

Take a Leap Forward in Your Career by Earning Appian ACD301



BTW, DOWNLOAD part of Prep4sureExam ACD301 dumps from Cloud Storage: https://drive.google.com/open?id=1iueF4e61__blAh3CosACv4uSBNCNwnar

To lead a respectable life, our specialists made a rigorously study of professional knowledge about this ACD301 exam. So do not splurge time on searching for the perfect practice materials, because our ACD301 training materials are the best for you. We can assure you the proficiency of our ACD301 Exam Prep. So this is a definitive choice, it means our ACD301 practice quiz will help you reap the fruit of success.

Appian ACD301 Exam Syllabus Topics:

| Topic | Details |
|---------|--|
| Topic 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Extending Appian: This section of the exam measures skills of Integration Specialists and covers building and troubleshooting advanced integrations using connected systems and APIs. Candidates are expected to work with authentication, evaluate plug-ins, develop custom solutions when needed, and utilize document generation options to extend the platform's capabilities. |
| Topic 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Data Management: This section of the exam measures skills of Data Architects and covers analyzing, designing, and securing data models. Candidates must demonstrate an understanding of how to use Appian's data fabric and manage data migrations. The focus is on ensuring performance in high-volume data environments, solving data-related issues, and implementing advanced database features effectively. |
| Topic 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Proactively Design for Scalability and Performance: This section of the exam measures skills of Application Performance Engineers and covers building scalable applications and optimizing Appian components for performance. It includes planning load testing, diagnosing performance issues at the application level, and designing systems that can grow efficiently without sacrificing reliability. |
| Topic 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Platform Management: This section of the exam measures skills of Appian System Administrators and covers the ability to manage platform operations such as deploying applications across environments, troubleshooting platform-level issues, configuring environment settings, and understanding platform architecture. Candidates are also expected to know when to involve Appian Support and how to adjust admin console configurations to maintain stability and performance. |

2026 Appian ACD301: Appian Lead Developer High Hit-Rate Valid Braindumps

Three versions of ACD301 test materials are available. You can choose the one you prefer to have a practice. ACD301 PDF version is printable, and if you prefer to practice on paper, this version will be your best choice. You can print them into hard one, and take them with you. ACD301 Soft test engine can stimulate the real exam environment, and this version will help you to relieve your nerves. ACD301 Online test engine supports all web browsers, with this version you can have a brief review of what you have finished last time.

Appian Lead Developer Sample Questions (Q13-Q18):

NEW QUESTION # 13

An existing integration is implemented in Appian. Its role is to send data for the main case and its related objects in a complex JSON to a REST API, to insert new information into an existing application. This integration was working well for a while. However, the customer highlighted one specific scenario where the integration failed in Production, and the API responded with a 500 Internal Error code. The project is in Post- Production Maintenance, and the customer needs your assistance. Which three steps should you take to troubleshoot the issue?

- A. Obtain the JSON sent to the API and validate that there is no difference between the expected JSON format and the sent one.
- B. Ensure there were no network issues when the integration was sent.
- C. Send a test case to the Production API to ensure the service is still up and running.
- D. Analyze the behavior of subsequent calls to the Production API to ensure there is no global issue, and ask the customer to analyze the API logs to understand the nature of the issue.
- E. Send the same payload to the test API to ensure the issue is not related to the API environment.

Answer: A,D,E

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:As an Appian Lead Developer in a Post-Production Maintenance phase, troubleshooting a failed integration (HTTP 500 Internal Server Error) requires a systematic approach to isolate the root cause-whether it's Appian-side, API-side, or environmental. A 500 error typically indicates an issue on the server (API) side, but the developer must confirm Appian's contribution and collaborate with the customer. The goal is to select three steps that efficiently diagnose the specific scenario while adhering to Appian's best practices. Let's evaluate each option:

* A. Send the same payload to the test API to ensure the issue is not related to the API environment:This is a critical step.

Replicating the failure by sending the exact payload (from the failed Production call) to a test API environment helps determine if the issue is environment-specific (e.g., Production-only configuration) or inherent to the payload/API logic. Appian's Integration troubleshooting guidelines recommend testing in a non-Production environment first to isolate variables. If the test API succeeds, the Production environment or API state is implicated; if it fails, the payload or API logic is suspect.

This step leverages Appian's Integration object logging (e.g., request/response capture) and is a standard diagnostic practice.

