

100% Pass Quiz The Open Group - Accurate New OGEA-102 Test Papers



P.S. Free & New OGEA-102 dumps are available on Google Drive shared by Dumpcollection: <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1fH4FVDLeqx8su-Ms66oJ5LQI6z1V9zVJ>

OGEA-102 exam dumps are famous for high-quality, since we have a professional team to collect and research the first-hand information. We have reliable channel to ensure you that OGEA-102 exam braindumps you receive is the latest information of the exam. We are strict with the quality and answers of OGEA-102 Exam Materials, we can guarantee you that what you receive are the best and most effective. In addition, online and offline chat service stuff are available, and if you have any questions for OGEA-102 exam dumps, you can consult us.

The whole payment process on our OGEA-102 exam braindumps only lasts a few seconds as long as there has money in your credit card. Then our system will soon deal with your orders according to the sequence of payment. Usually, you will receive the OGEA-102 Study Materials no more than five minutes. Then you can begin your new learning journey of our OGEA-102 preparation questions. All in all, our payment system and delivery system are highly efficient.

>> **New OGEA-102 Test Papers** <<

Valid Braindumps OGEA-102 Files, OGEA-102 Pdf Pass Leader

As long as you insist on using our OGEA-102 learning prep, you can get the most gold certificate in the shortest possible time! Want to see how great your life will change after that! You can make more good friends and you can really live your fantasy life. Don't hesitate, the future is really beautiful! If you are still not sure if our product is useful, you can free download the free demos of our OGEA-102 practice quiz. It is easy and fast.

The Open Group TOGAF Enterprise Architecture Part 2 Exam Sample Questions (Q20-Q25):

NEW QUESTION # 20

You are working as an Enterprise Architect within an Enterprise Architecture (EA) team at a multinational energy company. The company is committed to becoming a net-zero emissions energy business by 2050. To achieve this, the company is focusing on shifting to renewable energy production and adopting eco-friendly practices.

The EA team, which reports to the Chief Technical Officer (CTO), has been tasked with overseeing the transformation to make the company more effective through acquisitions. The company plans to fully integrate these acquisitions, including merging operations and systems.

To address the integration challenges, the EA team leader wants to know how to manage risks and ensure that the company succeeds with the proposed changes. Based on the TOGAF Standard, which of the following is the best answer?

- A. The EA team should document the risks associated with the transformation in an Implementation Factor Catalog to inform decisions during implementation and deployment.
- B. The EA team should create a Business Scenario to fully describe the business problem that is being addressed by the transformation. Once requirements are identified, they should be evaluated in terms of risks. Any residual risks should be escalated to the Architecture Board.
- C. The EA team should develop Business Architecture views that demonstrate how stakeholder concerns are addressed and assess each factor for readiness, urgency, and degree of difficulty.
- D. The EA team should evaluate the company's readiness for change by identifying factors that will impact the transformation. These factors will be used to determine initial risks associated with the initiative.

Answer: B

Explanation:

In TOGAF, creating a Business Scenario is a foundational step in defining and understanding the business problem, especially for complex transformations involving multiple stakeholders and systems, such as in this scenario. This method aligns with Phase A (Architecture Vision) of the TOGAF Architecture Development Method (ADM). Here's why this approach is the most effective: Understanding Business Requirements: A Business Scenario provides a structured way to capture and analyze the business requirements, stakeholder concerns, and the contextual elements related to the problem. In this scenario, the company faces challenges in integrating newly acquired companies with existing operations, which includes complex stakeholder concerns across different functional areas. Developing a Business Scenario allows the EA team to break down these complexities into identifiable and manageable parts.

Risk Evaluation and Management: By using the Business Scenario approach, the EA team can not only define the requirements but also assess associated risks systematically. TOGAF emphasizes the importance of risk management through identifying potential risks, evaluating their impact, and defining strategies for handling these risks. The process includes assessing how risks can be avoided, transferred, or reduced—a necessary step in large-scale transformations to ensure that risks are proactively managed.

Residual Risks and Governance: Any risks that cannot be fully resolved should be identified as residual risks and escalated to the Architecture Board, which is aligned with TOGAF's governance approach. The Architecture Board's role in TOGAF is to provide oversight and make critical decisions on risks that exceed the control of the EA team. This ensures that unresolved risks are managed at the appropriate level of the organization.

