

ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 응시자료 - ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 적중율 높은 덤프



Itexamdump ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 최신 PDF 버전 시험 문제집을 무료로 Google Drive에서 다운로드하세요:
<https://drive.google.com/open?id=18iR7crZ9JCnamoxV89Lff5n7N4DJrTDA>

Itexamdump에는 PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 인증 시험의 특별한 합습 가이드가 있습니다. 여러분은 많은 시간과 돈을 들이지 않으셔도 많은 IT 관련 지식을 배우실 수 있습니다. 그리고 빠른 시일 내에 여러분의 IT 지식을 인증 받으실 있습니다. Itexamdump 인증 자료들은 우리의 전문가들이 자기만의 지식과 몇 년간의 경험으로 준비 중인 분들을 위하여 만들었습니다.

Itexamdump의 PECB 인증 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험 덤프 공부 자료 출시 당시 저희는 이런 크나큰 인지도를 갖출 수 있을지 생각도 못했습니다. 저희를 믿어주시고 구매해주신 분께 너무나도 감사한 마음에 더욱 열심히 해나가자는 결심을 하였습니다. PECB 인증 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 덤프 자료는 Itexamdump의 전문가들이 최선을 다하여 깔고 닦은 예술품과도 같습니다. 100% 시험에서 패스하도록 저희는 항상 힘쓰고 있습니다.

>> ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 응시자료 <<

ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 적중율 높은 덤프 - ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 인기 시험 덤프

ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 인증 시험은 PECB사의 인증 시험입니다. PECB 인증 사의 시험을 패스한다면 IT업계에서의 대우는 달라집니다. 때문에 점점 많은 분들이 PECB 인증 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험을 응시합니다. 하지만 실질적으로 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험을 패스하시는 분들은 너무 적습니다. 전문적인 지식을 터득하면서 완벽한 준비하고 응시하기에는 너무 많은 시간이 필요합니다. 하지만 우리 Itexamdump는 이러한 여러분의 시간을 절약해드립니다.

최신 AI management system (AIMS) ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 무료 샘플 문제 (Q37-Q42):

질문 #37

Scenario 7:

Scenario 7: ICure, headquartered in Bratislava, is a medical institution known for its use of the latest technologies in medical practices. It has introduced groundbreaking AI-driven diagnostics and treatment planning tools that have fundamentally transformed patient care.

ICure has integrated a robust artificial intelligence management system AIMS to manage its AI systems effectively. This holistic management framework ensures that ICure's AI applications are not only developed but also deployed and maintained to adhere to the highest industry standards, thereby enhancing efficiency and reliability.

ICure has initiated a comprehensive auditing process to validate its AIMS's effectiveness in alignment with ISO/IEC 42001. The stage 1 audit involved an on-site evaluation by the audit team. The team evaluated the site-specific conditions, interacted with ICure's personnel, observed the deployed technologies, and reviewed the operations that support the AIMS. Following these observations, the findings were documented and communicated to ICure, setting the stage for subsequent actions.

Unforeseen delays and resource allocation issues introduced a significant gap between the completion of stage 1 and the onset of stage 2 audits. This interval, while unplanned, provided an opportunity for reflection and preparation for upcoming challenges.

After four months, the audit team initiated the stage 2 audit. They evaluated AIMS's compliance with ISO/IEC 42001 requirements, paying special attention to the complexity of processes and their documentation. It was during this phase that a critical observation was made:

ICure had not fully considered the complexity of its processes and their interactions when determining the extent of documented information. Essential processes related to AI model training, validation, and deployment were not documented accurately, hindering effective control and management of these critical activities. This issue was recorded as a minor nonconformity, signaling a need for enhanced control and management of these vital activities.

Simultaneously, the auditor evaluated the appropriateness and effectiveness of the "AIMS Insight Strategy," a procedure developed by ICure to determine the AIMS internal and external challenges. This examination identified specific areas for improvement, particularly in the way stakeholder input was integrated into the system. It highlighted how this could significantly enhance the contribution of relevant parties in strengthening the system's resilience and effectiveness.

The audit team determined the audit findings by taking into consideration the requirements of ICure, the previous audit records and conclusions, the accuracy, sufficiency, and appropriateness of evidence, the extent to which planned audit activities are realized and planned results achieved, the sample size, and the categorization of the audit findings. The audit team decided to first record all the requirements met; then they proceeded to record the nonconformities.

Based on the scenario above, answer the following question:

Question:

Which phase of the Stage 1 audit was NOT conducted by the audit team?

