

Pass Guaranteed Quiz 2026 XSIAM-Engineer: Palo Alto Networks XSIAM Engineer–Reliable Customized Lab Simulation



2026 Latest FreeCram XSIAM-Engineer PDF Dumps and XSIAM-Engineer Exam Engine Free Share:
<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1-tWq-6s70HPvtwWxQng4SVxnYfCnyG8r>

The Palo Alto Networks XSIAM Engineer (XSIAM-Engineer) practice questions are designed by experienced and qualified XSIAM-Engineer exam trainers. They have the expertise, knowledge, and experience to design and maintain the top standard of Palo Alto Networks XSIAM-Engineer exam dumps. So rest assured that with the Palo Alto Networks XSIAM Engineer (XSIAM-Engineer) exam real questions you can not only ace your Palo Alto Networks XSIAM Engineer (XSIAM-Engineer) exam dumps preparation but also get deep insight knowledge about Palo Alto Networks XSIAM Engineer (XSIAM-Engineer) exam topics. So download Palo Alto Networks XSIAM Engineer (XSIAM-Engineer) exam questions now and start this journey.

We have installed the most advanced operation system in our company which can assure you the fastest delivery speed on our XSIAM-Engineer learning guide, you can get immediately our XSIAM-Engineer training materials only within five to ten minutes after purchase after payment. At the same time, there is really no need for you to worry about your personal information if you choose to buy the XSIAM-Engineer Exam Practice from our company.

>> Customized XSIAM-Engineer Lab Simulation <<

Efficient and Convenient Preparation with FreeCram's Updated XSIAM-Engineer Exam Questions

Our Palo Alto Networks XSIAM-Engineer Exam Dumps effect in helping candidates' certification exam. Original questions are also important. These would provide a forum where certification training can be carried on. Our dumps torrent is perfect and practice test is also the latest. After you purchase our product, we offer free update service for one year.

Palo Alto Networks XSIAM Engineer Sample Questions (Q342-Q347):

NEW QUESTION # 342

A highly critical zero-day exploit has been identified, and your XSIAM tenant has just received a new detection rule update for it. However, during initial testing in a controlled environment, you observe that this new rule is generating false positives when specific legitimate internal diagnostic tools are run, triggering an alert with 'Alert Name: Critical_Exploit_Attempt_CVE-2023-XYZ'. You need to immediately prevent these specific false positives from escalating within XSIAM's alert lifecycle while ensuring the rule remains active for actual malicious activities. What is the most effective and recommended XSIAM configuration to achieve this, considering the high criticality of the actual exploit?

- A. Lower the severity of the 'Critical_Exploit_Attempt_CVE-2023-XYZ' alert to 'Informational' globally until the false positive issue is resolved.
- B. Develop a new 'Suppression Rule' in 'Alert Management' that matches 'alert_name = AND 'destination_port= '8080' (where the diagnostic tool communicates) and set its action to 'Drop Alert'.
- C. Temporarily disable the 'Critical_Exploit_Attempt_CVE-2023-XYZ' detection rule until a more refined version is released by Palo Alto Networks.
- D. Implement an XSOAR playbook that automatically closes any incident with 'Critical_Exploit_Attempt_CVE-2023-XYZ' if the associated host belongs to a specific 'diagnostic_servers' asset group.
- E. Create an 'Exclusion' within the relevant 'Detection Rule' settings, specifying conditions unique to the legitimate diagnostic tools (e.g., 'process_name = 'diag_tool.exe' AND 'user_name = 'admin_user') for the 'Critical_Exploit_Attempt_CVE-2023-XYZ' rule.

Answer: E

Explanation:

Option B is the most effective. 'Exclusions' directly within the 'Detection Rule' configuration allow you to define conditions under which the rule should NOT generate an alert. This is precisely designed for false positive suppression. By specifying conditions unique to the legitimate activity (like process name and user), you prevent specific false positives while allowing the rule to detect actual threats. Option A lowers severity globally, which is dangerous for a critical exploit. Option C (Suppression Rule) acts on alerts after they are generated, whereas Exclusion prevents them from being generated in the first place, which is more efficient for known false positives. Option D creates a major security gap. Option E (XSOAR playbook) can be used for post-alert automation, but an Exclusion is more direct and efficient for preventing the alert generation itself.