Alignment with TOGAF ADM Phases: The Business Scenario approach directly aligns with the Preliminary and Architecture Vision phases of the TOGAF ADM, which focuses on establishing a baseline understanding of the business context and the strategic transformation required. The detailed understanding of requirements, stakeholder concerns, and risks identified here will guide the subsequent phases of the ADM, including Business Architecture and Information Systems Architecture.

TOGAF Reference (Section 2.6, ADM Techniques): TOGAF provides guidelines on the creation of Business Scenarios as part of ADM Techniques, highlighting the importance of defining a business problem comprehensively to ensure successful transformation. This method includes identification of stakeholders, business requirements, and associated risks, which aligns well with the company's need for strategic and systematic integration of new business units.

By utilizing a Business Scenario, the EA team ensures that all aspects of the transformation are well understood, risks are identified early, and residual risks are managed effectively, aligning with the company's strategic objectives and the TOGAF framework's guidance on risk management and stakeholder alignment.

NEW QUESTION # 21

Please read this scenario prior to answering the question

Your role is that of a consultant to the Lead Enterprise Architect in a multinational automotive manufacturer.

The company has a corporate strategy that focuses on electrification of its portfolio, and it has invested heavily in a new shared car platform to use across all its brands. The company has four manufacturing facilities, one in North America, two in Europe, and one in Asia.

A challenge that the company is facing is to scale up the number of vehicles coming off the production line to meet customer demand, while maintaining quality. There are significant supply chain shortages for electronic components, which are impacting production. In response to this the company has taken on new suppliers and has also taken design and production of the battery pack in-house.

The company has a mature Enterprise Architecture practice. The TOGAF standard is used for developing the process and systems used to design, manufacture, and test the battery pack. The Chief Information Officer and the Chief Operating Officer co-sponsor the Enterprise Architecture program.

As part of putting the new battery pack into production, adjustments to the assembly processes need to be made. A pilot project has been completed at a single location. The Chief Engineer, sponsor of the activity, and the Architecture Board have approved the plan for implementation and migration at each plant.

Draft Architecture Contracts have been developed that detail the work needed to implement and deploy the new processes for each location. The company mixes internal teams with a few third-party contractors at the locations. The Chief Engineer has expressed concern that the deployment will not be consistent and of acceptable quality.

Refer to the scenario

The Lead Enterprise Architect has asked you to review the draft Architecture Contracts and recommend the best approach to address the Chief Engineer's concern.

Based on the TOGAF Standard, which of the following is the best answer?

- A. For changes requested by an internal team, you recommend a memorandum of understanding between the Architecture Board and the implementation organization. For contracts issued to third-party contractors, you recommend that it is a fully enforceable legal contract. You recommend that the Architecture Board reviews all deviations from the Architecture Contract and considers whether to grant a dispensation to allow the implementation organization to customize the process to meet their local needs.
- B. You recommend that the Architecture Contracts be used to manage the architecture governance processes across the locations. You recommend deployment of monitoring tools to assess the performance of each completed battery pack at each location and develop change requirements if necessary. If a deviation from the contract is detected, the Architecture Board should allow the Architecture Contract to be modified meet the local needs. In such cases they should issue a new Request for Architecture Work to implement a modification to the Architecture Definition.
- **C. You review the contracts ensuring that they address project objectives, effectiveness metrics, acceptance criteria, and risk management. Third-party contracts must be legally enforceable. You recommend a schedule of compliance reviews at key points in the implementation process. You recommend that the Architecture Board reviews all deviations from the Architecture Contract and considers whether to grant a dispensation to allow the process to be customized for local needs.**
- D. For changes undertaken by internal teams, you recommend a memorandum of understanding between the Architecture Board and the implementation organization. If a contract is issued to a contractor, you recommend that it is a fully enforceable legal contract. If a deviation from the Architecture Contract is found, you recommend that the Architecture Board grant a dispensation to allow the implementation organization to customize the process to meet their local needs.

Answer: C

Explanation:

According to the TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2, an Architecture Contract is a joint agreement between development partners and sponsors on the deliverables, quality, and fitness-for-purpose of an architecture¹. It defines the scope, responsibilities, and governance of the architecture work, and ensures the alignment and compliance of the architecture with the business goals and objectives¹.

