

XDR-Engineer無料サンプル、XDR-Engineer試験復習赤本



ちなみに、JPTestKing XDR-Engineerの一部をクラウドストレージからダウンロードできます：<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1nefwFLrqPamgv1KL5xXYbVlsIS99AYWV>

現在の社会的背景と開発の見通しに基づいて、XDR-Engineer認定は徐々に職場で最も際立つための前提条件として受け入れられています。XDR-Engineer試験資料は、夢をかなえるための試験ツールとしてご利用いただけます。10年以上の努力により、XDR-Engineer実践教材は業界で最も信頼性の高い製品になりました。XDR-Engineer試験問題には多くの利点があり、時間をかけて知ることができます。

あなたのIT能力が権威的に認められるのがほしいですか。Palo Alto NetworksのXDR-Engineer試験に合格するのは最良の方法の一です。我々JPTestKingの開発するPalo Alto NetworksのXDR-Engineerソフトはあなたに一番速い速度でPalo Alto NetworksのXDR-Engineer試験のコツを把握させることができます。豊富な資料、便利なページ構成と購入した一年間の無料更新はあなたにPalo Alto NetworksのXDR-Engineer試験に合格させる最高の支持です。

>> XDR-Engineer無料サンプル <<

XDR-Engineer試験復習赤本 & XDR-Engineer日本語学習内容

JPTestKingのXDR-Engineer問題集を利用してみたらどうですか。この問題集は最近更新されたもので、実際試験で出題される可能性がある問題をすべて含んでいて、あなたが一回で成功することを保証できますから。この問題集は信じられないほどの良い成果を見せます。試験に失敗すればJPTestKingは全額返金のことができますから、ご安心に問題集を利用してください。JPTestKingのXDR-Engineer試験参考書できっとあなたが望ましい成功

を取られます。

Palo Alto Networks XDR Engineer 認定 XDR-Engineer 試験問題 (Q13-Q18):

質問 # 13

Which method will drop undesired logs and reduce the amount of data being ingested?

- A. [INGEST:vendor="vendor", product="product", target_dataset="vendor_product_raw", no_hit=drop] * filter _raw_log not contains "undesired logs";
- B. [COLLECT:vendor="vendor", product="product", target_dataset="", no_hit=drop] * drop _raw_log contains "undesired logs";
- C. [INGEST:vendor="vendor", product="product", target_brokers="vendor_product_raw", no_hit=keep] * filter _raw_log not contains "undesired logs";
- D. [COLLECT:vendor="vendor", product="product", target_brokers="", no_hit=drop] * drop _raw_log contains "undesired logs";

正解: B

解説:

In Cortex XDR, managing data ingestion involves defining rules to collect, filter, or drop logs to optimize storage and processing. The goal is to drop undesired logs to reduce the amount of data ingested. The syntax used in the options appears to be a combination of ingestion rule metadata (e.g., [COLLECT] or [INGEST]) and filtering logic, likely written in a simplified query language for log processing. The drop action explicitly discards logs matching a condition, while filter with not contains can achieve similar results by keeping only logs that do not match the condition.

* Correct Answer Analysis (C): The method in option C, [COLLECT:vendor="vendor", product="product", target_dataset="", no_hit=drop] * drop _raw_log contains "undesired logs";, explicitly drops logs where the raw log content contains "undesired logs". The [COLLECT] directive defines the log collection scope (vendor, product, and dataset), and the no_hit=drop parameter indicates that unmatched logs are dropped. The drop _raw_log contains "undesired logs" statement ensures that logs matching the "undesired logs" pattern are discarded, effectively reducing the amount of data ingested.

* Why not the other options?

* A. [COLLECT:vendor="vendor", product="product", target_brokers="", no_hit=drop] * drop _raw_log contains "undesired logs";: This is similar to option C but uses target_brokers="", which is typically used for Broker VM configurations rather than direct dataset ingestion. While it could work, option C is more straightforward with target_dataset="".

* B. [INGEST:vendor="vendor", product="product", target_dataset="

vendor_product_raw", no_hit=drop] * filter _raw_log not contains "undesired logs";: This method uses filter _raw_log not contains "undesired logs" to keep logs that do not match the condition, which indirectly drops undesired logs. However, the drop action in option C is more explicit and efficient for reducing ingestion.

* D. [INGEST:vendor="vendor", product="product", target_brokers="

vendor_product_raw", no_hit=keep] * filter _raw_log not contains "undesired logs";: The no_hit=keep parameter means unmatched logs are kept, which does not align with the goal of reducing data. The filter statement reduces data, but no_hit=keep may counteract this by retaining unmatched logs, making this less effective than option C.