NEW QUESTION # 343

A critical XSIAM Broker VM is deployed in a hardened environment with strict outbound proxy requirements, including certificate inspection. After a Broker VM firmware update, the VM loses its ability to connect to the XSIAM cloud, and the XSIAM console reports 'Broker VM Offline'. The network team confirms proxy reachability. Analysis of the Broker VM's system logs reveals TLS handshake errors related to untrusted certificates. Which of the following is the most probable cause, and what configuration element on the Broker VM likely requires immediate attention?

- A. The Broker VM's network interface configuration was reset, causing it to lose its default gateway. Reconfigure the network settings.
- B. The Broker VM's internal clock (NTP) is out of sync, causing certificate validation failures due to time discrepancies. Resynchronize NTP on the Broker VM.
- C. The Broker VM firmware update overwrote or corrupted the custom trusted CA certificates required to trust the proxy's inspection certificate. The proxy's root CA certificate needs to be re-imported into the Broker VM's trust store.
- D. The XSIAM cloud-side certificate has expired, and all Broker VMS are affected. This requires Palo Alto Networks intervention.
- E. The proxy authentication credentials stored on the Broker VM were cleared during the update. Reconfigure the proxy username and password.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The key indicators are 'TLS handshake errors related to untrusted certificates' and the context of a 'hardened environment with strict outbound proxy requirements, including certificate inspection.' In such environments, the proxy often performs SSL/TLS decryption and re-encryption, presenting its own certificate to the Broker VM. For the Broker VM to trust this proxy-generated certificate, the proxy's root CA certificate must be imported into the Broker VM's trusted certificate store. A firmware update can sometimes reset or affect these custom configurations. Options A, C, and D are less direct fits for the specific error message. Option E would affect all Broker VMs, not just one after an update.

NEW QUESTION # 344

A critical XSIAM use case involves detecting account compromise by correlating failed login attempts from unusual geographic locations with successful logins shortly after. The raw 'Authentication' logs provide 'source ip', 'username', and 'authentication status'. The existing content optimization rules map 'authentication status' to 'success' or 'failure'. However, the 'source ip' needs to be enriched with accurate geo-location, and then this geo-location information needs to be available for fast correlation queries. Due to the high volume of logs, any solution must prioritize ingestion-time processing to minimize query-time overhead. Which data modeling strategy is optimal?

- A. Implement an XSIAM 'enrichment rule' that conditionally enriches 'source_ip' with 'country' and 'city' from a pre-loaded external geo-IP dataset only for failed
- B. Create a 'derived dataset' from 'Authentication' logs where each event is enriched with 'country' and 'city' from 'source_ip' at the time of derived dataset creation. Configure this derived dataset to be materialized and indexed. Then, build correlation rules against this materialized dataset.
- C. Utilize an XSIAM 'normalization rule' to standardize 'source_ip' to a canonical format. Then, configure a 'lookup list' of suspicious countries. During query time, filter 'Authentication' events where 'authentication_status' is 'failure' and 'source_ip' matches an entry in the lookup list, then correlate manually.
- D. Develop a custom XQL function to perform real-time geo-IP lookup on 'source_ip' during query execution. Define a 'correlation rule' that calls this XQL function for both 'failed' and 'successful' logins and compares the returned geo-locations.
- E. At ingestion, use a content rule to extract 'country' and 'city' from 'source_ip' using an internal geo-IP database, storing them as new fields. Subsequently, create a query-time correlation rule that joins 'Authentication' events based on 'username' and compares the extracted 'country' field for 'failure' and 'success' events.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The key constraints are 'high volume of logs' and 'prioritize ingestion-time processing to minimize query-time overhead' for fast correlation. Option D: Creating a 'derived dataset' that is enriched at its creation time (which is an ingestion-time or pre-query-time process) and then materialized and indexed is the most optimal strategy. This ensures that the 'country' and 'city' fields are already present and indexed in the derived dataset before any correlation queries run, eliminating real-time geo-IP lookups or joins during querying. Correlation rules can then run extremely efficiently against this pre-processed and indexed data. Why others are less optimal: - Option A performs geo-IP lookup at ingestion but then relies on a 'query-time correlation rule' that explicitly states 'joins', which might still introduce overhead, although less than real-time lookups. The direct materialization in D is superior. - Option B only enriches failed logins, making correlation with successful logins by location impossible unless the successful ones are also enriched. The ML rule is a separate step, not directly solving the correlation of failed/successful by geo-IP. - Option C uses a query-time lookup list and manual correlation, which is inefficient for high volume and lacks automated correlation. - Option E explicitly suggests a 'custom XQL function to perform real-time geo-IP lookup during query execution'. This directly contradicts the requirement to 'minimize query-time overhead' and would be highly inefficient for high-volume data.

