

# 効果的Salesforce Plat-Arch-204 | 最新のPlat-Arch-204 認定資格試験 | 試験の準備方法Salesforce Certified Platform Integration Architect独学書籍



P.S. PassTestがGoogle Driveで共有している無料かつ新しいPlat-Arch-204ダンプ：[https://drive.google.com/open?id=1uy2TTbI90LSEdXG\\_4lCujbdT5Esj\\_EG1](https://drive.google.com/open?id=1uy2TTbI90LSEdXG_4lCujbdT5Esj_EG1)

当社PassTestのすべての専門家および教授の唯一の目標は、すべての人々に最適で適切なPlat-Arch-204学習教材を設計することです。多くの顧客のさまざまな要求に応じて、彼らはすべての顧客向けに3つの異なるバージョンのPlat-Arch-204認定試験ガイド資料を設計しました：PDF、ソフト、およびAPPバージョン。弊社のPlat-Arch-204試験問題を使用するすべての人がPlat-Arch-204試験に合格し、関連する認定資格を取得できることを心から願っています。そして、Plat-Arch-204試験問題の合格率は98%以上です。

## Salesforce Plat-Arch-204 認定試験の出題範囲：

| トピック   | 出題範囲  |
|--------|---|
| トピック 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>ビジネス ニーズを評価する:この領域では、機能要件と非機能要件の収集、機密性によるデータの分類、CRMの成功要因の特定、ビジネスの成長と規制が統合の選択にどのように影響するかの理解について説明します。</li></ul>                        |
| トピック 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>ニーズを統合要件に変換する:この領域では、システムとパターンを文書化し、制約を評価し、セキュリティ要件を定義し、ボリューム、応答時間、待機時間などのパフォーマンス ニーズを決定することによって、ビジネス ニーズを技術仕様に変換します。</li></ul>       |
| トピック 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>統合ソリューションの設計:このドメインは、統合パターンの選択、適切なコンポーネントを使用した完全なソリューションの設計、トレードオフと制限の理解、適切なSalesforce APIの選択、必要な標準とセキュリティメカニズムの決定に重点を置きます。</li></ul> |

|        |  |
|--------|--|
| トピック 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ソリューションの構築: このドメインでは、API 設計の考慮事項、アウトバウンド メソッドの選択、スケーラブルなソリューションの構築、エラー処理の実装、セキュリティ ソリューションの作成、システム更新時の復元力の確保などの統合の実装について説明します。</li> </ul> |
| トピック 5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>現在のシステム ランドスケープの評価: このドメインでは、既存の技術環境を分析して、現在のシステム、その標準、プロトコル、制限、境界を理解し、制約と認証</li> <li>承認の要件を特定します。</li> </ul>                             |

>> Plat-Arch-204認定資格 <<

## Plat-Arch-204独学書籍、Plat-Arch-204日本語版試験解答

PassTestのPlat-Arch-204資料を言及するたびに、多くの人の反応は高い出題率です。Salesforce認証に参加する人が不安の状態から平静になって、試験に順調に合格しました。新しい資料がないなら、努力だけが不足です。Plat-Arch-204試験に合格したいなら、我々の全面的な資料を参考として試験を準備しましょう。

## Salesforce Certified Platform Integration Architect 認定 Plat-Arch-204 試験問題 (Q130-Q135):

### 質問 # 130

Considering Salesforce capabilities, what should the integration architect evaluate when integrating Salesforce with the current system landscape?

- A. Integrate Salesforce with Email Management System, Order Management System and Case Management System
- B. Integrate Salesforce with Data Warehouse, Order Management and Email Management System**
- C. Integrate Salesforce with Order Management System, Data Warehouse and Case Management System

正解: B

解説:

When evaluating a current system landscape for a Salesforce implementation, an Integration Architect's primary goal is to align the platform's native capabilities with the existing architecture to determine which systems will be replaced, retired, or integrated. Based on the provided diagram (image\_69c0fd.png), the landscape consists of an Email Management System, an Order Management System, a Case Management System, and a Data Warehouse used for Business Intelligence.