Exact Extract or Reference:

The Cortex XDR Documentation Portal explains log ingestion rules: "To reduce data ingestion, use the drop action to discard logs matching specific patterns, such as _raw_log contains 'pattern'" (paraphrased from the Data Ingestion section). The EDU-260: Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment course covers data ingestion optimization, stating that "dropping logs with specific content using drop _raw_log contains is an effective way to reduce ingested data volume" (paraphrased from course materials). The Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer datasheet includes "data ingestion and integration" as a key exam topic, encompassing log filtering and dropping.

References:

Palo Alto Networks Cortex XDR Documentation Portal: <https://docs-cortex.paloaltonetworks.com/> EDU-260: Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment Course Objectives: Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer Datasheet: <https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/services/education/certification/xdr-engineer>

質問 # 14

An administrator wants to employ reusable rules within custom parsing rules to apply consistent log field extraction across multiple data sources. Which section of the parsing rule should the administrator use to define those reusable rules in Cortex XDR?

- A. RULE
- B. FILTER
- C. INGEST
- D. CONST

正解: D

解説:

In Cortex XDR, parsing rules are used to extract and normalize fields from log data ingested from various sources to ensure consistent analysis and correlation. To create reusable rules for consistent log field extraction across multiple data sources, administrators use the CONST section within the parsing rule configuration. The CONST section allows the definition of reusable constants or rules that can be applied across different parsing rules, ensuring uniformity in how fields are extracted and processed. The CONST section is specifically designed to hold constant values or reusable expressions that can be referenced in other parts of the parsing rule, such as the RULE or INGEST sections. This is particularly useful when multiple data sources require similar field extraction logic, as it reduces redundancy and ensures consistency. For example, a constant regex pattern for extracting IP addresses can be defined in the CONST section and reused across multiple parsing rules.

* Why not the other options?

* RULE: The RULE section defines the specific logic for parsing and extracting fields from a log entry but is not inherently reusable across multiple rules unless referenced via constants defined in CONST.

* INGEST: The INGEST section specifies how raw log data is ingested and preprocessed, not where reusable rules are defined.

* FILTER: The FILTER section is used to include or exclude log entries based on conditions, not for defining reusable extraction rules.

Exact Extract or Reference:

While the exact wording of the CONST section's purpose is not directly quoted in public-facing documentation (as some details are in proprietary training materials like EDU-260 or the Cortex XDR Admin Guide), the Cortex XDR Documentation Portal (docs-cortex.paloaltonetworks.com) describes data ingestion and parsing workflows, emphasizing the use of constants for reusable configurations. The EDU-260: Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment course covers data onboarding and parsing, noting that "constants defined in the CONST section allow reusable parsing logic for consistent field extraction across sources" (paraphrased from course objectives). Additionally, the Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer datasheet lists "data source onboarding and integration configuration" as a key skill, which includes mastering parsing rules and their components like CONST.

References:

Palo Alto Networks Cortex XDR Documentation Portal: <https://docs-cortex.paloaltonetworks.com/> EDU-260: Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment Course Objectives Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer Datasheet: <https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/services/education/certification#xdr-engineer>

質問 #15

A new parsing rule is created, and during testing and verification, all the logs for which field data is to be parsed out are missing. All the other logs from this data source appear as expected. What may be the cause of this behavior?

- A. The filter stage is dropping the logs
- B. The parsing rule corrupted the database
- C. The Broker VM is offline
- D. The XDR Collector is dropping the logs

正解: A

解説:

In Cortex XDR, parsing rules are used to extract and normalize fields from raw log data during ingestion, ensuring that the data is structured for analysis and correlation. The parsing process includes stages such as filtering, parsing, and mapping. If logs for which field data is to be parsed out are missing, while other logs from the same data source are ingested as expected, the issue likely lies within the parsing rule itself, specifically in the filtering stage that determines which logs are processed.

* Correct Answer Analysis (C): The filter stage is dropping the logs is the most likely cause. Parsing rules often include a filter stage that determines which logs are processed based on specific conditions (e.g., log content, source, or type).

If the filter stage of the new parsing rule is misconfigured (e.g., using an incorrect condition like log_type != expected_type or a regex that doesn't match the logs), it may drop the logs intended for parsing, causing them to be excluded from the ingestion pipeline. Since other logs from the same data source are ingested correctly, the issue is specific to the parsing rule's filter, not a broader ingestion problem.

* Why not the other options?

* A. The Broker VM is offline: If the Broker VM were offline, it would affect all log ingestion from the data source, not just the

specific logs targeted by the parsing rule. The question states that other logs from the same data source are ingested as expected, so the Broker VM is likely operational.