NEW QUESTION # 345

Consider an XSIAM environment where a custom application, crucial for business operations, resides on an endpoint with stringent network egress policies (only allowing specific ports/protocols to whitelisted destinations). This application generates unique security events that need to be ingested by XSIAM. The Cortex XDR agent is already deployed on the endpoint, but the application's logs are not part of the standard XDR telemetry. How would an XSIAM engineer reliably and securely onboard these custom application logs, ensuring compliance with network egress policies, and making them available for correlation with other endpoint and network data?

- A. Export the application logs daily to a shared network drive, and then use a separate XSIAM Data Collector deployed in the network to periodically ingest these files.
- B. Implement an XSIAM HTTP Event Collector (HEC) on a dedicated server in the DMZ. Configure the application to send logs to the HEC via HTTPS, and whitelist the HEC server's IP and port in the egress policy.
- C. Develop a custom script on the endpoint that reads the application logs and pushes them to a local HTTP endpoint. A separate service on the XSIAM Broker VM would then pull these logs via HTTP.
- D. Modify the XDR agent configuration to include the custom application log file path for collection. The XDR agent will then automatically forward these logs securely through its existing communication channels to XSIAM.
- E. Configure the custom application to send its logs via syslog directly to an XSIAM Broker VM. Ensure the Broker VM's IP and syslog port are whitelisted in the endpoint's egress policy.

Answer: D,E

Explanation:

This question seeks methods for ingesting custom application logs from a highly restricted endpoint into XSIAM, leveraging existing Palo Alto Networks components or standard secure methods. Option A (Correct): The Cortex XDR agent has a feature to collect custom log files. By modifying the XDR agent configuration to include the path to the custom application's log files, the agent can ingest these logs. The XDR agent already has established and secure communication channels (typically HTTPS) to the Cortex XDR/XSIAM cloud, which would likely already be whitelisted by the endpoint's egress policy. This is the most integrated and often simplest solution as it reuses existing infrastructure and secure channels. Option B (Correct): Configuring the custom application (or a local log forwarder like rsyslog/syslog-ng on the endpoint) to send syslog data to an XSIAM Broker VM is a viable and common method for ingesting diverse logs from on-premise sources. The Broker VM acts as a secure intermediary. The crucial part here is

ensuring the Broker VM's IP address and the specific syslog port (e.g., UDP 514 or TCP 601) are explicitly whitelisted in the endpoint's network egress policy. This respects the security constraints while enabling ingestion. Option C: This introduces unnecessary complexity with a custom HTTP endpoint and a pulling mechanism, when more direct methods exist. Option D: Daily export introduces significant latency, which is undesirable for security events requiring real-time correlation. Option E: While an HEC can work, setting up a dedicated server in the DMZ specifically for one application's logs might be overkill, especially when the XDR agent or Broker VM offers more integrated solutions. Also, the endpoint would still need to egress to the DMZ HEC.