* B. Send a test case to the Production API to ensure the service is still up and running:While verifying Production API availability is useful, sending an arbitrary test case risks further Production disruption during maintenance and may not replicate the specific scenario. A generic test might succeed (e.g., with simpler data), masking the issue tied to the complex JSON. Appian's Post-Production guidelines discourage unnecessary Production interactions unless replicating the exact failure is controlled and justified.

This step is less precise than analyzing existing behavior (C) and is not among the top three priorities.

* C. Analyze the behavior of subsequent calls to the Production API to ensure there is no global issue, and ask the customer to analyze the API logs to understand the nature of the issue:This is essential.

Reviewing subsequent Production calls (via Appian's Integration logs or monitoring tools) checks if the 500 error is isolated or systemic (e.g., API outage). Since Appian can't access API server logs, collaborating with the customer to review their logs is critical for a 500 error, which often stems from server-side exceptions (e.g., unhandled data). Appian Lead Developer training emphasizes partnership with API owners and using Appian's Process History or Application Monitoring to correlate failures- making this a key troubleshooting step.

* D. Obtain the JSON sent to the API and validate that there is no difference between the expected JSON format and the sent one:This is a foundational step. The complex JSON payload is central to the integration, and a 500 error could result from malformed data (e.g., missing fields, invalid types) that the API can't process. In Appian, you can retrieve the sent JSON from the Integration object's execution logs (if enabled) or Process Instance details. Comparing it against the API's documented schema (e.g., via Postman or API specs) ensures Appian's output aligns with expectations. Appian's documentation stresses validating payloads as a first-line check for integration failures, especially in specific scenarios.

* E. Ensure there were no network issues when the integration was sent:While network issues (e.g., timeouts, DNS failures) can cause integration errors, a 500 Internal Server Error indicates the request reached the API and triggered a server-side failure-not a

network issue (which typically yields 503 or timeout errors). Appian's Connected System logs can confirm HTTP status codes, and network checks (e.g., via IT teams) are secondary unless connectivity is suspected. This step is less relevant to the 500 error and lower priority than A, C, and D.

Conclusion: The three best steps are A (test API with same payload), C (analyze subsequent calls and customer logs), and D (validate JSON payload). These steps systematically isolate the issue-testing Appian's output (D), ruling out environment-specific problems (A), and leveraging customer insights into the API failure (C). This aligns with Appian's Post-Production Maintenance strategies: replicate safely, analyze logs, and validate data.

References:

- * Appian Documentation: "Troubleshooting Integrations" (Integration Object Logging and Debugging).
- * Appian Lead Developer Certification: Integration Module (Post-Production Troubleshooting).
- * Appian Best Practices: "Handling REST API Errors in Appian" (500 Error Diagnostics).

NEW QUESTION # 14

Users must be able to navigate throughout the application while maintaining complete visibility in the application structure and easily navigate to previous locations. Which Appian Interface Pattern would you recommend?

- A. Implement an Activity History pattern to track an organization's activity measures.
- B. Use Billboards as Cards pattern on the homepage to prominently display application choices.
- C. **Include a Breadcrumbs pattern on applicable interfaces to show the organizational hierarchy.**
- D. Implement a Drilldown Report pattern to show detailed information about report data.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

The requirement emphasizes navigation with complete visibility of the application structure and the ability to return to previous locations easily. The Breadcrumbs pattern is specifically designed to meet this need. According to Appian's design best practices, the Breadcrumbs pattern provides a visual trail of the user's navigation path, showing the hierarchy of pages or sections within the application. This allows users to understand their current location relative to the overall structure and quickly navigate back to previous levels by clicking on the breadcrumb links.

Option A (Billboards as Cards): This pattern is useful for presenting high-level options or choices on a homepage in a visually appealing way. However, it does not address navigation visibility or the ability to return to previous locations, making it irrelevant to the requirement.

Option B (Activity History): This pattern tracks and displays a log of activities or actions within the application, typically for auditing or monitoring purposes. It does not enhance navigation or provide visibility into the application structure.

Option C (Drilldown Report): This pattern allows users to explore detailed data within reports by drilling into specific records. While it supports navigation within data, it is not designed for general application navigation or maintaining structural visibility.

Option D (Breadcrumbs): This is the correct choice as it directly aligns with the requirement. Per Appian's Interface Patterns documentation, Breadcrumbs improve usability by showing a hierarchical path (e.g., Home > Section > Subsection) and enabling backtracking, fulfilling both visibility and navigation needs.

