

Appian인증 ACD-301덤프



그 외, ITDumpsKR ACD-301 시험 문제집 일부가 지금은 무료입니다: <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1M52CqozkxtHhZvG5lXkgeIfSqXi-QJl2>

IT인증자격증은 국제적으로 승인받는 자격증이기 때문에 많이 취득해두시면 취업이나 승진이나 이직이나 모두 편해집니다. 다른 사람이 없는 자격증을 내가 가지고 있다는 것은 실력을 증명해주는 수단입니다. Appian인증 ACD-301 시험은 널리 승인받는 자격증의 시험과목입니다. Appian인증 ACD-301덤프로 Appian인증 ACD-301 시험공부를 하시면 시험패스 난이도가 낮아지고 자격증 취득율이 높아 올라갑니다. 자격증을 많이 취득하여 취업이나 승진의 문을 두드려 보시면 빈틈없이 달린 문도 활짝 열릴 것입니다.

아직도 Appian인증 ACD-301 시험준비를 어떻게 해야 할지 망설이고 계시나요? 고객님의 IT인증 시험준비길에는 언제나 ITDumpsKR가 곁을 지켜주고 있습니다. ITDumpsKR 시험공부자료를 선택하시면 자격증 취득의 소원이 이루어 집니다. Appian인증 ACD-301 시험덤프는 ITDumpsKR가 최고의 선택입니다.

>> ACD-301 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 <<

Appian ACD-301 시험준비공부 - ACD-301 인증문제

ITDumpsKR는 Appian ACD-301 인증 시험을 아주 쉽게 패스할 수 있도록 도와드리는 사이트입니다. ITDumpsKR의 엘리트한 전문가가 끈임 없는 노력으로 최고의 Appian ACD-301 자료를 만들었습니다. 이 자료로 여러분은 100% Appian의 ACD-301 인증 시험을 패스할 수 있으며, ITDumpsKR를 선택함으로 성공을 선택한 것입니다. ITDumpsKR가 제공하는 시험 가이드로 효과적인 학습으로 많은 분들이 모두 인증 시험을 패스하였습니다. 이 모두 ITDumpsKR 인증 시험덤프로 공부하였기 때문입니다. 그 중 Appian ACD-301 인증 시험을 패스한 분들도 모두 ITDumpsKR 인증 시험덤프를 사용하였기 때문입니다.

최신 Appian Certification Program ACD-301 무료 샘플문제 (Q16-Q21):

질문 # 16

You have an active development team (Team A) building enhancements for an application (App X) and are currently using the TEST environment for User Acceptance Testing (UAT).

A separate operations team (Team B) discovers a critical error in the Production instance of App X that they must remediate. However, Team B does not have a hotfix stream for which to accomplish this. The available environments are DEV, TEST, and PROD.

Which risk mitigation effort should both teams employ to ensure Team A's capital project is only minorly interrupted, and Team B's critical fix can be completed and deployed quickly to end users?

- A. Team B must address changes in the TEST environment. These changes can then be tested and deployed directly to PROD. Once the deployment is complete, Team B can then communicate their changes to Team A to ensure they are incorporated as part of the next release.
- B. Team B must communicate to Team A which component will be addressed in the hotfix to avoid overlap of changes. If overlap exists, the component must be versioned to its PROD state before being remediated and deployed, and then versioned back to its latest development state. If overlap does not exist, the component may be remediated and deployed without any version changes.

- C. Team A must analyze their current codebase in DEV to merge the hotfix changes into their latest enhancements. Team B is then required to wait for the hotfix to follow regular deployment protocols from DEV to the PROD environment.
- D. Team B must address the changes directly in PRO As there is no hotfix stream, and DEV and TEST are being utilized for active development, it is best to avoid a conflict of components. Once Team A has completed their enhancements work, Team B can update DEV and TEST accordingly.

정답: B

설명:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

As an Appian Lead Developer, managing concurrent development and operations (hotfix) activities across limited environments (DEV, TEST, PROD) requires minimizing disruption to Team A's enhancements while ensuring Team B's critical fix reaches PROD quickly. The scenario highlights no hotfix stream, active UAT in TEST, and a critical PROD issue, necessitating a strategic approach. Let's evaluate each option:

A. Team B must communicate to Team A which component will be addressed in the hotfix to avoid overlap of changes. If overlap exists, the component must be versioned to its PROD state before being remediated and deployed, and then versioned back to its latest development state. If overlap does not exist, the component may be remediated and deployed without any version changes: This is the best approach. It ensures collaboration between teams to prevent conflicts, leveraging Appian's version control (e.g., object versioning in Appian Designer). Team B identifies the critical component, checks for overlap with Team A's work, and uses versioning to isolate changes. If no overlap exists, the hotfix deploys directly; if overlap occurs, versioning preserves Team A's work, allowing the hotfix to deploy and then reverting the component for Team A's continuation. This minimizes interruption to Team A's UAT, enables rapid PROD deployment, and aligns with Appian's change management best practices.

