

# **Pass Guaranteed Juniper First-grade JN0-364 - Download Service Provider Routing and Switching, Specialist (JNCIS-SP) Fee**



Juniper JN0-364 actual test questions have effective high-quality content and cover many the real test questions. Juniper JN0-364 study guide is the best product to help you achieve your goal. If you pass exam and obtain a certification with our Juniper JN0-364 Study Materials, you can apply for satisfied jobs in the large enterprise and run for senior positions with high salary and high benefits.

Purchasing a product may be a cautious thing for all of us, because we not only need to consider the performance of the product but also need to think about the things after purchasing. Our product will provide free demo for trying, and after you have bought the product of the JN0-364 exam, we will send you the product by email in ten minutes after we have received the payment. After you bought the practice materials for the JN0-364 Exam, if you have any question in the process of using, you can ask the service staff for help by email. Free update for having bought product is also available.

**>> Download JN0-364 Fee <<**

## **Free PDF Quiz Juniper - JN0-364 - Fantastic Download Service Provider Routing and Switching, Specialist (JNCIS-SP) Fee**

Are you still hesitating about how to choose excellent JN0-364 exam simulations? Our company UpdateDumps is engaged in studying valid exam simulation files with high passing rate many years. If you want to find valid JN0-364 exam simulations, our products are helpful for you. Stop hesitating, good choice will avoid making detours in the preparing for the real test. Our JN0-364 Exam Simulations will assist you clear exams and apply for international companies or better jobs with better benefits in the near future. Go and come to us!

## **Juniper Service Provider Routing and Switching, Specialist (JNCIS-SP) Sample Questions (Q16-Q21):**

### **NEW QUESTION # 16**

The MPLS Label Information Base (LIB) is stored in which table?

- A. mpls.0
- B. inet.0
- C. inet6.0
- D. inet.3

**Answer: A**

Explanation:

In Junos OS, the Routing Engine maintains several different tables to manage various types of reachability and forwarding information. When a router is running MPLS, it must track both IP routes and label-to-label mappings.

The mpls.0 table is the primary repository for the Label Information Base (LIB) and the Label Forwarding Information Base (LFIB).

According to Juniper Networks documentation, mpls.0 is used by transit and egress routers to perform label lookups. When a labeled packet arrives at an interface, the router looks at the top label and references the mpls.0 table to determine the next action. This table stores the mapping of incoming labels to their corresponding operations: Pop (remove the label), Swap (replace the label), or Push (add an additional label).

It is crucial to understand the roles of the other tables to avoid confusion:

\* inet.0 (Option D): This is the default unicast routing table for IPv4, used for standard IP-to-IP forwarding.

\* inet.3 (Option C): This is the MPLS Path Table. It stores the egress loopback addresses of LSPs and is used by BGP for next-hop resolution to determine if a destination can be reached via an MPLS tunnel.

While inet.3 knows about LSPs, the actual label-switching instructions reside in mpls.0.

\* inet6.0 (Option A): This is the default unicast routing table for IPv6.

Therefore, for the specific purpose of storing the label base used for transit switching operations, mpls.0 is the correct and only table used in the Junos architecture.

#### NEW QUESTION # 17

Exhibit:

```
user@R1> show route 10.16.2.0/23 exact detail
inet.0: 12 destinations, 12 routes (11 active, 0 holddown, 1 hidden)
10.16.2.0/23 (1 entry, 1 announced)
*Aggregate Preference: 130
Next hop type: Reject
Address: 0x8f3fd44
Next-hop reference count: 2
State: <Active Int Ext>
Age: 1:39:21
Task: Aggregate
Announcement bits (1): 0-KRT
AS path: I (LocalAgg)
Flags: Depth: 0 Active
AS path list:
AS path: I Refcount: 2
Contributing Routes (2):
10.16.2.0/24 proto Direct
10.16.3.0/24 proto Direct
Which destination IP address will be matched by the aggregate route shown in the exhibit?
```

- A. packets destined to 10.16.3.79
- B. packets destined to 10.16.1.214
- C. packets destined to 10.16.0.4
- D. packets destined to 10.16.4.183

**Answer: A**

Explanation:

In the Juniper Networks Junos operating system, aggregate routes are used to represent a group of more specific routes with a single, shorter prefix. This technique is essential for reducing the size of routing tables and minimizing the volume of routing updates sent to neighbors. According to Juniper technical documentation, for a destination IP address to "match" a specific route, it must fall within the range defined by the network address and its associated CIDR mask.