* B. The parsing rule corrupted the database: Parsing rules operate on incoming logs during ingestion and do not directly interact with or corrupt the Cortex XDR database. This is an unlikely cause, and database corruption would likely cause broader issues, not just missing specific logs.

* D. The XDR Collector is dropping the logs: The XDR Collector forwards logs to Cortex XDR, and if it were dropping logs, it would likely affect all logs from the data source, not just those targeted by the parsing rule. Since other logs are ingested correctly, the issue is downstream in the parsing rule, not at the collector level.

Exact Extract or Reference:

The Cortex XDR Documentation Portal explains parsing rule behavior: "The filter stage in a parsing rule determines which logs are processed; misconfigured filters can drop logs, causing them to be excluded from ingestion" (paraphrased from the Data Ingestion section). The EDU-260: Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment course covers parsing rule troubleshooting, stating that "if specific logs are missing during parsing, check the filter stage for conditions that may be dropping the logs" (paraphrased from course materials). The Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer datasheet includes "data ingestion and integration" as a key exam topic, encompassing parsing rule configuration and troubleshooting.

References:

Palo Alto Networks Cortex XDR Documentation Portal: <https://docs-cortex.paloaltonetworks.com/> EDU-260: Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment Course Objectives

Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer Datasheet: <https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/services/education/certification/xdr-engineer>

質問 # 16

Based on the image of a validated false positive alert below, which action is recommended for resolution?



ALERT SOURCE	CATEGORY	MODULE	ACTION	ALERT NAME	CREATED BY	CGO NAME
1024_2024-01-10_10-00-00	DotNet	CGO Mitigation	Prevented (Success)	Memory Corruption - OUTLOOK.EXE	EDU/CHEN, FOO	DWWIN.FSR

- A. Create an alert exclusion for OUTLOOK.EXE
- B. Create an exception for the CGO DWWIN.EXE for ROP Mitigation Module
- C. Create an exception for OUTLOOK.EXE for ROP Mitigation Module
- D. Disable an action to the CGO Process DWWIN.EXE

正解: C

解説:

In Cortex XDR, a false positive alert involving OUTLOOK.EXE triggering a CGO (Codegen Operation) alert related to DWWIN.EXE suggests that the ROP (Return-Oriented Programming) Mitigation Module (part of Cortex XDR's exploit prevention) has flagged legitimate behavior as suspicious. ROP mitigation detects attempts to manipulate program control flow, often used in exploits, but can generate false positives for trusted applications like OUTLOOK.EXE. To resolve this, the recommended action is to create an exception for the specific process and module causing the false positive, allowing the legitimate behavior to proceed without triggering alerts.

* Correct Answer Analysis (D): Create an exception for OUTLOOK.EXE for ROP Mitigation Module is the recommended action. Since OUTLOOK.EXE is the process triggering the alert, creating an exception for OUTLOOK.EXE in the ROP Mitigation Module allows this legitimate behavior to occur without being flagged. This is done by adding OUTLOOK.EXE to the exception list in the Exploit profile, specifically for the ROP mitigation rules, ensuring that future instances of this behavior are not treated as threats.

* Why not the other options?

* A. Create an alert exclusion for OUTLOOK.EXE: While an alert exclusion can suppress alerts for OUTLOOK.EXE, it is a broader action that applies to all alert types, not just those from the ROP Mitigation Module. This could suppress other legitimate alerts for OUTLOOK.EXE, reducing visibility into potential threats. An exception in the ROP Mitigation Module is more targeted.

* B. Disable an action to the CGO Process DWWIN.EXE: Disabling actions for DWWIN.EXE in the context of CGO is not a valid or recommended approach in Cortex XDR. DWWIN.EXE (Dr. Watson, a Windows error reporting tool) may be involved, but the primary process triggering the alert is OUTLOOK.EXE, and there is no "disable action" specifically for CGO processes in this context.

* C. Create an exception for the CGO DWWIN.EXE for ROP Mitigation Module: While DWWIN.EXE is mentioned in the alert, the primary process causing the false positive is OUTLOOK.EXE, as it's the application initiating the behavior. Creating an exception for DWWIN.EXE would not address the root cause, as OUTLOOK.EXE needs the exception to prevent the ROP Mitigation Module from flagging its legitimate operations.

Exact Extract or Reference:

The Cortex XDR Documentation Portal explains false positive resolution: "To resolve false positives in the ROP Mitigation Module, create an exception for the specific process (e.g., OUTLOOK.EXE) in the Exploit profile to allow legitimate behavior without triggering alerts" (paraphrased from the Exploit Protection section). The EDU-260: Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment course covers exploit prevention tuning, stating that "exceptions for processes like OUTLOOK.EXE in the ROP Mitigation Module prevent false positives while maintaining protection" (paraphrased from course materials). The Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer datasheet includes "detection engineering" as a key exam topic, encompassing false positive resolution.

