


InsuranceSuite-Analyst合格記 & InsuranceSuite-Analyst勉強方法



Learning Path Structure



InsuranceSuite Quality Analyst

Start your learning journey with Base curriculum, then continue learning more about the platform and product capabilities.



P.S. Tech4ExamがGoogle Driveで共有している無料かつ新しいInsuranceSuite-Analystダンプ：https://drive.google.com/open?id=1qvK70LHgWW3APv_yTYMWKDtkUUCUAI7

InsuranceSuite-Analyst学習教材を選択し、当社の製品を適切に使用する場合、InsuranceSuite-Analyst試験に合格し、InsuranceSuite-Analyst認定を取得することをお約束します。そうすれば、あなたは段階的に社会的影響力と成功の大きなレベルに前進するチャンスがたくさんあることに気付くでしょう。InsuranceSuite-Analystガイド急流は、InsuranceSuite-Analyst試験問題を確認できるコンサートを除外するために、すべての受験者に無料デモを提供することもできます。InsuranceSuite-Analyst学習ガイドがお気に召されると思います。

Guidewire特別で適切に設計されたInsuranceSuite-Analyst試験資料を所有しているだけでなく、想像を超えた幅広いサービスを提供できるため、人気があります。最初は、信頼できる制作チームがあり、InsuranceSuite-Analyst学習ガイドは何百人の専門家によって改訂されています。つまり、シラバスと最新の変更に応じて、オーダーメイドのInsuranceSuite-Analyst学習教材を受け取ることができます。理論とブレークスルーの開発。間違いなく、当社のInsuranceSuite-Analyst練習トレントは最新の情報に対応しています。

>> InsuranceSuite-Analyst合格記 <<

InsuranceSuite-Analyst勉強方法、InsuranceSuite-Analyst試験対策

数千人の専門家で構成された権威ある制作チームが、InsuranceSuite-Analyst学習の質問を理解し、質の高い学習体験を楽しんでいます。試験概要と現在のポリシーの最近の変更に応じて、InsuranceSuite-Analystテストガイドの

内容を隨時更新します。また、InsuranceSuite-Analyst試験の質問は、わかりにくい概念を簡素化して学習方法を最適化し、習熟度を高めるのに役立ちます。さらに、InsuranceSuite-Analystテストガイドを使用すると、試験を受ける前に20~30時間の練習で準備時間を短縮できることは間違いありません。

Guidewire Associate Certification - InsuranceSuite Analyst - Mammoth Proctored Exam 認定 InsuranceSuite-Analyst 試験問題 (Q67-Q72):

質問 #67

An analyst is preparing for a requirements elaboration workshop where the business has historically expressed a strong desire to retain many legacy system functionalities.

Which strategies should the analyst employ to follow best practices? choose two

- A. Review the project's strategic business objectives to align all proposed changes with value-driven goals.
- B. Prepare by thoroughly understanding the InsuranceSuite standard functionality and being ready to demonstrate it.
- C. Allow stakeholders to dictate solutions based solely on their familiarity with past systems.
- D. Focus primarily on technical feasibility, leaving value alignment for later project phases.
- E. Avoid consulting inception notes or previous workshop recordings, as they may contain outdated information.

正解: A、B

解説:

Comprehensive and Detailed Explanation:

In a Guidewire implementation, particularly when facing stakeholders attached to legacy processes, the Business Analyst must act as a "Consultant" rather than just an "Order Taker." The two most effective strategies to manage this dynamic are:

* Understand and Demonstrate Standard Functionality (Option D):

The Guidewire SurePath methodology emphasizes a "Standard-First" (or "Adopt before Adapt") approach. To effectively challenge a request to recreate a legacy feature, the analyst must deeply understand the Out-of-the-Box (OOTB) InsuranceSuite capabilities. By demonstrating how the standard product handles the business scenario (even if the process is different from the legacy way), the analyst can often convince stakeholders to adopt the modern, standard workflow, thereby reducing customization costs and future maintenance.

* Align with Strategic Business Objectives (Option A):

Legacy system functionality often includes "bloat"-features that were useful 10 years ago but no longer drive value. The analyst must use the project's Strategic Business Objectives (defined in Inception) as a filter.

When a stakeholder asks for a legacy feature, the analyst should ask, "How does this feature contribute to our goal of [e.g., Reducing Quote Time by 20%]?" If the request cannot be tied to a value-driven goal, it is easier to de-prioritize or reject it.