The provided exhibit shows a detailed lookup for the aggregate route 10.16.2.0/23. To determine the range of IP addresses covered by a /23 mask, we examine the binary representation of the third octet. A /23 mask means the first 23 bits are fixed.

For the address \$10.16.2.0\$:

- \* The first two octets (\$10.16\$) are fixed.
- \* The third octet (\$2\$) is \$00000010\$ in binary.
- \* The 23rd bit is the second-to-last bit of this octet.
- \* The \$/23\$ range allows the 24th bit (the last bit of the third octet) and all 8 bits of the fourth octet to vary.

This results in a range where the third octet can be either \$2\$ (\$00000010\$) or \$3\$ (\$00000011\$). Therefore, the aggregate route \$10.16.2.0/23\$ covers all IP addresses from \$10.16.2.0\$ to \$10.16.3.255\$. The exhibit further confirms this by listing the "Contributing Routes": \$10.16.2.0/24\$ and \$10.16.3.0/24\$.

Analyzing the provided options against this range:

- \* 10.16.3.79 (Option A): This address falls squarely within the \$10.16.2.0\$ to \$10.16.3.255\$ range.
- \* 10.16.0.4 (Option B): This address falls in the \$10.16.0.0/23\$ range (\$0.0\$ to \$1.255\$).
- \* 10.16.4.183 (Option C): This address falls in the \$10.16.4.0/23\$ range (\$4.0\$ to \$5.255\$).
- \* 10.16.1.214 (Option D): This address also falls in the \$10.16.0.0/23\$ range.

Consequently, 10.16.3.79 is the only destination listed that matches the aggregate route shown. It is also important to note the Next hop type: Reject in the exhibit; this means that if a packet matches the aggregate but does not match any of the more specific contributing routes, the router will drop the packet and send an ICMP unreachable message to the source.

### NEW QUESTION # 18

What are three default BGP advertisement rules? (Choose three.)

- A. IBGP peers advertise routes received from IBGP peers to other IBGP peers.
- B. IBGP peers do not advertise routes received from IBGP peers to other IBGP peers.
- C. IBGP peers do not advertise routes received from EBGP peers to other IBGP peers.
- D. EBGP peers advertise routes learned from IBGP or EBGP peers to other EBGP peers.
- E. IBGP peers advertise routes received from EBGP peers to other IBGP peers.

**Answer: B,D,E**

Explanation:

The Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) operates based on a strict set of advertisement rules designed to prevent routing loops while ensuring global reachability. These rules differ significantly depending on whether the relationship is External BGP (EBGP) or Internal BGP (IBGP).

1. EBGP Advertisement (Option A): In a standard EBGP scenario, a router acts as an exit/entry point for an Autonomous System. When an EBGP speaker receives a valid route from any peer (Internal or External), it will, by default, advertise that route to all of its other EBGP peers. This is the primary mechanism that allows prefixes to propagate across the global internet from one AS to another.

2. IBGP Split Horizon (Option D):

The most critical rule within an AS is the IBGP Split Horizon rule. To prevent loops within an AS, BGP dictates that a route learned from an IBGP peer must not be advertised to any other IBGP peer. This is why BGP requires a "full mesh" of IBGP sessions or the use of Route Reflectors to ensure all internal routers learn all routes. Without this rule, a route could circulate infinitely within the AS because IBGP does not update the AS\_PATH attribute.

3. EBGP to IBGP Propagation (Option B):

When a router learns a route from an EBGP peer, it is permitted to advertise that route to all of its IBGP peers.

This ensures that everyone inside the network knows how to reach external destinations. However, it is important to remember that in Junos OS, the BGP Next Hop is not modified by default when sending routes to IBGP peers, often requiring a "next-hop-self" policy to ensure internal reachability.

Options C and E are incorrect because they directly contradict these fundamental BGP loop-prevention and propagation mechanisms.

### NEW QUESTION # 19

Which BGP attribute is optional, transitive, and is passed unchanged to other BGP peers if not recognized?