NEW QUESTION # 15

You need to connect Appian with LinkedIn to retrieve personal information about the users in your application. This information is considered private, and users should allow Appian to retrieve their information. Which authentication method would you recommend to fulfill this request?

- A. Basic Authentication with dedicated account's login information
- B. API Key Authentication
- C. Basic Authentication with user's login information
- **D. OAuth 2.0: Authorization Code Grant**

Answer: D

NEW QUESTION # 16

You are planning a strategy around data volume testing for an Appian application that queries and writes to a MySQL database. You have administrator access to the Appian application and to the database. What are two key considerations when designing a data volume testing strategy?

- A. Data from previous tests needs to remain in the testing environment prior to loading prepopulated data.
- **B. The amount of data that needs to be populated should be determined by the project sponsor and the stakeholders based on their estimation.**
- **C. Testing with the correct amount of data should be in the definition of done as part of each sprint.**
- D. Large datasets must be loaded via Appian processes.
- E. Data model changes must wait until towards the end of the project.

Answer: B,C

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

Data volume testing ensures an Appian application performs efficiently under realistic data loads, especially when interacting with external databases like MySQL. As an Appian Lead Developer with administrative access, the focus is on scalability, performance, and iterative validation. The two key considerations are:

Option C (The amount of data that needs to be populated should be determined by the project sponsor and the stakeholders based on their estimation):

Determining the appropriate data volume is critical to simulate real-world usage. Appian's Performance Testing Best Practices recommend collaborating with stakeholders (e.g., project sponsors, business analysts) to define expected data sizes based on production scenarios. This ensures the test reflects actual requirements-like peak transaction volumes or record counts-rather than arbitrary guesses. For example, if the application will handle 1 million records in production, stakeholders must specify this to guide test data preparation.

Option D (Testing with the correct amount of data should be in the definition of done as part of each sprint):

Appian's Agile Development Guide emphasizes incorporating performance testing (including data volume) into the Definition of Done (DoD) for each sprint. This ensures that features are validated under realistic conditions iteratively, preventing late-stage performance issues. With admin access, you can query/write to MySQL and assess query performance or write latency with the specified data volume, aligning with Appian's recommendation to "test early and often." Option A (Data from previous tests needs to remain in the testing environment prior to loading prepopulated data): This is impractical and risky. Retaining old test data can skew results, introduce inconsistencies, or violate data integrity (e.g., duplicate keys in MySQL). Best practices advocate for a clean, controlled environment with fresh, prepopulated data per test cycle.

Option B (Large datasets must be loaded via Appian processes): While Appian processes can load data, this is not a requirement. With database admin access, you can use SQL scripts or tools like MySQL Workbench for faster, more efficient data population, bypassing Appian process overhead. Appian documentation notes this as a preferred method for large datasets.

Option E (Data model changes must wait until towards the end of the project): Delaying data model changes contradicts Agile principles and Appian's iterative design approach. Changes should occur as needed throughout development to adapt to testing insights, not be deferred.

NEW QUESTION # 17

You are running an inspection as part of the first deployment process from TEST to PROD. You receive a notice that one of your objects will not deploy because it is dependent on an object from an application owned by a separate team.

What should be your next step?

- A. Create your own object with the same code base, replace the dependent object in the application, and deploy to PROD.
- B. Check the dependencies of the necessary object. Deploy to PROD if there are few dependencies and it is low risk.
- **C. Halt the production deployment and contact the other team for guidance on promoting the object to PROD.**
- D. Push a functionally viable package to PROD without the dependencies, and plan the rest of the deployment accordingly with the other team's constraints.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

As an Appian Lead Developer, managing a deployment from TEST to PROD requires careful handling of dependencies, especially when objects from another team's application are involved. The scenario describes a dependency issue during deployment, signaling a need for collaboration and governance. Let's evaluate each option:

A. Create your own object with the same code base, replace the dependent object in the application, and deploy to PROD:

This approach involves duplicating the object, which introduces redundancy, maintenance risks, and potential version control issues. It violates Appian's governance principles, as objects should be owned and managed by their respective teams to ensure consistency and avoid conflicts. Appian's deployment best practices discourage duplicating objects unless absolutely necessary, making this an unsustainable and risky solution.

B. Halt the production deployment and contact the other team for guidance on promoting the object to PROD:

myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, ncon.edu.sa, modestfashion100.com, Disposable vapes

BONUS!!! Download part of Prep4sureExam ACD301 dumps for free: https://drive.google.com/open?id=1iueF4e61__blAh3CosACv4uSBNCNwnar