In a scenario where an enterprise is implementing Salesforce to support Case Management (Service Cloud), Salesforce natively provides the robust capabilities required to serve as the new "System of Record" for customer support interactions. Consequently, the legacy Case Management System is typically targeted for retirement. The architect's evaluation therefore focuses on the necessary integration points with the systems that will coexist with the new Salesforce instance to ensure a unified agent experience.

As outlined in standard Salesforce Integration Architecture frameworks, the following evaluations are critical:

**Order Management System (OMS):** Salesforce must integrate with the OMS so that service agents can view real-time order history, shipping status, and line items directly within the Case record, often using patterns like Request-Reply or Data Virtualization.

**Email Management System (EMS):** To support Omni-Channel service, Salesforce needs to integrate with the EMS (via Email-to-Case or a Marketing Cloud connector) to ensure that all customer communications are captured and tracked against the appropriate Case records.

**Data Warehouse:** For long-term historical reporting and cross-functional analytics, Salesforce must push its transactional data to the enterprise Data Warehouse. This ensures the Analytics and Business Intelligence Tool can continue to provide a holistic view of company performance.

By selecting Option C, the architect recognizes that Salesforce replaces the legacy case management function while requiring strategic integration with the Data Warehouse, Order Management, and Email Management systems to achieve a true 360-degree view of the customer.

### 質問 # 131

A large business-to-consumer (B2C) customer is planning to implement Salesforce CRM to become a customer-centric enterprise. Below is the B2C customer's current system landscape diagram.

The goals for implementing Salesforce include:

Develop a 360-degree view of the customer.

Leverage Salesforce capabilities for marketing, sales, and service processes.

Reuse Enterprise capabilities built for quoting and order management processes.

Which three systems from the current system landscape can be retired with the implementation of Salesforce?

- A. Order Management, Case Management, and Email Marketing
- B. Sales Activity, Order Management, and Case Management
- **C. Email Marketing, Sales Activity, and Case Management**

**正解: C**

**解説:**

Comprehensive and Detailed 250 to 350 words of Explanation From Salesforce P114 Platform Integration Architect documents: In the role of a Salesforce Platform Integration Architect, evaluating a legacy landscape requires a clinical mapping of current system functions against Salesforce's native capabilities, while strictly adhering to the "Constraints and Goals" provided by the business. The objective here is to maximize the ROI of the Salesforce implementation by consolidating redundant systems into the core platform. According to Goal 2, the business intends to utilize Salesforce for Marketing, Sales, and Service processes. Salesforce is architected to handle these three domains through its core clouds: Marketing Cloud (replacing the legacy Email Marketing System), Sales Cloud (replacing the Sales Activity System), and Service Cloud (replacing the Case Management System). By consolidating these three specific functions into Salesforce, the organization achieves Goal 1, which is the creation of a 360-degree view of the customer. When these activities occur on a single platform, the data is unified, eliminating the silos that existed in the previous landscape. However, the architect must also respect the technical constraints defined in Goal 3, which explicitly states the need to reuse enterprise capabilities built for quoting and order management. In architectural design, this designates the "Quoting System" and the "Order Management System" as systems of record that must remain in the future-state landscape. These systems likely contain complex, proprietary logic or are tightly coupled with back-end ERP systems like SAP Business Suite, making them "non-negotiable" for retirement at this stage.

Therefore, because Email Marketing, Sales Activity, and Case Management map directly to Salesforce's primary strengths and are not excluded by the "reuse" requirement, they are the three systems that should be retired. This strategic retirement simplifies the integration architecture, allowing the architect to focus on building robust integration patterns (such as Request-Reply or Fire-and-Forget) between Salesforce and the remaining Quoting and Order Management systems.

**質問 # 132**

A large enterprise customer is implementing Salesforce. Current systems include ERP for invoicing/fulfillment and a Marketing solution for email. Associates need to view and log interactions in Salesforce. Which system should be the system of record for customers and prospects?

- A. New Custom Database for customers and prospects
- B. ERP with all prospect data from Marketing and Salesforce
- **C. Salesforce with relevant Marketing and ERP information**

**正解: C**

**解説:**

To achieve a "Customer 360" view where sales and service associates have the context needed for daily interactions, Salesforce is the logical System of Record (SoR) for customer and prospect engagement.

