

ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor퍼펙트최신버전덤프자료 최신인기시험공부문제



참고: ITDumpsKR에서 Google Drive로 공유하는 무료, 최신 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험 문제집이 있습니다:
<https://drive.google.com/open?id=18axXz3GmlDXbiwjdnxFzLJfW7j84aVLa>

ITDumpsKR는 아주 우수한 IT인증자료사이트입니다. 우리ITDumpsKR에서 여러분은PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor인증시험관련 스킬과시험자료를 얻을수 있습니다. 여러분은 우리ITDumpsKR 사이트에서 제공하는PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor관련자료의 일부분문제와답등 샘플을 무료로 다운받아 체험해볼 수 있습니다. 그리고 ITDumpsKR에서는PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor자료구매 후 추후 업데이트되는 동시에 최신버전을 무료로 발송해드립니다. 우리는PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor인증시험관련 모든 자료를 여러분들에서 제공할 것입니다. 우리의 IT전문 팀은 부단한 업계경험과 연구를 이용하여 정확하고 디테일 한 시험문제와 답으로 여러분을 어시스트 해드리겠습니다.

ITDumpsKR PEBC ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 덤프는PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor실제시험 변화의 기반에서 스케줄에 따라 업데이트 합니다. 만일 테스트에 어떤 변화가 생길다면 될수록 2일간의 근무일 안에PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 덤프를 업데이트 하여 고객들이 테스트에 성공적으로 합격 할 수 있도록 업데이트 된 버전을 구매후 서비스로 제공해드립니다. 업데이트할수 없는 상황이라면 다른 적중율 좋은 덤프로 바꿔드리거나 덤프비용을 환불해드립니다.

>> ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor퍼펙트 최신버전 덤프자료 <<

PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor퍼펙트 공부문제 - ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor최신 덤프자료

PECB인증 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor시험을 어떻게 패스할가 고민그만하고ITDumpsKR의PECB 인증ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor시험대비 덤프를 데려가 주세요.가격이 착한데 비해 너무나 훌륭한 덤프품질과 높은 적중율, ITDumpsKR가 아닌 다른곳에서 찾아볼수 없는 혜택입니다.

최신 AI management system (AIMS) ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 무료샘플문제 (Q13-Q18):

질문 # 13

Was the arrangement for assigning guides during the audit process appropriate?

- A. No, because guides must be independent of the auditee
- B. Yes, the arrangement was appropriate
- C. No, because every auditor must have a guide accompanying them
- D. No, because the auditee should not influence the guide selection process

정답: B

설명:

According to ISO 19011:2018, Clause 6.4.2, guides may be appointed by the auditee to assist the audit team in identifying individuals to be interviewed, providing access to sites, and ensuring communication. Not every auditor must have an individual guide, and the decision is typically made collaboratively between the audit team leader and the auditee based on the audit scope, complexity, and logistics.

The scenario describes that the decision was made in mutual agreement with the audit team leader, which complies with best practices.

Reference:

ISO 19011:2018, Clause 6.4.2 - Use of guides and observers

ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015, Clause 9.1.6 - Audit support from guides

PECB ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Auditor Study Guide - Section: Role of Guides in Audits

질문 # 14

Was the arrangement for assigning guides during the audit process appropriate?

- A. No, because guides must be independent of the auditee
- **B. Yes, the arrangement was appropriate**
- C. No, because every auditor must have a guide accompanying them
- D. No, because the auditee should not influence the guide selection process

정답: B

설명:

According to ISO 19011:2018, Clause 6.4.2, guides may be appointed by the auditee to assist the audit team in identifying individuals to be interviewed, providing access to sites, and ensuring communication. Not every auditor must have an individual guide, and the decision is typically made collaboratively between the audit team leader and the auditee based on the audit scope, complexity, and logistics.

The scenario describes that the decision was made in mutual agreement with the audit team leader, which complies with best practices.

Reference:

ISO 19011:2018, Clause 6.4.2 - Use of guides and observers

ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015, Clause 9.1.6 - Audit support from guides

PECB ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Auditor Study Guide - Section: Role of Guides in Audits

질문 # 15

Scenario 8:

Scenario 8: InnovateSoft, headquartered in Berlin, Germany, is a software development company known for its innovative solutions and commitment to excellence. It specializes in custom software solutions, development, design, testing, maintenance, and consulting, covering both mobile apps and web development.

Recently, the company underwent an audit to evaluate the effectiveness and compliance of its artificial intelligence management system AIMS against ISO/IEC 42001.