Why other options are incorrect:

- * E. Allow stakeholders to dictate solutions: This leads to "paving the cow path"-rebuilding the old system on new technology, which destroys the ROI of the implementation.
- * B. Focus on technical feasibility: Value alignment must happen before technical feasibility analysis; building a feasible but useless feature is waste.
- * C. Avoid consulting inception notes: Inception notes contain the scope boundaries and agreed-upon MVP definitions, which are critical leverage when rejecting out-of-scope legacy requests.

質問 #68

Which of the following are deliverables during the Inception Phase of a project? choose two

- A. Process Maps
- B. Estimated User Stories
- C. Detail Design Document (DDD)
- D. Conceptual Sprint Plan

正解: B、D

解説:

Comprehensive and Detailed Explanation:

The Inception Phase focuses on defining the project scope and planning the execution. The two primary deliverables that enable the project to move into the Development (Construction) phase are:

* Estimated User Stories (Option C): During Inception, the team conducts "Elaboration" workshops to define requirements as User Stories. Critically, these stories must be estimated (usually in story points) by the development team. Without estimates, the scope cannot be measured against the timeline.

* Conceptual Sprint Plan (Option B): using the estimates from Option C, the team creates a high-level roadmap (Conceptual Sprint Plan) that slots the user stories into specific sprints. This sets the expectation for what will be delivered when and defines the Minimum Viable Product (MVP).

Why other options are incorrect:

* A. Detail Design Document (DDD): This is associated with "Waterfall" methodologies (Big Design Up Front). In Guidewire's Agile methodology (SurePath), detailed technical design happens during the sprint, just before implementation, not as a massive document at the start.

* D. Process Maps: While Process Maps are created (often as part of the "Current State vs. Future State" analysis), they are typically considered inputs or supporting artifacts for the User Stories, rather than a primary "Phase Deliverable" in the same critical category as the Schedule (Plan) and the Scope (Backlog).

質問 #69

What are the likely impacts of unvalidated assumptions in the requirements-gathering process?

- A. Longer code reviews
- B. Requirements in conflict
- C. Increased unplanned downstream impacts
- D. Increased developer unit test defects
- E. Higher sprint velocity

正解: B、C

解説:

In Guidewire InsuranceSuite implementations, validating assumptions during requirements gathering is essential to delivering predictable outcomes and business value. Unvalidated assumptions often occur when analysts or stakeholders presume system behavior, business rules, or data availability without confirmation through elaboration, demonstrations, or stakeholder review. Two of the most common impacts of unvalidated assumptions are requirements in conflict and increased unplanned downstream impacts, making Options B and D the correct answers.

When assumptions are not validated, different stakeholders may interpret requirements differently. This frequently leads to conflicting requirements, such as incompatible workflows, contradictory business rules, or mismatched expectations across teams. These conflicts often surface later during development or testing, when changes are more costly to resolve.

Unvalidated assumptions also lead to unplanned downstream impacts. For example, an assumption about product behavior may later require changes to integrations, data models, or reporting. In Guidewire projects, such late discoveries can impact multiple components—rules, PCF, product model, and integrations—causing schedule delays and rework.

The remaining options are less directly related. Longer code reviews (Option A) and increased unit test defects (Option C) may occur indirectly but are not the primary or most likely impacts. Higher sprint velocity (Option E) is the opposite of what typically happens; velocity usually decreases due to rework and scope churn.

Validating assumptions early through elaboration, story huddles, and product demonstrations is a key Guidewire Analyst responsibility to minimize risk and protect delivery timelines.

質問 #70

Which of the following are types of integration mechanisms used with Guidewire products?

- A. Predefined plugins
- B. Aggregate services
- C. Web services
- D. Redefined plugins

正解: A、C

解説:

Guidewire InsuranceSuite is built to integrate with a wide range of external enterprise systems, making integration mechanisms a key concept for analysts to understand. These mechanisms enable data exchange and functional interaction while maintaining system stability and upgradeability.

The correct answers are Web services (Option B) and Predefined plugins (Option C).

Web services are a primary integration method used across Guidewire products. InsuranceSuite supports SOAP and REST-based services to exchange data with external systems such as payment processors, document management systems, rating engines, and third-party data providers. Web services are especially important when real-time or synchronous communication is required.

Predefined plugins are another standard Guidewire integration mechanism. Guidewire provides out-of-the-box plugin interfaces for

common integration needs, including address verification, document generation, financial systems, and messaging. These plugins define controlled extension points, allowing external systems to be connected without modifying core application code, which aligns with Guidewire's recommended implementation practices.