In the scenario, the Lead Enterprise Architect has asked you to review the draft Architecture Contracts and recommend the best approach to address the Chief Engineer's concern about the consistency and quality of the deployment of the new processes for the battery pack production at each location.

The best answer is C, because it follows the guidelines and best practices for defining and using Architecture Contracts as described in the TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2². It ensures that the contracts cover the essential aspects of the project objectives, effectiveness metrics, acceptance criteria, and risk management, and that they are legally enforceable for third-party contractors. It also recommends a schedule of compliance reviews at key points in the implementation process, and a mechanism for handling any deviations from the Architecture Contract, involving the Architecture Board and the possibility of granting a dispensation to allow the process to be customized for local needs.

The other options are not correct because they either^{2,3}:

A. For changes requested by an internal team, you recommend a memorandum of understanding between the Architecture Board and the implementation organization. For contracts issued to third-party contractors, you recommend that it is a fully enforceable legal contract. You recommend that the Architecture Board reviews all deviations from the Architecture Contract and considers whether to grant a dispensation to allow the implementation organization to customize the process to meet their local needs.: This option does not address the need to review the contracts to ensure that they address the project objectives, effectiveness metrics, acceptance criteria, and risk management. It also does not recommend a schedule of compliance reviews at key points in the implementation process. Moreover, it suggests that a memorandum of understanding is sufficient for internal teams, which may not be legally binding or enforceable.

B. For changes undertaken by internal teams, you recommend a memorandum of understanding between the Architecture Board and the implementation organization. If a contract is issued to a contractor, you recommend that it is a fully enforceable legal contract. If a deviation from the Architecture Contract is found, you recommend that the Architecture Board grant a dispensation to allow the implementation organization to customize the process to meet their local needs.: This option has the same problems as option A, and also implies that the Architecture Board should always grant a dispensation for any deviation, which may not be appropriate or desirable in some cases.

D. You recommend that the Architecture Contracts be used to manage the architecture governance processes across the locations. You recommend deployment of monitoring tools to assess the performance of each completed battery pack at each location and develop change requirements if necessary. If a deviation from the contract is detected, the Architecture Board should allow the Architecture Contract to be modified meet the local needs. In such cases they should issue a new Request for Architecture Work.: This option does not address the need to review the contracts to ensure that they address the project objectives, effectiveness metrics, acceptance criteria, and risk management. It also does not recommend a schedule of compliance reviews at key points in the implementation process. Moreover, it suggests that the Architecture Board should always allow the Architecture Contract to be

modified for any deviation, which may not be appropriate or desirable in some cases. It also implies that a new Request for Architecture Work should be issued for each deviation, which may not be necessary or feasible.

:

- 1: The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2, Chapter 3: Definitions and Terminology, Section 3.1: Terms and Definitions
- 2: The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2, Chapter 43: Architecture Contracts
- 3: The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2, Chapter 44: Architecture Governance

NEW QUESTION # 22

Scenario

Your role is that of an Enterprise Architect, reporting to the Chief Enterprise Architect, at a technology company.

The company uses the TOGAF standard as the method and guiding framework for its Enterprise Architecture (EA) practice. The Chief Technology Officer (CTO) is the sponsor of the activity. The EA practice uses an iterative approach for its architecture development. This has enabled the decision-makers to gain valuable insights into the different aspects of the business.

The nature of the business is such that the data and the information stored on the company systems is the company's major asset and is highly confidential. The company employees travel a lot for work and need to communicate over public infrastructure. They use message encryption, secure internet connections using Virtual Private Networks (VPNs), and other standard security measures. The company has provided computer security awareness training for all its staff. However, despite good education and system security, there is still a need to rely on third-party suppliers for infrastructure and software.

The Chief Security Officer (CSO) has noted an increase in ransomware (malicious software used in ransom demands) attacks on companies with a similar profile. The CSO recognizes that no matter how much is spent on education and support, the company could be a victim of a significant attack that could completely lock them out of their important data.

A risk assessment has been completed, and the company has looked for cyber insurance that covers ransomware. The price for this insurance is very high. The CTO recently saw a survey that said 1 out of 4 businesses that paid ransoms could not get their data back, and almost the same number were able to recover the data without paying. The CTO has decided not to get cyber insurance to cover ransom payment.

You have been asked to describe the steps you would take to strengthen the current architecture to improve data protection.