The audit team engaged with the auditee to discuss their findings and observations during the audit's final phases. After evaluating the evidence, the audit team presented their audit findings to InnovateSoft, highlighting the identified nonconformities.

Upon receiving the audit findings, InnovateSoft accepted the conclusions but expressed concerns about some findings inaccurately reflecting the efficiency of their software development processes. In response, the company provided new evidence and additional information to alter the audit conclusions for a couple of minor nonconformities identified. After thorough consideration, the audit team leader clarified that the new evidence did not significantly alter the core conclusions drawn for the nonconformities. Therefore, the certification body issued a certification recommendation conditional upon the filing of corrective action plans without a prior visit.

InnovateSoft accepted the decision of the certification body. The top management of the company also sought suggestions from the audit team on resolving the identified nonconformities. The audit team leader offered solutions to address the issues, fostering a collaborative effort between the auditors and InnovateSoft. During the closing meeting, the audit team covered key topics to enhance transparency. They clarified to InnovateSoft that the audit evidence was based on a sample, acknowledging the inherent uncertainty. The method and time frame of reporting and grading findings were discussed to provide a structured overview of nonconformities. The certification body's process for handling nonconformities, including potential consequences, guided InnovateSoft on corrective actions. The time frame for presenting a plan for correction was communicated, emphasizing urgency. Insights into the

certification body's post-audit activities were provided, ensuring ongoing support.

Lastly, the audit team briefed InnovateSoft on complaint and appeal handling.

InnovateSoft submitted the action plans for each nonconformity separately, describing only the detected issues and the corrective actions planned to address the detected nonconformities. However, the submission slightly exceeded the specified period of 45 days set by the certification body, arriving three days later.

InnovateSoft explained this by attributing the delay to unexpected challenges encountered during the compilation of the action plans.

Question:

Was the audit team leader's attitude appropriate regarding the new evidence provided by the company?

- A. Yes, auditors should consider the new evidence provided and modify their audit conclusion, if necessary
- B. No, auditors should consult with the certification body before making any decisions regarding new evidence presented after the stage
- C. No, auditors should not take into consideration new evidence or additional information after reaching audit conclusions

정답: A

설명:

Auditors must remain open to considering additional evidence- even if submitted late - as long as it is relevant and within the audit timeframe.

* ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.4.7 states: "The audit team shall reconsider audit conclusions in light of any new, relevant information received before the audit report is finalized."

* The Lead Auditor Guide reinforces: "Evidence-based decision-making must include post-audit review of any additional submissions before certification decisions are made." Reference: ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.4.7; ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Auditor Manual - Section 7 ("Handling New Evidence Post-Audit").

질문 # 16

According to the core element of 'Privacy and Security,' what is essential when developing AI systems?

- A. Enhancing the graphical user interface
- B. Increasing the efficiency of AI algorithms
- C. Ensuring the protection of personal data and system security
- D. Reducing the development time

정답: C

설명:

The Privacy and Security principle focuses on safeguarding personal data and ensuring the robustness of AI systems against security threats.

As outlined in ISO/IEC 42001:2023 - Clause 6.1.2 and 8.2.3, organizations must address data protection, cybersecurity, and access controls throughout the AI system lifecycle.

This is particularly relevant in contexts where AI systems handle sensitive or identifiable data, such as health, finance, or biometrics.

질문 # 17

Scenario 4: Finalogic leads the application of artificial intelligence in the financial services sector, which is used to improve risk assessment, fraud detection, and customer service. The company has implemented an artificial intelligence management system (AIMS) based on ISO/IEC 42001 to ensure operational quality, ethical AI use, regulatory compliance, and transparency, allowing for consistent oversight and structured governance.

This month, Finalogic is undergoing an audit to obtain certification against ISO/IEC 42001, a critical step in demonstrating its commitment to responsible AI. To evaluate Finalogic's conformity to the audit criteria, the audit team adopted a comprehensive, evidence-based approach. The gathered evidence ranged from analyses of unquantifiable information to analyses of samples related to determining the audit criteria-including internal reports generated by Finalogic's own AI system-which assert successful integration and compliance with the standard.

Additionally, presentations by the company's AI team during the audit highlighted the system's success in customer service enhancements and fraud detection, emphasizing improved efficiency, decision-making accuracy, and user trust. An evaluation report prepared by an independent third-party firm specializing in AI systems also provided an objective review of Finalogic's AIMS. It assessed the system's effectiveness, bias, and compliance through a thorough examination.

During the audit, the audit team applied the same level of effort and utilized the same techniques across all audit areas, regardless of their risk level. This strategy ensured a consistent and thorough evaluation of the AIMS, uncovering any latent weaknesses or inefficiencies that might otherwise go unnoticed.