- A. Prepare audit test plans
- B. Conduct on-site activities
- C. Prepare for on-site activities

정답: A

설명:

The scenario mentions on-site evaluation and preparation but does not mention audit test plan preparation, which is a key part of audit planning.

* ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.2.3.1 and ISO 19011:2018 Clause 6.4.3 both emphasize the importance of developing test/check plans based on audit criteria, risks, and scope prior to the audit.

* The ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Auditor Guide includes audit test plan preparation under pre-audit responsibilities, and omitting it is a planning deficiency.

Reference: ISO 19011:2018 Clause 6.4.3; ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.2.3.1.

질문 # 38

Scenario 7 (continued):

Scenario 7: ICure, headquartered in Bratislava, is a medical institution known for its use of the latest technologies in medical practices. It has introduced groundbreaking AI-driven diagnostics and treatment planning tools that have fundamentally transformed patient care.

ICure has integrated a robust artificial intelligence management system AIMS to manage its AI systems effectively. This holistic management framework ensures that ICure's AI applications are not only developed but also deployed and maintained to adhere to the highest industry standards, thereby enhancing efficiency and reliability.

ICure has initiated a comprehensive auditing process to validate its AIMS's effectiveness in alignment with ISO/IEC 42001. The stage 1 audit involved an on-site evaluation by the audit team. The team evaluated the site-specific conditions, interacted with ICure's personnel, observed the deployed technologies, and reviewed the operations that support the AIMS. Following these observations, the findings were documented and communicated to ICure, setting the stage for subsequent actions.

Unforeseen delays and resource allocation issues introduced a significant gap between the completion of stage 1 and the onset of stage 2 audits. This interval, while unplanned, provided an opportunity for reflection and preparation for upcoming challenges.

After four months, the audit team initiated the stage 2 audit. They evaluated AIMS's compliance with ISO /IEC 42001 requirements, paying special attention to the complexity of processes and their documentation. It was during this phase that a critical observation was made:

ICure had not fully considered the complexity of its processes and their interactions when determining the extent of documented information. Essential processes related to AI model training, validation, and deployment were not documented accurately, hindering effective control and management of these critical activities. This issue was recorded as a minor nonconformity, signaling a need for enhanced control and management of these vital activities.

Simultaneously, the auditor evaluated the appropriateness and effectiveness of the "AIMS Insight Strategy," a procedure developed by ICure to determine the AIMS internal and external challenges. This examination identified specific areas for improvement, particularly in the way stakeholder input was integrated into the system. It highlighted how this could significantly enhance the contribution of relevant parties in strengthening the system's resilience and effectiveness.

The audit team determined the audit findings by taking into consideration the requirements of ICure, the previous audit records and conclusions, the accuracy, sufficiency, and appropriateness of evidence, the extent to which planned audit activities are realized and planned results achieved, the sample size, and the categorization of the audit findings. The audit team decided to first record all the requirements met; then they proceeded to record the nonconformities.

Based on the scenario above, answer the following question:

Question:

Based on Scenario 7, the audit team conducted a Stage 2 audit after a considerable time from Stage 1. Is this recommended?

- A. No, the gap between Stage 1 and Stage 2 audits should be minimal (usually two weeks) to ensure that the AIMS remains consistent and relevant during the audit process
- B. Yes, a bigger gap between Stage 1 and Stage 2 audits allows the audit team time for reflection and preparation in addressing the findings
- C. No, the Stage 2 audit should be conducted immediately after the Stage 1 audit to quickly address any identified issues

정답: A

설명:

A minimal gap between Stage 1 and Stage 2 is strongly recommended.

* ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.3.1.2 states: "The interval between Stage 1 and Stage 2 should be short to avoid changes to system implementation or operating conditions."

* The ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Auditor Training Guide recommends a maximum gap of 90 days, preferably 2-3 weeks, to ensure continuity.

Reference: ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.3.1.2; ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Auditor Guide Section 6 ("Audit Timing and Continuity").

질문 # 39

Scenario 8 (continued):

Scenario 8:

Scenario 8: InnovateSoft, headquartered in Berlin, Germany, is a software development company known for its innovative solutions and commitment to excellence. It specializes in custom software solutions, development, design, testing, maintenance, and consulting, covering both mobile apps and web development.

Recently, the company underwent an audit to evaluate the effectiveness and compliance of its artificial intelligence management system AIMS against ISO/IEC 42001.

The audit team engaged with the auditee to discuss their findings and observations during the audit's final phases. After evaluating the evidence, the audit team presented their audit findings to InnovateSoft, highlighting the identified nonconformities.