Based on the TOGAF standard, which of the following is the best answer?

- A. You would ensure that the company has in place up-to-date processes for managing change to the current Enterprise Architecture. Based on the scope of the concerns raised, you recommend that this be managed at the infrastructure level. Changes should be made to the baseline description of the Technology Architecture. The changes should be approved by the Architecture Board and implemented by change management techniques.
- B. You would request an Architecture Compliance Review with the scope to examine the company's ability to respond to ransomware attacks. You would identify the departments involved and have them nominate representatives. You would then tailor checklists to address the requirement for increased resilience. You would circulate to the nominated representatives for them to complete. You would then review the completed checklists, identifying and resolving issues. You would then determine and present your recommendations.
- C. You would assess business continuity requirements and analyze the current Enterprise Architecture for gaps. You would recommend changes to address the situation and create a change request. You would engage the Architecture Board to assess and approve the change request. Once approved, you would create a new Request for Architecture Work to begin an ADM cycle to implement the changes.
- D. You would monitor for technology updates from your existing suppliers that could enhance the company's capabilities to detect, react, and recover from an IT security incident. You would prepare and run a disaster recovery planning exercise for a ransomware attack and analyze the performance of the current Enterprise Architecture. Using the findings, you would prepare a gap analysis of the current Enterprise Architecture. You would prepare change requests to address identified gaps. You would add the changes implemented to the Architecture Repository.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed Step-by-Step Explanation

Context of the Scenario

The scenario highlights significant risks due to ransomware attacks and the need to strengthen the company's Enterprise Architecture to improve data protection and resilience. TOGAF emphasizes the Architecture Compliance Review as a mechanism for ensuring the architecture meets its objectives and addresses specific concerns such as security, resilience, and compliance with organizational goals.

The organization has already conducted a risk assessment but requires actionable steps to:

Address ransomware attack risks.

Increase the resilience of the Technology Architecture.

Ensure proper alignment with governance and compliance frameworks.

Option Analysis

Option A:

Strengths:

Highlights the need for up-to-date processes for managing changes in the Enterprise Architecture.

Recognizes the importance of governance through the Architecture Board and change management techniques.

Weaknesses:

The approach focuses solely on the Technology Architecture baseline but does not address the need for specific steps such as compliance review, gap analysis, or tailored resilience measures for ransomware risks.

It provides a broad and generic approach rather than a targeted plan for ransomware and data protection issues.

Conclusion: Incorrect. While it adheres to governance processes, it lacks specific actions to improve resilience and address the immediate security concerns.

Option B:

Strengths:

Proposes an Architecture Compliance Review, which is a core TOGAF process used to evaluate architecture implementation against defined objectives, ensuring it is fit for purpose.

Involves identifying stakeholders (departments) and tailoring checklists specific to ransomware resilience.

Emphasizes issue identification and resolution through structured review processes.

Weaknesses:

Does not explicitly address longer-term updates to the Enterprise Architecture, but this can be inferred as a next step following compliance recommendations.

Conclusion: Correct. This is the most suitable approach based on TOGAF principles, as it uses an established process to evaluate and improve the architecture's resilience.

Option C:

Strengths:

Includes monitoring for updates from suppliers to enhance detection and recovery capabilities, which is relevant to addressing ransomware risks.

Proposes a gap analysis to identify shortcomings in the current Enterprise Architecture and recommends addressing gaps through change requests.

Incorporates disaster recovery planning exercises, which are useful for testing resilience.

Weaknesses:

While thorough, the approach lacks the Architecture Compliance Review process, which is a more structured way to ensure the architecture meets resilience requirements.

Monitoring suppliers and running disaster recovery exercises are operational steps rather than strategic architectural improvements.

Conclusion: Incorrect. While it includes valid activities, it does not adhere to TOGAF's structured approach for architecture assessment and compliance.

Option D:

Strengths:

Proposes analyzing business continuity requirements and assessing the architecture for gaps, which is relevant to the scenario.

Suggests initiating an ADM cycle to address gaps, which aligns with TOGAF principles.

Weaknesses:

Focusing on initiating a new ADM cycle may be premature, as the immediate priority is to evaluate the existing architecture and address specific resilience concerns.

Does not mention compliance review or tailored resilience measures for ransomware attacks, which are central to the scenario.

