

# Easy to Use IIBA IIBA-CCA PDF Questions File



DOWNLOAD the newest DumpExam IIBA-CCA PDF dumps from Cloud Storage for free: [https://drive.google.com/open?id=1kP72\\_2HknAt\\_pjg3HPmBUQyH-E5Y8nfz](https://drive.google.com/open?id=1kP72_2HknAt_pjg3HPmBUQyH-E5Y8nfz)

The loss of personal information in the information society is indeed very serious, but IIBA-CCA guide materials can assure you that we will absolutely protect the privacy of every user. Our IIBA-CCA study braindumps users are all over the world, is a very international product, our IIBA-CCA Exam Questions are also very good in privacy protection. And we offer good services on our IIBA-CCA learning guide to make sure that every detail is perfect.

## IIBA IIBA-CCA Exam Syllabus Topics:

| Topic   | Details   |
|---------|---|
| Topic 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Requirements Life Cycle Management: This domain addresses how to manage and maintain cybersecurity requirements from initial identification through to solution implementation, including tracing, prioritizing, and controlling changes to requirements.</li></ul>                   |
| Topic 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Solution Evaluation: This domain focuses on assessing cybersecurity solutions and their performance against defined requirements, identifying any gaps or limitations, and recommending improvements or corrective actions to maximize solution value.</li></ul>                      |
| Topic 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Business Analysis Planning and Monitoring: This domain covers how to plan and oversee business analysis activities within a cybersecurity context, including defining approaches, stakeholder engagement plans, and governance of BA work throughout the project lifecycle.</li></ul> |
| Topic 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Requirements Analysis and Design Definition: This domain involves analyzing, structuring, and specifying cybersecurity requirements in detail, and defining solution designs that address security needs while meeting stakeholder and organizational expectations.</li></ul>         |

>> IIBA-CCA Exam <<

## Test Certification IIBA-CCA Cost - IIBA-CCA Examcollection

The pass rate is 98.75% for IIBA-CCA learning materials, and if you choose us, we can ensure you that you will pass the exam just one time. We are pass guarantee and money back guarantee. We will refund your money if you fail to pass the exam. In addition, IIBA-CCA learning materials of us are compiled by professional experts, and therefore the quality and accuracy can be guaranteed.

IIBA-CCA Exam Dumps of us offer you free update for one year, so that you can know the latest version for the exam, and the latest version for IIBA-CCA exam braindumps will be sent to your email automatically.

## IIBA Certificate in Cybersecurity Analysis Sample Questions (Q68-Q73):

### NEW QUESTION # 68

Which of the following should be addressed in the organization's risk management strategy?

- A. Acceptable risk management methodologies
- B. Controls for each IT asset
- C. Processes for responding to a security breach
- **D. Assignment of an executive responsible for risk management across the organization**

**Answer: D**

Explanation:

An organization's risk management strategy is a governance-level artifact that sets direction for how risk is managed across the enterprise. A core requirement in cybersecurity governance frameworks is clear accountability, including executive ownership for risk decisions that affect the whole organization. Assigning an executive responsible for risk management establishes authority to set risk appetite and tolerance, coordinate risk activities across business units, resolve conflicts between competing priorities, and ensure risk decisions are made consistently rather than in isolated silos. This executive role also supports oversight of risk reporting to senior leadership, ensures resources are allocated to address material risks, and drives integration between cybersecurity, privacy, compliance, and operational resilience programs. Without an accountable executive function, risk management often becomes fragmented, with inconsistent scoring, uneven control implementation, and unclear decision rights for accepting or treating risk. Option A can be part of a strategy, but the question asks what should be addressed, and the most critical foundational element is enterprise accountability and governance. Option B is too granular for a strategy; selecting controls for each IT asset belongs in security architecture, control baselines, and system-level risk assessments. Option C is typically handled in incident response and breach management plans and procedures, which are operational documents derived from strategy but not the strategy itself. Therefore, the best answer is the assignment of an executive responsible for risk management across the organization.

### NEW QUESTION # 69

Which organizational area would drive a cybersecurity infrastructure Business Case?

