

Latest ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor Test Pdf | High Pass-Rate PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor: ISO/IEC 42001:2023Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam



DOWNLOAD the newest VCETorrent ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor PDF dumps from Cloud Storage for free:
<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1IZG237p7SZJNQPhHENa9Ktfn8l2iMs4Q>

VCETorrent also offers a demo of the PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor exam product which is absolutely free. Up to 1 year of free ISO/IEC 42001:2023Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam (ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor) questions updates are also available if in any case the sections of the PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor actual test changes after your purchase. Lastly, we also offer a full refund guarantee according to terms and conditions if you do not get success in the PECB ISO/IEC 42001:2023Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam Certification Exam after using our ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor product. These offers by VCETorrent save your time and money. Buy ISO/IEC 42001:2023Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam (ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor) practice material today.

PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor Exam Syllabus Topics:

Topic	Details
Topic 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Preparing an ISOIEC 42001 audit: This section of the exam measures the skills of a Lead Auditor and covers how to plan and prepare for an AI management system audit. It includes creating audit plans, selecting team members, and setting clear objectives to ensure a smooth audit process.
Topic 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Fundamental audit concepts and principles: This section of the exam measures the skills of a Lead Auditor and outlines essential audit concepts such as evidence collection, impartiality, objectivity, and ethical conduct. It introduces the core principles that form the foundation of a reliable and consistent auditing process.

Topic 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AI management system requirements: This section of the exam measures the skills of a Lead Auditor and focuses on understanding the key requirements outlined in ISO IEC 42001. It explains how organizations should structure their AI-related activities and processes to meet compliance standards effectively.
Topic 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fundamental principles and concepts of an AI management system: This section of the exam measures the skills of an AI Compliance Officer and covers the basic principles of artificial intelligence, including ethical use, trustworthiness, and transparency. It introduces the purpose and importance of having an AI management system in place for responsible AI governance.
Topic 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Managing an ISO IEC 42001 audit program: This section of the exam measures the skills of an AI Compliance Officer and deals with overseeing an entire audit program. It involves managing multiple audits, tracking audit performance, and aligning audit outcomes with broader organizational goals related to AI governance.

>> Latest ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor Test Pdf <<

2026 PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor –Valid Latest Test Pdf

For offline practice, our ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam (ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor) desktop practice test software is ideal. This ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam (ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor) software runs on Windows computers. The ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam (ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor) web-based practice exam is compatible with all browsers and operating systems. No software installation is required to go through the web-based ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam (ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor) practice test.

PECB ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Artificial Intelligence Management System Lead Auditor Exam Sample Questions (Q174-Q179):

NEW QUESTION # 174

Scenario 4:

BioNovaPharm, a German biopharmaceutical company, has implemented an artificial intelligence management system AIMS based on ISO/IEC 42001 to optimize various aspects of drug discovery, including analyzing extensive biological data, identifying potential drug candidates, and streamlining clinical trial processes. After having the AIMS in place for over a year, the company contracted a certification body and is now undergoing an AIMS audit to obtain certification against ISO/IEC 42001.

Adopting a risk-based approach, the audit team focused on risk throughout their activities. The level of detail outlined in the audit plan corresponded to the scope and complexity of the audit. The team employed a ranking system for detailed audit procedures, prioritizing those with the highest risk.

Once the stage 1 audit began, the audit team started reviewing the auditee's documented information. To assess whether BioNovaPharm complies with the legal and regulatory requirements related to incident communication, the audit team examined evidence provided by the company's external legal office. The evidence confirmed that BioNovaPharm applies the requirements of the EU AI Act, which mandates that providers of high-risk AI systems report serious incidents to relevant authorities.

Following the completion of the stage 1 audit, John, an audit team member, documented the stage 1 audit outputs, including the observations of the audit team that could result in nonconformities during the on-site audit. However, the audit team leader, Emma, who was overseeing the audit activities, observed that John failed to document significant observations related to the lack of transparency in the AI decision-making processes of BioNovaPharm. Considering that Emma observed John's lack of competence in undertaking some audit activities, a disciplinary note was recorded for John.

Question:

What type of evidence did the audit team obtain to assess BioNovaPharm's compliance with legal and regulatory incident reporting requirements?

- A. Analytical
- B. Technical
- C. Confirmative**
- D. Observational

Answer: C

Explanation:

The audit team obtained Confirmative evidence.

* ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Clause 9.2.2 specifies that during audits, objective evidence such as certifications, legal opinions, or official documentation that confirms compliance must be collected.

* Confirmative evidence specifically refers to validated information from independent sources (in this case, external legal advice).

* The Lead Auditor Training Manual also defines Confirmative Evidence as: "Evidence that provides verification of conformance through reliable independent sources." Reference: ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Clause 9.2.2; Lead Auditor Study Guide Chapter 7 ("Evidence Gathering Techniques").

NEW QUESTION # 175

Question:

While auditing a company's AIMS, the audit team reviewed policies, objectives, and communications to evaluate the involvement of top management. They also conducted interviews with staff to assess the engagement of leaders at various levels in ensuring the system's effectiveness.

Based on this approach, what level of management should the auditors prioritize when assessing leadership and commitment?

- A. They should focus on leadership at all levels of management
- B. They should focus on the leadership of department heads
- C. They should focus on leadership at the top management level

Answer: A

Explanation:

ISO/IEC 42001 emphasizes that leadership is a shared responsibility that must be demonstrated at all management levels.

* Clause 5.1 (Leadership and commitment) states: "Top management shall demonstrate leadership and commitment... and ensure that roles, responsibilities, and authorities are assigned, communicated, and understood."

* The Lead Auditor Guide also emphasizes evaluating leadership engagement across hierarchical levels, not just the top.