B. Team A must analyze their current codebase in DEV to merge the hotfix changes into their latest enhancements. Team B is then required to wait for the hotfix to follow regular deployment protocols from DEV to the PROD environment:

This delays Team B's critical fix, as regular deployment (DEV → TEST → PROD) could take weeks, violating the need for "quick deployment to end users." It also risks introducing Team A's untested enhancements into the hotfix, potentially destabilizing PROD. Appian's documentation discourages mixing development and hotfix workflows, favoring isolated changes for urgent fixes, making this inefficient and risky.

C. Team B must address changes in the TEST environment. These changes can then be tested and deployed directly to PROD.

Once the deployment is complete, Team B can then communicate their changes to Team A to ensure they are incorporated as part of the next release:

Using TEST for hotfix development disrupts Team A's UAT, as TEST is already in use for their enhancements. Direct deployment from TEST to PROD skips DEV validation, increasing risk, and doesn't address overlap with Team A's work. Appian's deployment guidelines emphasize separate streams (e.g., hotfix streams) to avoid such conflicts, making this disruptive and unsafe.

D. Team B must address the changes directly in PROD. As there is no hotfix stream, and DEV and TEST are being utilized for active development, it is best to avoid a conflict of components. Once Team A has completed their enhancements work, Team B can update DEV and TEST accordingly:

Making changes directly in PROD is highly discouraged in Appian due to lack of testing, version control, and rollback capabilities, risking further instability. This violates Appian's Production governance and security policies, and delays Team B's updates until Team A finishes, contradicting the need for a "quick deployment." Appian's best practices mandate using lower environments for changes, ruling this out.

Conclusion: Team B communicating with Team A, versioning components if needed, and deploying the hotfix (A) is the risk mitigation effort. It ensures minimal interruption to Team A's work, rapid PROD deployment for Team B's fix, and leverages Appian's versioning for safe, controlled changes-aligning with Lead Developer standards for multi-team coordination.

Appian Documentation: "Managing Production Hotfixes" (Versioning and Change Management).

Appian Lead Developer Certification: Application Management Module (Hotfix Strategies).

Appian Best Practices: "Concurrent Development and Operations" (Minimizing Risk in Limited Environments).

질문 # 17

For each scenario outlined, match the best tool to use to meet expectations. Each tool will be used once Note: To change your responses, you may deselected your response by clicking the blank space at the top of the selection list.

As a user, if I update an object of type "Customer," the value of the given field should be displayed on the "Company" Record List.

Select a match:

appian

Write to Data Store Entity smart service
Database Stored Procedure
Database Trigger
Database Complex View

As a user, if I update an object of type "Customer," a simple data transformation needs to be performed on related objects of the same type (namely, all the customers related to the same company).

Select a match:

Write to Data Store Entity smart service
Database Stored Procedure
Database Trigger
Database Complex View

As a user, if I update an object of type "Customer," some complex data transformations need to be performed on related objects of type "Customer," "Company," and "Contract."

Select a match:

Write to Data Store Entity smart service
Database Stored Procedure
Database Trigger
Database Complex View

As a user, if I update an object of type "Customer," some simple data transformations need to be performed on related objects of type "Company," "Address," and "Contract."

Select a match:

Write to Data Store Entity smart service
Database Stored Procedure
Database Trigger
Database Complex View

정답:

설명:



질문 # 18

You are in a backlog refinement meeting with the development team and the product owner. You review a story for an integration involving a third-party system. A payload will be sent from the Appian system through the integration to the third-party system. The story is 21 points on a Fibonacci scale and requires development from your Appian team as well as technical resources from the third-party system. This item is crucial to your project's success. What are the two recommended steps to ensure this story can be developed effectively?

- A. Acquire testing steps from QA resources.
- B. Maintain a communication schedule with the third-party resources.
- C. Break down the item into smaller stories.
- D. Identify subject matter experts (SMEs) to perform user acceptance testing (UAT).

