


NCP-MCI-7.5 Valid Dumps Ebook & NCP-MCI-7.5 Reliable Test Prep



Our NCP-MCI-7.5 practice engine with passing rate up to 98 percent can build a surely system to elude any kind of loss of you and help you harvest success effortlessly. We are in dire to help you conquer any questions about NCP-MCI-7.5 training materials emerging during your review. If you want to be accepted as an indispensable member in your working condition, and obliterate opponents from a great distance, start by using our NCP-MCI-7.5 Exam Prep to pass the NCP-MCI-7.5 exam now.

In today's society, the pace of life is very fast. No matter what your current status is NCP-MCI-7.5 exam questions can save you the most time, and then pass the exam while still having your own life time. The users of the NCP-MCI-7.5 Study Materials are very extensive, but everyone has a common feature, that is, hope to obtain the NCP-MCI-7.5 certification in the shortest possible time. You can really do this in our NCP-MCI-7.5 learning guide.

>> NCP-MCI-7.5 Valid Dumps Ebook <<

NCP-MCI-7.5 Reliable Test Prep, NCP-MCI-7.5 Actual Braindumps

In modern society, everything is changing so fast with the development of technology. If you do not renew your knowledge and skills, you will be wiped out by others. Our NCP-MCI-7.5 study materials also keep up with the society. After all, new technology has been applied in many fields. It is time to strengthen your skills. Our NCP-MCI-7.5 Study Materials will help you master the most popular skills in the job market. Then you will have a greater chance to find a desirable job. Also, it doesn't matter whether you have basic knowledge about the NCP-MCI-7.5 study materials.

Nutanix Certified Professional - Multicloud Infrastructure (NCP-MCI) 7.5 Sample Questions (Q49-Q54):

NEW QUESTION # 49

An administrator needs to install multiple Prism Central instances on a single Prism Element management cluster. Which statement is valid?

- A. Only one Prism Central instance can be registered to the Prism Element cluster.
- **B. It is possible to register and unregister Prism Element with the same Prism Central multiple times from the GUI.**
- C. After deploying the first Prism Central, the other instances can be set up from the Marketplace.
- D. Installing multiple Prism Central instances on a single cluster isn't supported.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Nutanix documentation confirms that a Prism Element cluster can be registered with only one Prism Central at a time, so option B is false. Nutanix also documents support for deploying multiple Prism Central instances on the same Prism Element cluster, so option A is false as well. The presence of a dedicated Nutanix doc for deploying multiple Prism Central instances on one PE cluster directly disproves option A. (Nutanix Portal) That leaves C and D. Nutanix documentation does not position Marketplace as the standard mechanism described in this context for deploying additional Prism Central instances. By contrast, Nutanix clearly documents registration and unregistration workflows, including the fact that clusters can be unregistered when no longer needed and later registered again. Since a cluster can only be attached to one Prism Central at a time, repeating register/unregister operations is a valid administrative action in supported workflows.

Therefore D is the best valid statement among the given options. This question is mostly testing whether you know the difference between deployment support and registration exclusivity. Multiple PC instances may exist on the cluster, but registration to management is still one-at-a-time. (Nutanix Portal)

NEW QUESTION # 50

An administrator is trying to move an asynchronous PD workload from one cluster to another by taking advantage of PD-Based DR when an error message is displayed in Prism.

Error updating protection domain. Protection domain is already active on source site " cluster name ". Please trigger migrate from source site to activate the protection domain.

What would cause this error to appear?

- A. Must " Promote " the secondary site first before activating
- B. Must " disable " first before trying to activate a PD
- C. Trying to " activate " the PD while the primary cluster is reachable
- D. VMs must be migrated from the VM page instead of using DR

Answer: C

Explanation:

Nutanix documentation for Performing Failover in PD-based DR includes the exact error message quoted in the question:

"Protection domain is already active on source site ... Please trigger migrate from source site to activate the protection domain."

Nutanix shows this message when the protection domain is still active on the source cluster and the proper action is a planned migrate from the source, not an activate at the target. In other words, the source cluster is still reachable and authoritative, so trying to activate the protection domain on the other side is the wrong operation. That makes D the correct answer. (Nutanix Portal) This is a classic Nutanix DR distinction between planned migration and unplanned failover/activation. If the primary cluster is still available, Nutanix expects the administrator to use migrate from the source site.

Activation at the destination is reserved for failover conditions when the source cannot safely coordinate the move. The error itself tells you the reason if you read it carefully: the protection domain is still active on the source site. Therefore the root cause is that the administrator is trying to activate the PD while the primary cluster is still reachable and still owns the active copy, which is exactly option D. (Nutanix Portal)

NEW QUESTION # 51

An administrator needs to give a user group access to resources from multiple clusters. Which action must be performed in Prism Central?