- A. MED
- B. AS Path
- C. Community
- D. Origin

**Answer: C**

Explanation:

BGP attributes are categorized into four distinct types based on how they are handled by a BGP speaker: Well-known mandatory, Well-known discretionary, Optional transitive, and Optional non-transitive.

Understanding these categories is essential for traffic engineering and ensuring consistent policy across an Autonomous System. According to Juniper Networks technical documentation, the Community attribute is classified as an optional transitive attribute. The term "optional" implies that a BGP implementation is not required to support or recognize the attribute. However, because it is "transitive," if a Juniper router receives an update containing a community tag that it does not recognize or has no specific policy for, it must accept the attribute and pass it along to other BGP peers unchanged. This ensures that community-based policies can be signaled across intermediate ASes that may not be configured to act upon those specific tags.

In contrast:

\* Origin (Option A) and AS Path (Option B) are well-known mandatory attributes. Every BGP update must include these, and every BGP-compliant router must recognize them.

\* MED (Option D) (Multi-Exit Discriminator) is an optional non-transitive attribute. If a router receives a MED and advertises that route to an EBGP peer, the MED is typically stripped away (unless specific configurations like path-selection cisco-non-deterministic are used), as it is intended only to influence the immediate neighboring AS.

The Community attribute (defined in RFC 1997) is a powerful tool in Junos OS, often used for tagging routes to trigger specific routing policies, such as setting local preference or identifying the geographic origin of a prefix. By being transitive, it allows for sophisticated administrative control across complex multi-provider environments.

## NEW QUESTION # 20

How are routing loops prevented in internal BGP networks?

- A. Internal BGP routes are never readvertised to other internal BGP neighbors.
- B. Internal BGP routes are never readvertised to other external BGP neighbors.
- C. External BGP routes are never readvertised to other external BGP neighbors.
- D. External BGP routes are never readvertised to other internal BGP neighbors.

**Answer: A**

Explanation:

The prevention of routing loops within an Autonomous System (AS) is handled differently than loop prevention between ASes. While External BGP (EBGP) uses the AS\_PATH attribute to detect loops, Internal BGP (IBGP) does not modify the AS\_PATH. Therefore, a different mechanism is required to ensure that a route does not circulate infinitely inside the network.

This mechanism is known as the IBGP Split Horizon rule. According to Juniper Networks documentation and the BGP standard (RFC 4271), a BGP speaker must not advertise a route learned via an IBGP peer to any other IBGP peer. In simpler terms, "what is learned internally, stays local." This rule ensures that a route only travels one "hop" inside the AS—from the router that learned it from an external source to all other internal routers.

Because of this rule, IBGP routers do not naturally propagate routes through each other. This creates a requirement for a full mesh of IBGP sessions, where every BGP-speaking router in the AS must have a direct peering session with every other BGP-speaking router. To mitigate the scaling issues of a full mesh in large service provider networks, architects use Route Reflectors or Confederations, which are authorized exceptions to the Split Horizon rule.

Option B is incorrect because EBGP peers do advertise EBGP routes to other EBGP peers (this is how the internet works). Option C is incorrect because EBGP-learned routes must be sent to IBGP peers so the internal network knows how to reach the outside world. Option D is incorrect because internal routes must be sent to external peers to advertise your network to the internet.

## NEW QUESTION # 21

.....

You only need 20-30 hours to practice our software materials and then you can attend the exam. It costs you little time and energy. The JN0-364 exam questions are easy to be mastered and simplified the content of important information. The JN0-364 test guide conveys more important information with amount of answers and questions, thus the learning for the examinee is easy and highly efficient. So it is convenient for the learners to master the JN0-364 Guide Torrent and pass the JN0-364 exam in a short time.

**Practice JN0-364 Test Online:** <https://www.updatedumps.com/Juniper/JN0-364-updated-exam-dumps.html>

JN0-364 pass4sure test torrent may help you and solve your confusion and worries, Our perfect JN0-364 Question answers will be enough for the whole technical certification, The JN0-364 Questions are portable and you can also print them, Juniper Download JN0-364 Fee Winners always know the harder they work the luckier they are, If you still have no specific aims, you can select our Juniper JN0-364 pass-king torrent material.