정답: B,C

설명:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

This question involves a complex integration story rated at 21 points on the Fibonacci scale, indicating significant complexity and effort. Appian Lead Developer best practices emphasize effective collaboration, risk mitigation, and manageable development scopes for such scenarios. The two most critical steps are:

Option C (Maintain a communication schedule with the third-party resources):

Integrations with third-party systems require close coordination, as Appian developers depend on external teams for endpoint specifications, payload formats, authentication details, and testing support. Establishing a regular communication schedule ensures alignment on requirements, timelines, and issue resolution. Appian's Integration Best Practices documentation highlights the importance of proactive communication with external stakeholders to prevent delays and misunderstandings, especially for critical project components.

Option D (Break down the item into smaller stories):

A 21-point story is considered large by Agile standards (Fibonacci scale typically flags anything above 13 as complex). Appian's Agile Development Guide recommends decomposing large stories into smaller, independently deliverable pieces to reduce risk, improve testability, and enable iterative progress. For example, the integration could be split into tasks like designing the payload structure, building the integration object, and testing the connection—each manageable within a sprint. This approach aligns with the principle of delivering value incrementally while maintaining quality.

Option A (Acquire testing steps from QA resources): While QA involvement is valuable, this step is more relevant during the testing phase rather than backlog refinement or development preparation. It's not a primary step for ensuring effective development of the

story.

Option B (Identify SMEs for UAT): User acceptance testing occurs after development, during the validation phase. Identifying SMEs is important but not a key step in ensuring the story is developed effectively during the refinement and coding stages. By choosing C and D, you address both the external dependency (third-party coordination) and internal complexity (story size), ensuring a smoother development process for this critical integration.

질문 # 19

You are planning a strategy around data volume testing for an Appian application that queries and writes to a MySQL database. You have administrator access to the Appian application and to the database. What are two key considerations when designing a data volume testing strategy?

- A. Large datasets must be loaded via Appian processes.
- B. Testing with the correct amount of data should be in the definition of done as part of each sprint.
- C. The amount of data that needs to be populated should be determined by the project sponsor and the stakeholders based on their estimation.
- D. Data model changes must wait until towards the end of the project.
- E. Data from previous tests needs to remain in the testing environment prior to loading prepopulated data.

정답: B,C

설명:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

Data volume testing ensures an Appian application performs efficiently under realistic data loads, especially when interacting with external databases like MySQL. As an Appian Lead Developer with administrative access, the focus is on scalability, performance, and iterative validation. The two key considerations are:

Option C (The amount of data that needs to be populated should be determined by the project sponsor and the stakeholders based on their estimation):

Determining the appropriate data volume is critical to simulate real-world usage. Appian's Performance Testing Best Practices recommend collaborating with stakeholders (e.g., project sponsors, business analysts) to define expected data sizes based on production scenarios. This ensures the test reflects actual requirements-like peak transaction volumes or record counts-rather than arbitrary guesses. For example, if the application will handle 1 million records in production, stakeholders must specify this to guide test data preparation.

Option D (Testing with the correct amount of data should be in the definition of done as part of each sprint):

Appian's Agile Development Guide emphasizes incorporating performance testing (including data volume) into the Definition of Done (DoD) for each sprint. This ensures that features are validated under realistic conditions iteratively, preventing late-stage performance issues. With admin access, you can query/write to MySQL and assess query performance or write latency with the specified data volume, aligning with Appian's recommendation to "test early and often." Option A (Data from previous tests needs to remain in the testing environment prior to loading prepopulated data): This is impractical and risky. Retaining old test data can skew results, introduce inconsistencies, or violate data integrity (e.g., duplicate keys in MySQL). Best practices advocate for a clean, controlled environment with fresh, prepopulated data per test cycle.

Option B (Large datasets must be loaded via Appian processes): While Appian processes can load data, this is not a requirement. With database admin access, you can use SQL scripts or tools like MySQL Workbench for faster, more efficient data population, bypassing Appian process overhead. Appian documentation notes this as a preferred method for large datasets.

Option E (Data model changes must wait until towards the end of the project): Delaying data model changes contradicts Agile principles and Appian's iterative design approach. Changes should occur as needed throughout development to adapt to testing insights, not be deferred.

질문 # 20

You need to connect Appian with LinkedIn to retrieve personal information about the users in your application. This information is considered private, and users should allow Appian to retrieve their information. Which authentication method would you recommend to fulfill this request?