Redefined plugins (Option A) is not a recognized Guidewire integration mechanism. While plugins can be implemented or customized, "redefined plugins" is not a standard Guidewire term. Aggregate services (Option D) is also not a Guidewire-defined integration type and is more commonly associated with general service-oriented architecture concepts.

Understanding these integration mechanisms allows analysts to correctly document integration requirements and collaborate effectively with technical teams.

質問 # 71

A project team is considering rebuilding a complex claims calculation feature from their legacy system within the new Guidewire Cloud implementation, rather than leveraging the base InsuranceSuite functionality. Based on maximizing value principles, which two potential impacts are most likely to arise from this approach? (Choose two)

- A. Challenges with future Guidewire platform updates
- B. Increased ease of future Guidewire updates
- C. Improved system performance compared to base configuration
- D. Reduced implementation effort and cost
- E. Increased maintenance responsibilities

正解: A、E

解説:

One of the core principles of Guidewire implementations-especially on Guidewire Cloud-is to maximize value by leveraging base InsuranceSuite functionality and minimizing custom development. Rebuilding complex legacy features typically introduces significant long-term risks.

A primary impact is challenges with future Guidewire platform updates (Option B). Custom-built logic that diverges from standard Guidewire patterns may not be compatible with new releases, increasing the risk of upgrade failures, regressions, and extended downtime during upgrades.

Another likely impact is increased maintenance responsibilities (Option D). Custom calculations must be maintained, tested, documented, and updated over time. This creates ongoing operational overhead and dependency on specialized technical knowledge.

The other options are unlikely outcomes. Custom rebuilding rarely improves performance over optimized base functionality (Option A). It almost always increases, rather than reduces, implementation effort and cost (Option C). Ease of future upgrades (Option E) is reduced, not improved.

From a value-driven perspective, analysts should encourage reuse of Guidewire's proven capabilities and only pursue customization when there is a clear, measurable business benefit that outweighs long-term cost and risk.

質問 # 72

.....

この情報の時代の中に、たくさんのIT機構は GuidewireのInsuranceSuite-Analyst認定試験に関する教育資料がありますけれども、受験生がこれらのサイトを通じて詳細な資料を調べられなくて、対応性がなくて受験生の注意に惹かれなりません。

InsuranceSuite-Analyst勉強方法: <https://www.tech4exam.com/InsuranceSuite-Analyst-pass-shiken.html>

Guidewire InsuranceSuite-Analyst合格記 あなたの難しさを解決するために、試験の中心を指し示します、Tech4Exam InsuranceSuite-Analyst勉強方法を選択しましょう、国内および国際市場での人気と品質自体の両方で、他の種類の教材は InsuranceSuite-Analyst テストガイドと比較できず、はるかに劣っています、Guidewire InsuranceSuite-Analyst 合格記 あなたはどのぐらい今の仕事をしましたか、最近、Tech4ExamはIT認定試験に属するいろいろな試験に関する最新版の InsuranceSuite-Analyst 問題集を提供し始めました、InsuranceSuite-Analyst 学習資料に興味があり、Guidewire 無料でトライアル質問バンクをすぐにダウンロードして体験できます、Guidewire InsuranceSuite-Analyst 合格記 PDF版とソフト版の両方がありますから、あなたに最大の便利を捧げます。

心臓をバクバクさせながら晶を観察していると、あと晶がこっちを振り返った、しかし InsuranceSuite-Analyst、旦那様がリーゼロッテ様にお近づきになりたいと願ったとき エッカルトはゆっくりと部屋の中を見回した、あなたの難しさを解決するために、試験の中心を指し示します。

素敵な InsuranceSuite-Analyst合格記試験-試験の準備方法-信頼的な InsuranceSuite-Analyst勉強方法

Tech4Examを選択しましょう、国内および国際市場での人気と品質自体の両方で、他の種類の教材は InsuranceSuite-Analyst テストガイドと比較できず、はるかに劣っています、あなたはどのぐらい今の仕事をしましたか、最近、Tech4ExamはIT認定試験に属するいろいろな試験に関連する最新版の InsuranceSuite-Analyst 問題集を提供し始めました。

無料でクラウドストレージから最新のTech4Exam InsuranceSuite-Analyst PDFダンプをダウンロードする: https://drive.google.com/open?id=1qvK70LHgWW3APV_yTYMWKDtKUUCUAiM7