Upon receiving the audit findings, InnovateSoft accepted the conclusions but expressed concerns about some findings inaccurately reflecting the efficiency of their software development processes. In response, the company provided new evidence and additional information to alter the audit conclusions for a couple of minor nonconformities identified. After thorough consideration, the audit team leader clarified that the new evidence did not significantly alter the core conclusions drawn for the nonconformities. Therefore, the certification body issued a certification recommendation conditional upon the filing of corrective action plans without a prior visit.

InnovateSoft accepted the decision of the certification body. The top management of the company also sought suggestions from the audit team on resolving the identified nonconformities. The audit team leader offered solutions to address the issues, fostering a collaborative effort between the auditors and InnovateSoft. During the closing meeting, the audit team covered key topics to enhance transparency. They clarified to InnovateSoft that the audit evidence was based on a sample, acknowledging the inherent uncertainty. The method and time frame of reporting and grading findings were discussed to provide a structured overview of nonconformities. The certification body's process for handling nonconformities, including potential consequences, guided InnovateSoft

on corrective actions. The time frame for presenting a plan for correction was communicated, emphasizing urgency. Insights into the certification body's post-audit activities were provided, ensuring ongoing support.

Lastly, the audit team briefed InnovateSoft on complaint and appeal handling.

InnovateSoft submitted the action plans for each nonconformity separately, describing only the detected issues and the corrective actions planned to address the detected nonconformities. However, the submission slightly exceeded the specified period of 45 days set by the certification body, arriving three days later.

InnovateSoft explained this by attributing the delay to unexpected challenges encountered during the compilation of the action plans. After being recommended for certification (pending submission of corrective actions), InnovateSoft did not notify the auditor about completion of corrections and corrective actions.

Question:

Is this acceptable?

- A. No, the auditee is required to inform the auditor about the completion status of the corrections and corrective actions
- B. No, audit team leader must be informed to evaluate the effectiveness of the actions with a visit on the auditee's site
- C. Yes, since the auditee was recommended for certification upon the submission of corrective action plans without a prior visit

정답: A

설명:

The auditee must formally inform the certification body (or designated auditor) once corrective actions are completed - even if no follow-up visit is required.

* ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.4.9.3 requires the auditor to review evidence of correction and corrective actions, and the client is responsible for providing this.

* The Lead Auditor Manual emphasizes: "The audit team cannot confirm closure of nonconformities without documented evidence or confirmation from the auditee." Reference: ISO/IEC 17021-1:2015 Clause 9.4.9.3; ISO/IEC 42001 Lead Auditor Study Guide - Section 9 ("Audit Closure").

질문 # 40

Question:

While auditing a company's AIMS, the audit team reviewed policies, objectives, and communications to evaluate the involvement of top management. They also conducted interviews with staff to assess the engagement of leaders at various levels in ensuring the system's effectiveness.

Based on this approach, what level of management should the auditors prioritize when assessing leadership and commitment?

- A. They should focus on the leadership of department heads
- B. They should focus on leadership at all levels of management
- C. They should focus on leadership at the top management level

정답: B

설명:

ISO/IEC 42001 emphasizes that leadership is a shared responsibility that must be demonstrated at all management levels.

* Clause 5.1 (Leadership and commitment) states: "Top management shall demonstrate leadership and commitment... and ensure that roles, responsibilities, and authorities are assigned, communicated, and understood."

* The Lead Auditor Guide also emphasizes evaluating leadership engagement across hierarchical levels, not just the top.

Reference: ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Clause 5.1; Lead Auditor Training Manual - Module 5 ("Leadership and Engagement").

질문 # 41

Which core element emphasizes that AI systems should be designed to avoid bias and ensure fair treatment for all individuals?

- A. Fairness and Non-Discrimination
- B. Transparency and Explainability
- C. Human-Centered Design
- D. Accountability

정답: A

설명:

The principle of Fairness and Non-Discrimination is one of the core ethical and governance pillars emphasized in ISO/IEC 42001:2023, especially in Clause 4.2 (Understanding the needs and expectations of interested parties) and Clause 6.1 (Actions to address risks and opportunities) where ethical risks related to AI systems are assessed.

According to the standard and accompanying PECB training guide, fairness is defined as ensuring that AI systems do not create or perpetuate bias, and that individuals and groups are treated equitably, with measures in place to detect and mitigate discrimination. This is often embedded in risk assessments and operational controls when managing AI systems.