- A. Basic Authentication with dedicated account's login information
- B. OAuth 2.0: Authorization Code Grant
- C. API Key Authentication
- D. Basic Authentication with user's login information

정답: B

설명:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

As an Appian Lead Developer, integrating with an external system like LinkedIn to retrieve private user information requires a secure, user-consented authentication method that aligns with Appian's capabilities and industry standards. The requirement specifies that users must explicitly allow Appian to access their private data, which rules out methods that don't involve user authorization. Let's evaluate each option based on Appian's official documentation and LinkedIn's API requirements:

A . API Key Authentication:

API Key Authentication involves using a single static key to authenticate requests. While Appian supports this method via Connected Systems (e.g., HTTP Connected System with an API key header), it's unsuitable here. API keys authenticate the application, not the user, and don't provide a mechanism for individual user consent. LinkedIn's API for private data (e.g., profile information) requires per-user authorization, which API keys cannot facilitate. Appian documentation notes that API keys are best for server-to-server communication without user context, making this option inadequate for the requirement.

B . Basic Authentication with user's login information:

This method uses a username and password (typically base64-encoded) provided by each user. In Appian, Basic Authentication is supported in Connected Systems, but applying it here would require users to input their LinkedIn credentials directly into Appian. This is insecure, impractical, and against LinkedIn's security policies, as it exposes user passwords to the application. Appian Lead Developer best practices discourage storing or handling user credentials directly due to security risks (e.g., credential leakage) and maintenance challenges. Moreover, LinkedIn's API doesn't support Basic Authentication for user-specific data access-it requires OAuth 2.0. This option is not viable.

C . Basic Authentication with dedicated account's login information:

This involves using a single, dedicated LinkedIn account's credentials to authenticate all requests. While technically feasible in Appian's Connected System (using Basic Authentication), it fails to meet the requirement that "users should allow Appian to retrieve their information." A dedicated account would access data on behalf of all users without their individual consent, violating privacy principles and LinkedIn's API terms. LinkedIn restricts such approaches, requiring user-specific authorization for private data. Appian documentation advises against blanket credentials for user-specific integrations, making this option inappropriate.

D . OAuth 2.0: Authorization Code Grant:

This is the recommended choice. OAuth 2.0 Authorization Code Grant, supported natively in Appian's Connected System framework, is designed for scenarios where users must authorize an application (Appian) to access their private data on a third-party service (LinkedIn). In this flow, Appian redirects users to LinkedIn's authorization page, where they grant permission. Upon approval, LinkedIn returns an authorization code, which Appian exchanges for an access token via the Token Request Endpoint. This token enables Appian to retrieve private user data (e.g., profile details) securely and per user. Appian's documentation explicitly recommends this method for integrations requiring user consent, such as LinkedIn, and provides tools like `authorizationLink()` to handle authorization failures gracefully. LinkedIn's API (e.g., v2 API) mandates OAuth 2.0 for personal data access, aligning perfectly with this approach.

Conclusion: OAuth 2.0: Authorization Code Grant (D) is the best method. It ensures user consent, complies with LinkedIn's API requirements, and leverages Appian's secure integration capabilities. In practice, you'd configure a Connected System in Appian with LinkedIn's Client ID, Client Secret, Authorization Endpoint (e.g., <https://www.linkedin.com/oauth/v2/authorization>), and Token Request Endpoint (e.g., <https://www.linkedin.com/oauth/v2/accessToken>), then use an Integration object to call LinkedIn APIs with the access token. This solution is scalable, secure, and aligns with Appian Lead Developer certification standards for third-party integrations.

Appian Documentation: "Setting Up a Connected System with the OAuth 2.0 Authorization Code Grant" (Connected Systems).

Appian Lead Developer Certification: Integration Module (OAuth 2.0 Configuration and Best Practices).

LinkedIn Developer Documentation: "OAuth 2.0 Authorization Code Flow" (API Authentication Requirements).

질문 # 21

.....

Appian인증 ACD-301 시험을 등록했는데 마땅한 공부자료가 없어 고민중이시라면ITDumpsKR의Appian인증 ACD-301덤프를 추천해드립니다. ITDumpsKR의Appian인증 ACD-301덤프는 거의 모든 시험문제를 커버하고 있어 시험패스율이 100%입니다. ITDumpsKR제품을 선택하시면 어려운 시험공부도 한결 가벼워집니다.

ACD-301시험준비공부 : <https://www.itdumpskr.com/ACD-301-exam.html>

ACD-301 덤프로 시험을 패스하여 자격증을 쉽게 취득해보지 않으실래요, Appian 인증 ACD-301시험뿐만 아니라 IT인증시험에 관한 모든 시험에 대비한 덤프를 제공해드립니다, Appian ACD-301합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 가장 최신 시험 기출문제 모음자료, Appian인증 ACD-301시험을 패스하여 자격증을 취득하면 소원이 이루어집니다, Appian ACD-301 시험은 국제인증자격증중에서 뜨거운 인기를 누리고 있습니다, ITDumpsKR는 전문적인 IT인증 시험덤프를 제공하는 사이트입니다.ACD-301인증시험을 패스하려면 아주 현명한 선택입니다, 우리ITDumpsKR ACD-301시험준비공부에서 제공되는 모든 덤프들은 모두 100%보장 도를 자랑하며 그리고 우리는 일년무료 업데이트를 제공합니다.