- A. IT
- **B. Risk**
- C. Finance
- D. Legal

**Answer: B**

Explanation:

A cybersecurity infrastructure business case is typically driven by the Risk function because the justification for security investments is grounded in reducing enterprise risk to an acceptable level and aligning with the organization's risk appetite and regulatory obligations. Risk-focused teams (often working with the CISO and security governance) translate threats, vulnerabilities, and control gaps into business impact terms such as likelihood of adverse events, potential operational disruption, financial exposure, regulatory penalties, and reputational harm. This framing is what a formal business case requires: a clear problem statement, quantified or prioritized risk scenarios, expected risk reduction from proposed controls, and how residual risk compares to tolerance thresholds. While IT usually leads implementation and provides architecture, sizing, and operational cost estimates, IT alone does not typically "drive" the business case without the risk rationale that explains why the investment is necessary and what enterprise outcomes it protects. Legal contributes requirements related to compliance, contracts, and breach handling, but it generally supports rather than owns investment prioritization. Finance evaluates budgeting, funding options, and return-on-investment assumptions, yet it relies on risk inputs to understand why the spend is warranted and what loss exposure is being reduced.

Therefore, the organizational area most responsible for driving a cybersecurity infrastructure business case-by defining the risk problem, articulating risk-based benefits, and enabling executive decision-making-is Risk.

Bottom of Form

### NEW QUESTION # 70

What risk factors should the analyst consider when assessing the Overall Likelihood of a threat?

- A. Past Experience and Trends
- B. Risk Level, Risk Impact, and Mitigation Strategy
- C. Overall Site Traffic and Commerce Volume
- **D. Attack Initiation Likelihood and Initiated Attack Success Likelihood**

**Answer: D**

Explanation:

In NIST-style risk assessment, overall likelihood is not a single guess; it is derived by considering two related likelihood components. First is the likelihood that a threat event will be initiated. This reflects how probable it is that a threat actor or source will attempt the attack or that a threat event will occur, considering factors such as adversary capability, intent, targeting, opportunity, and environmental conditions. Second is the likelihood that an initiated event will succeed, meaning the attempt results in the adverse outcome. This depends heavily on the organization's existing protections and conditions, including control strength, system exposure, vulnerabilities, misconfigurations, detection and response capability, and user behavior.

Option A matches this structure: analysts evaluate both attack initiation likelihood and initiated attack success likelihood to reach an overall view of likelihood. A high initiation likelihood with low success likelihood might occur when an organization is frequently targeted but has strong defenses. Conversely, low initiation likelihood with high success likelihood might apply to niche systems that are rarely targeted but poorly protected.

The other options are incomplete or misplaced. Risk impact is a separate dimension from likelihood, and mitigation strategy is an output of risk treatment, not an input to likelihood. Site traffic and commerce volume can influence exposure but do not define likelihood by themselves. Past experience and trends are useful evidence, but they support estimating the two likelihood components rather than replacing them.

#### NEW QUESTION # 71

What is an embedded system?

- A. A system placed in a location and designed so it cannot be easily removed
- **B. It provides computing services in a small form factor with limited processing power**
- C. It safeguards the cryptographic infrastructure by storing keys inside a tamper-resistant external device
- D. A system that is located in a secure underground facility

**Answer: B**

Explanation:

An embedded system is a specialized computing system designed to perform a dedicated function as part of a larger device or physical system. Unlike general-purpose computers, embedded systems are built to support a specific mission such as controlling sensors, actuators, communications, or device logic in products like routers, printers, medical devices, vehicles, industrial controllers, and smart appliances. Cybersecurity documentation commonly highlights that embedded systems tend to operate with constrained resources, which may include limited CPU power, memory, storage, and user interface capabilities. These constraints affect both design and security: patching may be harder, logging may be minimal, and security features must be carefully engineered to fit the platform's limitations.

Option C best matches this characterization by describing a small form factor and limited processing power, which are typical attributes of many embedded devices. While not every embedded system is "small," the key idea is that it is purpose-built, resource-constrained, and tightly integrated into a larger product.

The other options describe different concepts. A secure underground facility relates to physical site security, not embedded computing. Being hard to remove is about physical installation or tamper resistance, which can apply to many systems but is not what defines "embedded." Storing cryptographic keys in a tamper-resistant external device describes a hardware security module or secure element use case, not the general definition of an embedded system.

#### NEW QUESTION # 72

Which of the following should be addressed by functional security requirements?

- A. Identified vulnerabilities
- B. System reliability
- C. Performance and stability
- **D. User privileges**

**Answer: D**



