.

Symptoms observed on both Edge Nodes:

- \* Get BGP neighbors -> both neighbors stuck in Idle (Connect) - "No route to peer"
- \* Ping to 192.168.100.1 and 192.168.101.1 succeeds from the Edge nodes
- \* Get route shows the default route present only on VLAN 100 interface (fp-eth0), missing on VLAN 101 (fp-eth1) What is the root cause of both BGP sessions remaining in Idle state?

- A. The ToR routers do not have routes back to the Edge uplink interfaces.
- B. BGP authentication mismatch between Tier-0 and ToR routers.
- C. Multi-hop eBGP is required when using two VLANs.
- **D. The static default route Scope is set only to the uplink VLAN 100 segment.**

**Answer: D**

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed 250 to 350 words of Explanation From VMware Cloud Foundation (VCF) documents:

In VMware NSX networking, the Tier-0 Gateway's Routing Table (RIB) is the definitive source for determining how to reach BGP neighbors. A common point of confusion occurs when an administrator can "ping" a neighbor but the BGP state remains Idle or Connect with a "No route to peer" error.

This symptom specifically points to the "Scope" setting of a static route. In NSX, when a static route (such as the default route 0.0.0.0/0) is created, the administrator can define the Scope to be a specific uplink segment or interface. If the scope is set exclusively to the VLAN 100 segment, the Tier-0 Gateway will only install that route into the forwarding table for the Service Router (SR) component associated with the VLAN 100 interface.

Because the default route is the only path the Tier-0 has to reach non-local networks (or even other local subnets not directly attached), the BGP process for the neighbor at 192.168.101.1 (VLAN 101) checks the routing table for a path. Since the only available route is scoped strictly to VLAN 100, the Tier-0 determines it has "No route" to reach the neighbor in VLAN 101. BGP requires a valid entry in the routing table for the neighbor's IP before it will even attempt to initiate the TCP three-way handshake on port 179.

The fact that pings succeed is due to pings often being tested from the specific interface (e.g., ping

- [illegible]