NEW QUESTION # 346

You are troubleshooting a scenario where a large number of XSIAM agents suddenly report 'Disconnected' status. Upon reviewing the XSIAM audit logs, you notice a recent entry indicating a change to the 'Agent Deployment Profile' named 'Default-Profile', specifically 'Removed: Collector IP Address X.X.X.X'. However, this IP address is still valid and reachable. Which of the following is the most likely reason for the widespread agent disconnection?

- A. The XSIAM tenant's public IP address range for collector endpoints has changed, and agents are trying to connect to an outdated, removed entry in their profile.
- B. The agents received an 'empty' profile update due to a network glitch, causing them to lose all configuration.
- C. An administrator inadvertently removed a primary or active collector IP from the 'Default-Profile', causing agents to lose their primary connection target.
- D. The 'Removed: Collector IP Address' entry indicates that this specific collector was deprecated and agents are trying to connect to it.
- E. A new Agent Deployment Profile was assigned to all affected agents, and the 'Default-Profile' changes are irrelevant.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The key here is 'Removed: Collector IP Address X.X.X.X' in the audit logs for the 'Default-Profile' and widespread agent disconnection. This strongly indicates that an administrator removed a critical collector IP address that a large number of agents were relying on (D). Even if the IP is 'valid and reachable' externally, if it's no longer configured as a valid collector in the profile pushed to agents, they will fail to connect. Options A is incorrect because the audit log specifically mentions a change to 'Default-Profile' that would affect many agents. Option B is unlikely without a corresponding deprecation notice or automatic update mechanism from Palo Alto Networks that would gracefully handle such a change. Option C is a possibility, but the audit log points to a specific configuration change initiated by an administrator, not a cloud-side infrastructure change. Option E is less likely; a network glitch might prevent an update, but not cause a specific 'Removed' entry in the audit logs that leads to widespread disconnection.

NEW QUESTION # 347

.....

Various study forms are good for boosting learning interests. So our company has taken all customers' requirements into account. Now we have PDF version, windows software and online engine of the XSIAM-Engineer certification materials. Although all contents are the same, the learning experience is totally different. First of all, the PDF version XSIAM-Engineer certification materials are easy to carry and have no restrictions. Then the windows software can simulate the real test environment, which makes you feel you are doing the real test. The online engine of the XSIAM-Engineer test training can run on all kinds of browsers, which does not need to install on your computers or other electronic equipment. All in all, we hope that you can purchase our three versions of the XSIAM-Engineer real exam dumps.

XSIAM-Engineer Top Questions: <https://www.freecram.com/Palo-Alto-Networks-certification/XSIAM-Engineer-exam-dumps.html>

My dream is to pass the Palo Alto Networks XSIAM-Engineer exam, Now, our XSIAM-Engineer guide materials just need to cost you less spare time, then you will acquire useful skills which may help you solve a lot of the difficulties in your job, With the help of FreeCram exam learning material, you will learn the ways to prepare for the Security Operations XSIAM-Engineer exam, Palo Alto Networks Customized XSIAM-Engineer Lab Simulation This will help them polish their skills and clear all their doubts.

Manager of the Technical Planning Group, Let's see who the first group to do this will be, My dream is to pass the Palo Alto Networks XSIAM-Engineer Exam, Now, our XSIAM-Engineer guide materials just need to cost you less spare XSIAM-Engineer time, then you will acquire useful skills which may help you solve a lot of the difficulties in your job.

Free PDF Quiz Palo Alto Networks - XSIAM-Engineer - Useful Customized

With the help of FreeCram exam learning material, you will learn the ways to prepare for the Security Operations XSIAM-Engineer exam. This will help them polish their skills and clear all their doubts.

[illegible]

BTW, DOWNLOAD part of FreeCram XSIAM-Engineer dumps from Cloud Storage: <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1-tWq-6s70HPvtwWxQng4SVxnYfCnyG8r>