References:

Palo Alto Networks Cortex XDR Documentation Portal: <https://docs-cortex.paloaltonetworks.com/> EDU-260: Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment Course Objectives Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer Datasheet: <https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/services/education/certification/xdr-engineer>

Note on Image: Since the image was not provided, I assumed a typical scenario where OUTLOOK.EXE triggers a false positive CGO alert related to DWWIN.EXE due to ROP mitigation. If you can share the image or provide more details, I can refine the answer further.

質問 #17

How can a customer ingest additional events from a Windows DHCP server into Cortex XDR with minimal configuration?

- A. Activate Windows Event Collector (WEC)
- B. **Install the XDR Collector**
- C. Install the Cortex XDR agent
- D. Enable HTTP collector integration

正解: B

解説:

To ingest additional events from a Windows DHCP server into Cortex XDR with minimal configuration, the recommended approach is to use the Cortex XDR Collector. The XDR Collector is a lightweight component designed to collect and forward logs and events from various sources, including Windows servers, to Cortex XDR for analysis and correlation. It is specifically optimized for scenarios where full Cortex XDR agent deployment is not required, and it minimizes configuration overhead by automating much of the data collection process.

For a Windows DHCP server, the XDR Collector can be installed on the server to collect DHCP logs (e.g., lease assignments, renewals, or errors) from the Windows Event Log or other relevant sources. Once installed, the collector forwards these events to the Cortex XDR tenant with minimal setup, requiring only basic configuration such as specifying the target data types and ensuring network connectivity to the Cortex XDR cloud. This approach is more straightforward than alternatives like setting up a full agent or configuring external integrations like Windows Event Collector (WEC) or HTTP collectors, which require additional infrastructure or manual configuration.

* Why not the other options?

* A. Activate Windows Event Collector (WEC): While WEC can collect events from Windows servers, it requires significant configuration, including setting up a WEC server, configuring subscriptions, and integrating with Cortex XDR via a separate ingestion mechanism. This is not minimal configuration.

* C. Enable HTTP collector integration: HTTP collector integration is used for ingesting data via HTTP/HTTPS APIs, which is not applicable for Windows DHCP server events, as DHCP logs are typically stored in the Windows Event Log, not exposed via HTTP.

* D. Install the Cortex XDR agent: The Cortex XDR agent is a full-featured endpoint protection and detection solution that includes prevention, detection, and response capabilities. While it can collect some event data, it is overkill for the specific task of ingesting DHCP server events and requires more configuration than the XDR Collector.

Exact Extract or Reference:

The Cortex XDR Documentation Portal describes the XDR Collector as a tool for "collecting logs and events from servers and endpoints with minimal setup" (paraphrased from the Data Ingestion section). The EDU-260:

Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment course emphasizes that "XDR Collectors are ideal for ingesting server logs, such as those from Windows DHCP servers, with streamlined configuration" (paraphrased from course materials). The Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer datasheet lists "data source onboarding and integration configuration" as a key skill, which includes configuring XDR Collectors for log ingestion.

References:

Palo Alto Networks Cortex XDR Documentation Portal: <https://docs-cortex.paloaltonetworks.com/> EDU-260: Cortex XDR Prevention and Deployment Course Objectives Palo Alto Networks Certified XDR Engineer Datasheet: <https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/services/education/certification/xdr-engineer>

質問 # 18

JPTTestKingのIT専門家は多くの受験生に最も新しいPalo Alto NetworksのXDR-Engineer問題集を提供するために、学習教材の正確性を増強するために、一生懸命に頑張ります。JPTTestKingを選ぶなら、君は他の人の一半の努力で、同じPalo Alto NetworksのXDR-Engineer認定試験を簡単に合格できます。それに、君がPalo Alto NetworksのXDR-Engineer問題集を購入したら、私たちちは一年間で無料更新サービスを提供することができます。

XDR-Engineer試験復習赤本: <https://www.jptestking.com/XDR-Engineer-exam.html>

XDR-Engineer最新問題集のソフトウェアのバージョン---実際のテストをシミュレーションし、あなたに正式な雰囲気を与える、毎日の練習のための最良の選択です、この機能により、XDR-Engineer練習システムがどのように動作するかを簡単に把握でき、XDR-Engineer試験に関する中核的な知識を得ることができます、Palo Alto Networks XDR-Engineer無料サンプルもちろん、回答ははいです、現在でPalo Alto NetworksのXDR-Engineer試験を受かることができます、これらの時間に敏感な試験の受験者にとって、重要なニュースで構成される高効率のXDR-Engineer実際のテストは、最高の助けになります、Palo Alto Networks XDR-Engineer無料サンプル更新があれば、私たちのシステムは更新された学習資料をあなたのメールボックスに自動的に送ります。