Conclusion: Incorrect. It proposes a broader approach that may not adequately address the immediate concerns highlighted by the CSO.

TOGAF Reference

Architecture Compliance Review: A structured process used to evaluate whether an architecture meets the stated goals, objectives, and requirements (TOGAF 9.2, Chapter 19). It is particularly useful for identifying and addressing resilience requirements in scenarios involving security risks.

Stakeholder Engagement: Identifying and involving stakeholders (e.g., departments) is a critical part of architecture governance and compliance review (TOGAF 9.2, Section 24.2).

Change Management: The Architecture Compliance Review supports identifying necessary changes, which are then managed through governance and change management processes (TOGAF 9.2, Section 21.6).

By choosing Option B, you align with TOGAF's structured approach to compliance, resilience, and addressing security concerns.

NEW QUESTION # 23

Please read this scenario prior to answering the question

You are employed as an Enterprise Architect within a clinical research and health technologies company. The company is dedicated to transforming healthcare with new ideas and advancements. The company has multiple divisions that cover different aspects of the

business.

The company's Enterprise Architecture (EA) department has mature, well-developed architecture governance and development processes following the TOGAF standard.

In addition to the EA program, the company has a number of management

frameworks in use. The Architecture Board includes representatives from each division of the company. The Chief Information Officer (CIO) is the sponsor of the Enterprise Architecture program. The CIO has actively encouraged architecting with agility within the EA department as the preferred approach for projects.

Many of the company's rivals have begun using Artificial Intelligence (AI) in their operations, and the indications are that this will be transformative for healthcare delivery. This is something the EA department has been interested in for a while, and they had recently submitted an architecture Change Request which was approved. As a result, the CIO has approved a Request for Architecture Work to investigate the implementation of AI in the company.

Areas for evaluation include:

How can staff use AI daily in their current roles?

How can AI enhance access to care for patients, and how to make that experience seamless?

How can AI offer new workplace platforms and tools to increase efficiency?

Some of the top managers are worried about a change in the way of working, and if it will achieve the goals. Many are not confident that the company's risk management processes are adequate for a company-wide integration of generative AI. There are also questions from staff about whether enough specific guidelines and policies have been put in place for responsible use of AI.

Refer to the scenario

You have been assigned to the architecture development and asked how to address the concerns and manage risk for the project.

How do you begin?

Based on the TOGAF standard which of the following is the best answer?

- A. You recommend that an analysis of the stakeholders is carried out. This will allow the architects to define groups of stakeholders who have common concerns and include development of a Stakeholder Map. The concerns and relevant views should then be defined for each group and recorded in the Architecture Vision document. To mitigate risk, you include a requirement that there be progressive development of the target architecture to ensure there is regular feedback.
- B. You recommend creation of a simple solution concept diagram to show how the stakeholders will be impacted, and the benefits to the firm. You would also create a benefits diagram showing the various opportunities from adoption of AI-based solutions. A meeting should be held with the main stakeholders to review the diagrams. They can then decide the priorities and sequencing decisions for the architecture development. Risk will be evaluated when defining the Architecture Roadmap.
- C. You recommend that all the stakeholders be identified, and a Communications Plan created to address the most powerful and influential stakeholders. This plan should include a report that summarizes the key features of the architecture with respect to each division and reflects the stakeholders' requirements. You will check with each key stakeholder that their concerns are being addressed. Risk mitigation should be explicitly addressed as a component of the architecture being developed.
- D. You recommend that models be created for the Draft Business, Data, Application, and Technology Architectures. These can be used to minimize risk, and make sure that the system meets the local regulations for each division. Together with the problem description, and requirements, these should be included in the Architecture Vision document. A formal review should be held with the stakeholders to verify that their concerns are included in the Architecture Vision.

Answer: A

Explanation:

In this scenario you are right at the start of an ADM cycle: a Request for Architecture Work has been approved to investigate AI, and there are strong stakeholder concerns and risk questions. According to the TOGAF standard, the correct place to start is Phase A: Architecture Vision, with a strong focus on stakeholder management and capturing their concerns and required views.