In ISO/IEC 42001, organizations are required to consider ethical, legal, and societal impacts of their AI systems, and Fairness and Non-Discrimination is a key tenet of trustworthy AI.

Reference: ISO/IEC 42001:2023, Clauses 4.2, 6.1.2, and 8.2.3

PECB Lead Auditor Course Guide, Section on "Ethical Principles of AI," Subsection: Fairness and Non-Discrimination

질문 # 42

.....

Itexamdump의 PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 덤프로 PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험준비를 하면 시험패스는 간단한 일이라는 걸 알게 될 것입니다. PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 덤프는 최근 PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험의 기출문제 모음으로 되어있기에 적중율이 높습니다. 시험에서 떨어지면 덤프비용 전액 환불해드리기에 우려없이 덤프를 주문하셔도 됩니다.

ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 적중율 높은 덤프 : <https://www.itexamdump.com/ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor.html>

PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 응시자료 다른 사람들이 모두 취득하고 있는 자격증에 관심도 없는 분은 치열한 경쟁 속에서 살아남기 어렵습니다. Itexamdump ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 적중율 높은 덤프를 선택함으로써 여러분은 성공도 선택한 것이라고 볼 수 있습니다. PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 응시자료 이런 경우 덤프 주문번호와 불합격 성적표를 메일로 보내오시면 구매일로부터 60일내에 주문이라면 덤프비용 전액을 환불해드리고 60일 이 지난 주문이라면 추후 덤프가 업데이트될 시 업데이트버전을 무료로 제공해드립니다. Itexamdump ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 적중율 높은 덤프는 여러분의 요구를 만족시켜드리는 사이트입니다.

한데 왜 지금껏 환관들이 왕좌를 탑하지 않았는지 모르겠느냐, 여운의 말수가 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 줄어들고 얼굴이 어두워진 것을 본은 민은 당황했다, 다른 사람들이 모두 취득하고 있는 자격증에 관심도 없는 분은 치열한 경쟁 속에서 살아남기 어렵습니다.

최신 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 응시자료 덤프 샘플문제 체험하기

Itexamdump을 선택함으로써 여러분은 성공도 선택한 것이라고 볼 수 있습니다. 이런 경우 덤프 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 인기자격증 인증 시험자료 주문번호와 불합격 성적표를 메일로 보내오시면 구매일로부터 60일내에 주문이라면 덤프비용 전액을 환불해드리고 60일이 지난 주문이라면 추후 덤프가 업데이트될 시 업데이트버전을 무료로 제공해드립니다.

Itexamdump는 여러분의 요구를 만족시켜드리는 사이트입니다. 우리 Itexamdump 사이트에서 PECB ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 관련자료의 일부분 문제와 답 등 샘플을 제공함으로 여러분은 무료로 다운받아 체험해보실 수 있습니다.

- ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 응시자료 최신 덤프 샘플문제 다운 「www.exampassdump.com」에서 검색만 하면 ★ ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor ★ 를 무료로 다운로드할 수 있습니다 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 최신 버전 덤프 샘플 다운
- 적중율 높은 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 응시자료 인증덤프 지금 { www.itdumpskr.com } 을(를) 열고 무료 다운로드를 위해 ► ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 를 검색하십시오 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험패스자료
- ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 높은 통과율 공부자료 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 인기자격증 덤프문제 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 퍼펙트 최신 덤프자료 { www.dumptop.com } 웹사이트에서 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 를 열고 검색하여 무료 다운로드 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험패스자료
- ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 최신버전 덤프문제 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 최신 업데이트버전 인증덤프 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 최신버전 덤프 샘플 다운 무료로 다운로드 하려면 www.itdumpskr.com 로 이동하여 ► ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 를 검색하십시오 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 유효한 덤프 공부
- ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 합격보장 가능 시험대비자료 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 퍼펙트 최신버전 덤프자료 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 유효한 덤프 공부 【 www.itdumpskr.com 】에서 검색만 하면 ► ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 를 무료로 다운로드할 수 있습니다 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험내용
- ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 유효한 덤프 공부 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 퍼펙트 최신 덤프자료 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 최고품질 덤프문제 모음집 무료 다운로드를 위해 “ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor”

검색하려면 (www.itdumpsskr.com) 을(를) 입력하십시오 ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 최신 덤프 문제 보기

그리고 Itexamdump ISO-IEC-42001-Lead-Auditor 시험 문제집의 전체 버전을 클라우드 저장소에서 다운로드할 수 있습니다: <https://drive.google.com/open?id=18iR7crZ9JCnamoxV89LfF5n7N4DJrTDA>