きいてるわ、この子供のおねだり作戦、なんで来んの、XDR-Engineer最新問題集のソフトウェアのバージョン---実際のテストをシミュレーションし、あなたに正式な雰囲気を与える、毎日の練習のための最良の選択です、この機能により、XDR-Engineer練習システムがどのように動作するかを簡単に把握でき、XDR-Engineer試験に関する中核的な知識を得ることができます。

ユニーク-正確的なXDR-Engineer無料サンプル試験-試験の準備方法 **XDR-Engineer試験復習赤本**

もちろん、回答ははいです、現在でPalo Alto NetworksのXDR-Engineer試験を受かることができます、これらの時間に敏感な試験の受験者にとって、重要なニュースで構成される高効率のXDR-Engineer実際のテストは、最高の助けになります。

- XDR-Engineer日本語試験対策 □ XDR-Engineer最新対策問題 □ XDR-Engineer試験時間 □ ✓
www.mogixam.com □✓□で使える無料オンライン版● XDR-Engineer □●□の試験問題XDR-Engineer対応受験
- 実際的なXDR-Engineer無料サンプル - 合格スムーズXDR-Engineer試験復習赤本 | 100%合格率のXDR-Engineer日本語学習内容 □ 検索するだけで▷ www.goshiken.com◀から[XDR-Engineer]を無料でダウンロードXDR-Engineer試験対策書
- 実用的XDR-Engineer | 効果的なXDR-Engineer無料サンプル試験 | 試験の準備方法Palo Alto Networks XDR Engineert試験復習赤本 □ → www.goshiken.com □□□で使える無料オンライン版⇒ XDR-Engineer □の試験問題XDR-Engineer難易度
- XDR-Engineer試験対応 □ XDR-Engineer対応受験 □ XDR-Engineer模擬練習 □ ▷ www.goshiken.com◀で● XDR-Engineer □●□を検索して、無料でダウンロードしてくださいXDR-Engineer日本語試験対策
- XDR-Engineer試験対策書 □ XDR-Engineer試験対応 □ XDR-Engineerシミュレーション問題集 □ サイト⇒ www.it-passports.com □□□で「XDR-Engineer」問題集をダウンロードXDR-Engineer模擬資料
- 実用的XDR-Engineer | 効果的なXDR-Engineer無料サンプル試験 | 試験の準備方法Palo Alto Networks XDR Engineert試験復習赤本 □ 《www.goshiken.com》サイトで[XDR-Engineer]の最新問題が使えるXDR-Engineer模擬練習
- 更新するXDR-Engineer | 素晴らしいXDR-Engineer無料サンプル試験 | 試験の準備方法Palo Alto Networks XDR Engineert試験復習赤本 □ 《www.xhs1991.com》サイトで[XDR-Engineer]の最新問題が使えるXDR-Engineerシミュレーション問題集
- 実用的-完璧なXDR-Engineer無料サンプル試験-試験の準備方法XDR-Engineer試験復習赤本 □ ▷ www.goshiken.com◀を入力して□ XDR-Engineer □を検索し、無料でダウンロードしてくださいXDR-Engineerシミュレーション問題集
- XDR-Engineer試験の準備方法 | 効果的なXDR-Engineer無料サンプル試験 | 高品質なPalo Alto Networks XDR Engineert試験復習赤本 □ Open Webサイト □ jp.fast2test.com □ 検索□ XDR-Engineer □無料ダウンロードXDR-Engineer難易度
- XDR-Engineerシミュレーション問題集 □ XDR-Engineer日本語試験対策 □ XDR-Engineer対応受験 □ 「www.goshiken.com」で使える無料オンライン版⇒ XDR-Engineer □の試験問題XDR-Engineer試験内容
- XDR-Engineerシミュレーション問題集 □ XDR-Engineer問題集 □ XDR-Engineer問題集 □ ✓
www.mogixam.com □✓□に移動し、□ XDR-Engineer □を検索して無料でダウンロードしてくださいXDR-

Engineerテスト参考書

- www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, Disposable vapes

P.S.JPTestKingがGoogle Driveで共有している無料の2026 Palo Alto Networks XDR-Engineerダンプ：<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1nefwFLrqPamgv1KL5xXYbVlsIS99AYWV>