Despite Finalogic's advanced AIMS and adherence to ISO/IEC 42001 for ethical AI practices, there remains a risk of AI algorithms inadvertently perpetuating bias or making inaccurate predictions due to unforeseen flaws in training data or algorithmic models. This could lead to unfair loan rejections or approvals, potentially causing financial losses or damaging the company's reputation for fairness and accuracy in its financial services. By acknowledging these risks, Finalogic remains committed to refining its AI governance, implementing bias mitigation strategies, and enhancing transparency to uphold its reputation as a leader in AI- driven financial services.

What type of audit is Finalogic undergoing?

- A. First party
- B. Internal review
- **C. Third party**
- D. Second party

정답: C

설명:

In the scenario, it is clearly stated that 'Finalogic is undergoing an audit to obtain certification against ISO /IEC 42001.' Certification audits are conducted by external, independent organizations and are classified as third-party audits. Definitions per ISO/IEC 17021 and ISO 19011 (referenced in ISO/IEC 42001):

* First-party audit: Internal audit conducted by or on behalf of the organization itself

* Second-party audit: Conducted by parties having an interest in the organization, such as customers or regulators.

* Third-party audit: Conducted by an independent organization (certification body) for the purpose of certification or verification.

In this context, Finalogic is engaging with an external auditor for certification to ISO/IEC 42001, which is the defining feature of a third-party audit.

Reference:

ISO 19011:2018, Clause 3.13 - Types of audits

ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 - Requirements for bodies providing audit and certification of management systems ISO/IEC 42001:2023, Clause 9.2 - Internal and external audit requirements PECB ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Auditor Study Guide - Chapter: Third-party Certification Process

Let me know when you're ready to proceed with Question No. 27. Question No. 27/80 Certainly! Below are the answers to Questions 27 to 30 from Scenario 4, each presented in the exact format you requested:

질문 # 18

.....

ITDumpsKR의 PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험자료의 문제와 답이 실제시험의 문제와 답과 아주 비슷합니다. 우리의 짧은 학습가이드로 빠른 시일 내에 관련지식을 터득하여 응시준비를 하게 합니다. 우리는 우리의 PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 인증 시험덤프로 시험패스를 보장합니다.

ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 퍼펙트 공부문제 : <https://www.itdumpskr.com/ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor-exam.html>

다른 사람보다 빠르게 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 인증시험을 패스하여 자격증을 취득하고 싶은 분은 ITDumpsKR에서 출시한 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 덤프로 시험준비를 하시면 됩니다. ITDumpsKR에서는 시장에서 가장 최신 버전이자 적중율이 가장 높은 PECB인증 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 덤프를 제공해드립니다. ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 퍼펙트 공부문제 - ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam 시험은 유용한 IT자격증을 취득할 수 있는 시험중의 한 과목입니다. PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 퍼펙트 최신버전 덤프자료 소프트웨어버전의 문제를 푸는 과정은 시험현장을 연상케하여 시험환경에 먼저 적응하여 실제시험에서 높은 점수를 받도록 도와드릴 수 있습니다. ITDumpsKR의 PECB인증 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 자료는 제일 적중률 높고 전면적인 덤프임으로 여러분은 100% 한번에 응시로 패스하실 수 있습니다.

대장로와 함께 온 장로들도 마찬가지, 잘 갔다 와요 은채 씨, 다른 사람보다 빠르게 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 인증 시험을 패스하여 자격증을 취득하고 싶은 분은 ITDumpsKR에서 출시한 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 덤프로 시험준비를 하시면 됩니다.

ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 퍼펙트 최신버전 덤프자료 최신 업데이트 버전 덤프공부

ITDumpsKR에서는 시장에서 가장 최신버전이자 적중율이 가장 높은 PECB인증 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 덤프를

제공해드립니다, ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam 시험은 유용한 IT자격증을 취득할 수 있는 시험중의 한과목입니다, 소프트웨어버전의 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 문제를 푸는 과정은 시험현장을 연상케하여 시험환경에 먼저 적응하여 실제시험에서 높은 점수를 받도록 도와드릴수 있습니다.

ITDumpsKR의 PECB인증 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 자료는 제일 적중률 높고 전면적인 덤프임으로 여러분은 100% 한번에 응시로 패스하실 수 있습니다.

그리고 ITDumpSKR ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험 문제집의 전체 버전을 클라우드 저장소에서 다운로드할 수 있습니다: <https://drive.google.com/open?id=18axXz3GmlDXbiwjdnnxFzLJfW7j84aVLa>