- A. Enable Micro Services Platform
- B. Configure Nutanix Projects
- C. Configure Availability Zone
- D. Enable Advanced Network Controller

Answer: B

Explanation:

Nutanix documentation explains that Projects in Prism Central provide logical groupings of infrastructure resources and user access, and that you can add users and user groups to a project while also allowing clusters and subnets in that project. That is exactly the mechanism used when a user group needs controlled access to resources drawn from multiple clusters. The project becomes the governance boundary that ties users, roles, and infrastructure scope together. Therefore A. Configure Nutanix Projects is the correct answer. (Nutanix Portal) The other choices are unrelated to access scoping across clusters. Advanced Network Controller deals with networking services and policy capabilities, not user resource assignment. Micro Services Platform is not the RBAC/governance feature for multi-cluster access. Availability Zone is relevant for placement and DR topologies, not for delegating cluster resources to user groups. Nutanix's governance guidance is clear that Projects are the construct used to organize

infrastructure resources and assign them to users or groups with the proper RBAC controls. So for a user group that needs access to resources from multiple clusters, the authentic Prism Central action is A. (Nutanix Portal)

NEW QUESTION # 52

An administrator receives intermittent "VM I/O latency" complaints from two application teams that share the same AHV cluster. In Prism Central, multiple performance-related alerts appear across the same 30 minute window, but only some VMs are impacted. The administrator needs to collect the most relevant platform logs for escalation, minimizing noise and ensuring the bundle covers the exact time window when the alert condition occurred. The organization also requires that the log bundle can be attached to a support case without manual repackaging.

Which approach best satisfies the requirement?

- A. Create a new custom alert policy with a stricter threshold so the alert triggers more consistently, then rely on the alert history instead of collecting logs.
- **B. In the alert details, identify impacted entities and timestamps, collect logs for the relevant time window, and upload the bundle using a case-number upload option.**
- C. Export the Alerts list to CSV (up to the export limit), then attach the CSV to the case as the primary diagnostic artifact for performance troubleshooting.
- D. Enable auto-resolve for the alert policy so the alert clears after the condition stops, then collect logs later if the alert returns.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Nutanix documentation for log collection in Prism Central and related Logbay guidance supports collecting logs for a specific time range, which is exactly what this question requires to minimize noise and capture only the relevant 30-minute incident window. Nutanix also documents that when sending logs to Nutanix Support FTP, the administrator can enter a support case number, allowing the bundle to be attached to the case without manual repackaging. That makes D the strongest answer: use the alert details to identify the impacted entities and timestamps, collect the logs for that time window, and upload them using the support-case workflow. (Nutanix Portal) The other options do not produce the right diagnostic artifact. Auto-resolve only affects alert state, not log quality. Tightening thresholds may generate more alerts, but it does not replace collecting platform logs. Exporting a CSV of alerts can help summarize incidents, but it is not the primary evidence Nutanix Support needs for performance troubleshooting. Nutanix's supported model is very clear: identify the incident timing, collect the appropriate logs for that window, and upload the bundle in a support-compatible way. Therefore D is the authentic answer from a Nutanix troubleshooting workflow perspective. (Nutanix Portal)

NEW QUESTION # 53

An administrator needs to ensure that if a host remains in a disconnected status for 40 seconds, VMs running on a host are automatically restarted.

What method should the administrator use to ensure root file system corruption has not occurred and that the root file system of a host isn't in a read-only state?

- A. Host-based reservation method
- B. Scheduler-based reservation method
- C. Root file system corruption method
- **D. Segment-based reservation method**

Answer: D

Explanation:

Nutanix AHV High Availability documentation states that if a host remains disconnected for 40 seconds, VMs on that host can be automatically restarted based on HA settings. The same Nutanix documentation also explains that AHV uses the segment-based reservation method for guaranteed VM High Availability and that the older host-based reservation method is deprecated. Since the question asks which method should be used in that HA context, the correct answer is segment-based reservation method. (Nutanix) The wording about root filesystem corruption and read-only state appears to mix HA behavior with host health validation, but among the listed choices only segment-based reservation is an actual current Nutanix HA reservation method documented for this purpose. "Root file system corruption method" and "scheduler-based reservation method" are not valid Nutanix reservation methods. Because Nutanix explicitly says Acropolis uses segment-based reservation for guaranteed HA, that is the best and supported answer even if the question stem is somewhat awkwardly phrased. Therefore, C is correct. (Nutanix)

myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
lulufbvy773876.prublogger.com, Disposable vapes