따뜻한 음성이 준희를 위로했다, 여자는 풍만하다기보다는 말라보이는 몸매를 지닌 여자였다, ACD-301 덤프로 시험을 패스하여 자격증을 쉽게 취득해보지 않으실래요, Appian 인증 ACD-301 시험뿐만 아니라 IT인증 시험에 관한 모든 시험에 대비한 덤프를 제공해드립니다.

ACD-301 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 시험준비에 가장 좋은 인기덤프공부

가장 최신 시험 기출문제 모음자료, Appian 인증 ACD-301 시험을 패스하여 자격증을 취득하면 소원이 이루어집니다, Appian ACD-301 시험은 국제인증 자격증 중에서 뜨거운 인기를 누리고 있습니다.

- ACD-301 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 시험준비에 가장 좋은 시험기출문제 (www.passtip.net) 을(를) 열고 ACD-301 를 검색하여 시험 자료를 무료로 다운로드하십시오 ACD-301 인기 시험자료
- ACD-301 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 퍼펙트한 덤프로 시험 패스하여 자격증을 취득하기 www.itdumpskr.com 웹사이트에서 (ACD-301) 를 열고 검색하여 무료 다운로드 ACD-301 완벽한 덤프 공부자료
- ACD-301 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 퍼펙트한 덤프로 시험 패스하여 자격증을 취득하기 www.koreadumps.com 의 무료 다운로드 ACD-301 페이지가 지금 열립니다 ACD-301 완벽한 덤프 공부자료
- ACD-301 자격증 공부자료 ACD-301 유효한 덤프 ACD-301 시험대비 덤프 최신 샘플 “ www.itdumpskr.com ” 을 통해 쉽게 ACD-301 무료 다운로드 받기 ACD-301 높은 통과율 시험자료
- ACD-301 높은 통과율 덤프 공부 ACD-301 최신 업데이트 버전 공부문제 ACD-301 완벽한 덤프 공부자료 { www.passtip.net } 을(를) 열고 ACD-301 를 입력하고 무료 다운로드를 받으십시오 ACD-301 최고 품질 덤프 데모 다운
- 인기 자격증 ACD-301 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 덤프문제 무료 다운로드를 위해 ACD-301 를 검색하려면 www.itdumpskr.com 을(를) 입력하십시오 ACD-301 자격증 공부자료
- ACD-301 최신 업데이트 버전 공부문제 ACD-301 적중율 높은 시험 덤프 공부 ACD-301 시험대비 덤프 www.pass4test.net 의 무료 다운로드 ACD-301 페이지가 지금 열립니다 ACD-301 높은 통과율 덤프 공부
- ACD-301 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 시험준비에 가장 좋은 시험기출문제 오픈 웹 사이트 www.itdumpskr.com 검색 ACD-301 무료 다운로드 ACD-301 최신 업데이트 덤프 공부
- 시험대비 ACD-301 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 덤프 최신자료 { kr.fast2test.com } 에서 ACD-301 를 검색하고 무료로 다운로드 하세요 ACD-301 최신 업데이트 버전 공부문제
- ACD-301 최신 업데이트 버전 공부문제 ACD-301 인증문제 ACD-301 자격증 공부자료 www.itdumpskr.com 을(를) 열고 「 ACD-301 」 를 검색하여 시험 자료를 무료로 다운로드 하십시오 ACD-301 최고 품질 덤프 샘플 문제 다운
- ACD-301 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 시험 최신 버전 덤프 [ACD-301] 를 무료로 다운로드하려면 (www.exampassdump.com) 웹사이트를 입력 하세요 ACD-301 최신 업데이트 버전 공부문제
- joycegf936370.bloguerosa.com, bookmark-search.com, royxtlc490222.wizzardsblog.com, bookmarkhard.com, lms.simlearningtech.com, nanaidqa080099.blog4youth.com, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, tasneemyer545089.idblogmaker.com, mddoctor.in, bookmarkedblog.com, Disposable vapes

참고: ITDumpsKR에서 Google Drive로 공유하는 무료 2026 Appian ACD-301 시험 문제집이 있습니다:
<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1M52CqozkxtHhZvG5IXkgelfSqXt-QJl2>