Option A is the only answer that correctly reflects this:

Stakeholder analysis & Stakeholder Map (Phase A core task)

TOGAF explicitly states that in Phase A you must:

Identify stakeholders

Analyze and group them by common concerns

Use a Stakeholder Map to understand their influence, interest, and required engagement Determine which views/viewpoints are needed to address their concerns in the architecture description coe.qualiware.com+1 Option A says:

"analysis of the stakeholders ... define groups of stakeholders who have common concerns and include development of a Stakeholder Map. The concerns and relevant views should then be defined for each group and recorded in the Architecture Vision document." This is exactly how TOGAF describes stakeholder management and views in Phase A:

Stakeholder Map to classify and prioritize stakeholders

Concerns and required views captured and traced

These elements feeding into the Architecture Vision deliverable Visual Paradigm TOGAF+1 Concerns, views, and Architecture Vision TOGAF emphasizes that architecture views are constructed to address specific stakeholder concerns; you do not just build generic models. opengroup.org+1 Option A explicitly links concerns → views → Architecture Vision, which aligns with TOGAF

guidance for early phases.

Capturing this in the Architecture Vision provides a high-level, shared understanding of what the AI initiative is trying to achieve and how stakeholder issues (e.g., responsible AI, risk processes, change in way of working) will be addressed.

Risk management and "architecting with agility"

In the scenario, the CIO has encouraged architecting with agility. TOGAF is compatible with incremental and iterative development of the target architecture, especially when there is high uncertainty and risk. conexiam.com Option A includes:

"a requirement that there be progressive development of the target architecture to ensure there is regular feedback." This

"progressive development" and frequent feedback loop is exactly how you mitigate risk in an AI-heavy, change-sensitive initiative:

Frequent stakeholder feedback

Early validation of assumptions

Ability to adjust scope, constraints, and principles as risk and understanding evolve This directly addresses management's worry about the change in the way of working and whether risk management and responsible AI policies are adequate: these become explicit stakeholder concerns and requirements that are iteratively refined.

Why the other options are weaker / not TOGAF-aligned as a starting point Option B Focuses mainly on a Communications Plan and powerful stakeholders.

While TOGAF does expect a stakeholder communications plan, it is derived from a proper stakeholder analysis and Stakeholder Map, not a substitute for it.

It also treats risk as a "component of the architecture" rather than something to be addressed early through stakeholder concerns, principles, and iteration.

Option C

Jumps straight to a solution concept diagram and benefits diagram and defers risk evaluation to when the Architecture Roadmap is defined (Phase E).

In TOGAF, risk and stakeholder concerns must be addressed already in Phase A and refined throughout, not postponed to roadmap development.

Option D

Proposes creating draft Business, Data, Application, and Technology models and putting them into the Architecture Vision.

This is too detailed for the starting point: Phase A is about high-level vision, not full draft core architecture models (those belong in Phases B, C, D).

It also doesn't emphasize Stakeholder Mapping and grouping by concerns, which is central to resolving the worries about way of working, risk, and responsible AI.

In summary, Option A is the best and TOGAF-consistent way to begin:

Start in Phase A: Architecture Vision

Perform stakeholder analysis and create a Stakeholder Map

Define stakeholder concerns and relevant views

Record them in the Architecture Vision

Add an explicit requirement for progressive (iterative) development of the target architecture for continuous feedback and risk mitigation

NEW QUESTION # 24

Please read this scenario prior to answering the question

You are employed as an Enterprise Architect within a multinational company. The company has been very successful and has been buying companies around the world. This has led to a growing number of manufacturing divisions in various locations with a complex supply chain.

The top management recently expressed concerns about the company's effectiveness because of its multiple data centers and duplicate applications. The EA team has been working on a project to solve this issue. An analysis shows that supply chain issues have led to not enough products being produced to meet all the customer demand.

A strategic architecture has been defined to help meet customer demand and manage the supply chain more effectively. The strategic architecture involves combining different Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) applications that are currently used separately in the company's production sites.

Each division has finished the Architecture Definition documentation to address their own specific manufacturing needs. The Enterprise Architects have agreed an overall strategy for the migration. They have defined a set of work packages that address the gaps found. They have defined the intermediate architectural states between the Baseline and Target architecture to add a new ERP environment into the company.

Because of the risks posed by this change from the current environment, the architects have recommended that a phased approach should be taken to implement the target architecture with several stages of change. They have created a draft roadmap with the implementation process estimated to take over two years.