Reference: ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Clause 5.1; Lead Auditor Training Manual - Module 5 ("Leadership and Engagement").

NEW QUESTION # 176

Scenario 8 (continued):

Scenario 8:

Scenario 8: InnovateSoft, headquartered in Berlin, Germany, is a software development company known for its innovative solutions and commitment to excellence. It specializes in custom software solutions, development, design, testing, maintenance, and consulting, covering both mobile apps and web development.

Recently, the company underwent an audit to evaluate the effectiveness and compliance of its artificial intelligence management system AIMS against ISO/IEC 42001.

The audit team engaged with the auditee to discuss their findings and observations during the audit's final phases. After evaluating the evidence, the audit team presented their audit findings to InnovateSoft, highlighting the identified nonconformities.

Upon receiving the audit findings, InnovateSoft accepted the conclusions but expressed concerns about some findings inaccurately reflecting the efficiency of their software development processes. In response, the company provided new evidence and additional information to alter the audit conclusions for a couple of minor nonconformities identified. After thorough consideration, the audit team leader clarified that the new evidence did not significantly alter the core conclusions drawn for the nonconformities.

Therefore, the certification body issued a certification recommendation conditional upon the filing of corrective action plans without a prior visit.

InnovateSoft accepted the decision of the certification body. The top management of the company also sought suggestions from the audit team on resolving the identified nonconformities. The audit team leader offered solutions to address the issues, fostering a collaborative effort between the auditors and InnovateSoft. During the closing meeting, the audit team covered key topics to enhance transparency. They clarified to InnovateSoft that the audit evidence was based on a sample, acknowledging the inherent uncertainty. The method and time frame of reporting and grading findings were discussed to provide a structured overview of nonconformities. The certification body's process for handling nonconformities, including potential consequences, guided InnovateSoft on corrective actions. The time frame for presenting a plan for correction was communicated, emphasizing urgency. Insights into the certification body's post-audit activities were provided, ensuring ongoing support.

Lastly, the audit team briefed InnovateSoft on complaint and appeal handling.

InnovateSoft submitted the action plans for each nonconformity separately, describing only the detected issues and the corrective actions planned to address the detected nonconformities. However, the submission slightly exceeded the specified period of 45 days set by the certification body, arriving three days later.

InnovateSoft explained this by attributing the delay to unexpected challenges encountered during the compilation of the action plans. During the closing meeting, the audit team covered key topics including sampling uncertainty, timelines for corrections, and complaint/appeals procedures.

Question:

Based on Scenario 8, was the concluding meeting comprehensive in addressing all essential components of the audit?

- A. No, it should not have involved the post-audit activities of the certification body
- **B. Yes, it addressed all necessary aspects**
- C. No, it should not have involved the assessment of audit findings

Answer: B

Explanation:

The closing meeting covered:

- * Uncertainty due to sampling
- * Timeline for corrective actions
- * Complaint and appeal procedures
- * Findings and their classification These are all required elements of the closing meeting.
- * ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.4.7 requires the audit team to present a summary of findings and next steps during the closing meeting.
- * ISO 19011:2018 Clause 6.6.12 further includes communication of audit conclusions, clarification of nonconformities, and how findings will be managed post-audit.

Reference: ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.4.7; ISO 19011:2018 Clause 6.6.12.

NEW QUESTION # 177

Which among the following is NOT a level of AI?

- A. Artificial General Intelligence
- B. Artificial Narrow Intelligence
- **C. Artificial Machine Intelligence**
- D. Artificial Super Intelligence

Answer: C

Explanation:

The levels of AI commonly referenced in both ISO/IEC 42001 guidance materials and AI governance literature include:

- * Artificial Narrow Intelligence (ANI)- Specialized in a single task
- * Artificial General Intelligence (AGI)- Human-level general problem-solving capability
- * Artificial Super Intelligence (ASI)- Hypothetical AI surpassing human intelligence. Artificial Machine Intelligence is not a formally recognized level and does not appear in ISO/IEC 42001, nor in PECB's standard AI terminology.

The PECB Lead Auditor Guide defines the recognized levels under AI system classification and clarifies that terms like "Artificial Machine Intelligence" are non-standard or colloquial and not part of professional auditing or ISO frameworks.

NEW QUESTION # 178

Question:

Which of the following examples depicts frequent analysis?

- A. The auditor observes the AI system's performance during its initial deployment to ensure it meets operational standards
- **B. The auditor selects a sample of employees to determine if they are aware of their roles and responsibilities relevant to AI**
- C. The auditor conducts a yearly review of the company's financial statements to assess long-term financial stability

Answer: B

Explanation:

Frequent analysis involves ongoing or regularly performed assessments, such as interviews with employees regarding their role awareness.

* ISO 19011:2018 Clause 6.5.5 discusses auditor sampling and analysis, and frequent analysis refers to repeated testing of a process over time.

* Periodic financial reviews (annual) or one-time deployment checks don't qualify as frequent - but ongoing interviews and

awareness checks do.

Reference:ISO 19011:2018 Clause 6.5.5; ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Clause 7.3 (Awareness).

NEW QUESTION # 179

Now you don't need to spend too much time and money preparing for the PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor test. Just get the latest ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor exam dumps from VCETorrent and prepare the ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor test in a very short time. These Customer Experience (PECB) ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor updated dumps will eliminate your risk of failing and enhance your chance of success in the VCETorrent test. Using PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor Exam study material you will gain the best PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor exam knowledge and you will attempt the final ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor certification test with confidence.

ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor Dump Collection: <https://www.vctorrent.com/ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor-valid-vce-torrent.html>

2026 Latest VCETorrent ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor PDF Dumps and ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor Exam Engine Free Share: <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1IZG237p7SZJNOpHEna9Ktfn8l2iMs4O>