The company has an established Enterprise Architecture (EA) practice and follows the TOGAF Architecture Development Method. The company also uses various management frameworks such as business planning, project/portfolio management, and operations management. The EA program is sponsored by the Chief Information Officer (CIO). In your role as an Enterprise Architect within

the EA team, you work closely with the important stakeholders from the various divisions within the company.

Refer to the scenario

You have been assigned to plan the next steps for the migration. Which approach will you choose?

Based on the TOGAF standard which of the following is the best answer?

- A. You estimate the business value for each project by applying the Business Value Assessment Technique to prioritize the migration projects and project steps. The assessment should focus on return on investment and criteria for evaluating performance to track the progress of the architecture transformation. You would confirm and plan a series of Transition Architecture phases using a table of Architecture Definition Increments that lists the projects. You then update the Implementation and Migration Plan.
- B. You conduct a series of Compliance Assessments to check that the architecture is being implemented as required by the contract. This is done now to confirm that the implementation team is following the correct development process, and if not, so course correction is viable. This involves using monitoring tools and making sure that performance targets are being achieved. If the targets are not met, you would then need to make adjustments to the performance requirements and update them in the Implementation and Migration Plan.
- C. You finalize the Architecture Definition documentation with updates to reflect the implementation approach. You ensure that Implementation and Migration Plan is consistent with the chosen approach. You identify the resources needed to undertake the development projects. You would then produce an Implementation Governance Model to manage the lessons learned before finishing the plan. You ensure that the lessons learned are applied to the Implementation and Migration Plan.
- D. You will focus on project selection. You make sure that the Implementation and Migration plan aligns with the other management frameworks in use in the company. Next, you assign a value to each work package, taking into account the resources available and how they fit into the overall strategy. Using these work packages, you estimate resource requirements and timings. You then select which projects will be included in the Implementation and Migration Plan.

Answer: A

Explanation:

At this stage in the scenario:

A strategic architecture has been completed.

All divisions have completed their Architecture Definition Documents.

Work packages have been defined.

Transition Architectures between Baseline and Target are already identified.

A draft roadmap exists for a multi-year phased migration.

You are now asked to plan the next steps for the migration, which aligns exactly with TOGAF ADM Phase F: Implementation and Migration Planning.

In Phase F, TOGAF prescribes the following key activities:

Evaluate and prioritize projects and work packages

Determine business value, cost, risk, dependencies

Confirm Transition Architectures and sequencing

Update and finalize the Implementation & Migration Plan

Option B is the ONLY answer that correctly follows these required TOGAF steps.

✓ Why Option B is correct

Option B states:

"Estimate the business value for each project by applying the Business Value Assessment Technique ... to prioritize the migration projects." ✓ This is a TOGAF-recommended technique specifically for Phase F to evaluate and prioritize transformations using value, risk, and ROI.

"Confirm and plan a series of Transition Architecture phases ... using a table of Architecture Definition Increments." ✓ Exactly aligned with TOGAF:

Transition Architectures were identified earlier.

In Phase F, they must be confirmed, sequenced, and documented.

"Update the Implementation and Migration Plan." ✓ This is the required output of ADM Phase F. ✓ At this point, the plan must be validated and finalized based on value and prioritization.

Thus, Option B directly matches TOGAF's prescribed migration planning process.

✗ Why the other options are incorrect

A - Incorrect

Suggests finalizing Architecture Definition documentation-this was already completed by each division.

Introduces an "Implementation Governance Model," which is not a TOGAF artifact at this stage.

Focuses on lessons learned BEFORE execution, which is not appropriate for migration planning.

C - Incorrect

Focuses only on project selection and resource assignment.

Does not use TOGAF techniques for value/risk evaluation.

Does not reference Transition Architectures, which are central in the scenario.
Oversimplifies Implementation & Migration Planning to resource scheduling.
D - Incorrect
Compliance Assessments occur DURING execution, not before migration planning.
At this stage, no implementation has started, so compliance reviews are premature.
Adjusting performance requirements now has no alignment with TOGAF's ADM sequence.

NEW QUESTION # 25

.....

The The Open Group OGEA-102 PDF format is printable which enables you to do paper study. It contains pool of actual and updated TOGAF Enterprise Architecture Part 2 Exam (OGEA-102) exam questions. You can carry this portable file of The Open Group OGEA-102 Real Questions to any place via smartphones, laptops, and tablets. This simple and convenient format of Dumpcollection's TOGAF Enterprise Architecture Part 2 Exam (OGEA-102) practice material is being updated regularly.

Valid Braindumps OGEA-102 Files: https://www.dumpcollection.com/OGEA-102_braindumps.html

The Open Group New OGEA-102 Test Papers Also we provide one-year service warranty, The Open Group New OGEA-102 Test Papers Full refund services make your purchase more confident, Through years of marketing, our OGEA-102 latest certification guide has won the support of many customers, There are not only as reasonable priced as other makers, but our OGEA-102 study materials are distinctly superior in the following respects, In addition to that we bring out versions for our users of OGEA-102 questions & answers.

Key quote That has city leaders from Texas to California OGEA-102 waking up to the likelihood their sales tax decline isn't just a result of the bad economy, It's real money!

Also we provide one-year service warranty, Full refund services make your purchase more confident, Through years of marketing, our OGEA-102 latest certification guide has won the support of many customers.

Real OGEA-102 PDF Questions [2026]-The Greatest Shortcut Towards Success

There are not only as reasonable priced as other makers, but our OGEA-102 study materials are distinctly superior in the following respects, In addition to that we bring out versions for our users of OGEA-102 questions & answers.

- Latest Real OGEA-102 Exam Book OGEA-102 Free OGEA-102 Brain Dump Free Search for [OGEA-102] on ➡ www.dumpsquestion.com immediately to obtain a free download Latest OGEA-102 Exam Objectives
- Quiz 2026 Pass-Sure The Open Group OGEA-102: New TOGAF Enterprise Architecture Part 2 Exam Test Papers Search for ☀ OGEA-102 ☀ and download it for free immediately on ➡ www.pdfvce.com OGEA-102 Sample Questions Pdf
- Test OGEA-102 Vce Free OGEA-102 Sample Questions Pdf OGEA-102 New Dumps Free Download ➡ OGEA-102 for free by simply searching on 《 www.troytecdumps.com 》 Book OGEA-102 Free
- Latest Real OGEA-102 Exam Vce OGEA-102 Files OGEA-102 Exam Dump Download ✓ OGEA-102 ✓ for free by simply searching on (www.pdfvce.com) Book OGEA-102 Free
- Latest OGEA-102 Exam Objectives OGEA-102 Valid Exam Braindumps OGEA-102 Reliable Torrent The page for free download of OGEA-102 on ➡ www.practicevce.com will open immediately OGEA-102 New Dumps Ppt
- Book OGEA-102 Free OGEA-102 Sample Questions Pdf OGEA-102 Brain Dump Free Easily obtain > OGEA-102 < for free download through [www.pdfvce.com] OGEA-102 New Dumps Free
- Frequent OGEA-102 Updates Latest Real OGEA-102 Exam OGEA-102 Sample Questions Pdf Enter [www.vce4dumps.com] and search for OGEA-102 to download for free OGEA-102 New Dumps Free
- 2026 100% Pass-Rate New OGEA-102 Test Papers Help You Pass OGEA-102 Easily Simply search for ▶ OGEA-102 ◀ for free download on www.pdfvce.com Practice OGEA-102 Test Engine
- Free TOGAF Enterprise Architecture Part 2 Exam vce dumps - latest OGEA-102 examcollection dumps [www.exam4labs.com] is best website to obtain { OGEA-102 } for free download Online OGEA-102 Bootcamps
- Valid New OGEA-102 Test Papers - Passing OGEA-102 Exam is No More a Challenging Task ☀ Search for “OGEA-102 ” and download exam materials for free through ▶ www.pdfvce.com ◀ New OGEA-102 Practice Questions
- Frequent OGEA-102 Updates OGEA-102 Valid Exam Braindumps OGEA-102 New Dumps Free Easily obtain ✓ OGEA-102 ✓ for free download through ✓ www.pdfdumps.com ✓ OGEA-102 Reliable Torrent
- dl.instructure.com, giphy.com, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt

myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, bicyclebuysell.com, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, cl29996.kkairsoft.com, wjhsd.instructure.com, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
Disposable vapes

BONUS!!! Download part of Dumpcollection OGEA-102 dumps for free: <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1fH4FVDLeqx8su-Ms66oJ5LQI6z1V9zVJ>