While the ERP remains the master for financial transactions (invoices) and the Marketing tool masters campaign analytics, Salesforce should house the core "Golden Record" of the customer. This is achieved by integrating relevant data from the ERP (order status, invoice history) and Marketing (lead source, email engagement) into Salesforce. This strategy (Option A) empowers associates to log interactions and view history in one place, fulfilling the primary goal of the CRM implementation while avoiding the complexity of a new custom database (Option B) or trying to force prospect-level engagement data into a rigid ERP (Option C).

**質問 # 133**

A customer is migrating from an old legacy system to Salesforce and wants to integrate all existing systems currently working with the legacy application. Which constraint/pain-point should an integration architect consider when choosing the integration pattern/mechanism?

- **A. Data volume and processing volume**
- B. Reporting and usability requirements

- C. Multi-language and multi-currency requirement

正解: A

解説:

When designing an integration architecture for a legacy migration, Data volume and processing volume are the primary technical constraints that dictate the choice of integration pattern.

Salesforce is a multi-tenant environment with strict governor limits. High data volumes can quickly exhaust synchronous request limits, API quotas, and storage allocations. An architect must evaluate:

Synchronous vs. Asynchronous: High-volume processing often requires asynchronous patterns (like Batch or Fire-and-Forget) to avoid blocking user actions and hitting concurrent request limits.

Bulk vs. REST: If millions of records need to be migrated or synchronized daily, the Bulk API is the only scalable mechanism, as standard REST or SOAP APIs are not optimized for massive datasets.

Data Persistence: Large volumes of read-only data might be better served through Data Virtualization (Salesforce Connect) to avoid consuming expensive Salesforce storage.

While reporting (Option C) and multi-currency (Option B) are important business requirements, they are functional configurations within Salesforce and do not drive the technical "plumbing" of the integration as heavily as volume does. By prioritizing the evaluation of volume and processing needs, the architect ensures that the integration is stable, performant, and capable of scaling as the business grows.

#### 質問 # 134

Northern Trail Outfitters (NTO) is planning to create a native employee-facing mobile app with the look and feel of Salesforce Lightning Experience. The mobile app needs to integrate with NTO's Salesforce org. Which Salesforce API should be used to implement this integration?

- A. Connect REST API
- B. User Interface API
- C. REST API

正解: B

解説:

When building custom mobile or web applications that aim to replicate the look and feel of Salesforce Lightning Experience, the User Interface (UI) API is the architecturally recommended choice.

The UI API is specifically designed to provide the metadata and data needed to build high-fidelity user interfaces. Unlike the standard REST API (Option B), which returns raw record data, the UI API returns both data and metadata in a single response.

This includes information about page layouts, field-level security, picklist values, and localized labels. By using the UI API, the mobile app can dynamically render fields according to the user's permissions and the organization's layout configurations, ensuring that the custom app stays in sync with changes made in Salesforce Setup without requiring code updates in the mobile app.

Connect REST API (Option A) is primarily used for Chatter, Communities (Experience Cloud), and CMS content, and while it is useful for those specific social features, it does not provide the layout and record-level metadata required for a full CRM interface.

The UI API is the same underlying technology that powers the Salesforce mobile app and Lightning Experience itself. Therefore, utilizing this API allows NTO's developers to build a native app that perfectly mimics the Lightning Experience while reducing the amount of custom logic needed to handle complex Salesforce UI requirements.

#### 質問 # 135

.....

すべての顧客の誠実な要件を考慮して、Plat-Arch-204テストの質問は、高品質の製品と思いやりのあるアフターサービスを備えた候補者に約束します。試験での99%の合格率、購入前の無料トライアル、安全なプライバシー保護など、Plat-Arch-204トレーニング資料の多くの利点がよく認識されています。顧客の観点から、最適なPlat-Arch-204模擬試験へのすべての顧客の信頼とフィードバックを大切に、最良の選択です。

**Plat-Arch-204独学書籍**: <https://www.passtest.jp/Salesforce/Plat-Arch-204-shiken.html>

- 信頼的なPlat-Arch-204認定資格 - 合格スムーズPlat-Arch-204独学書籍 | 有難いPlat-Arch-204日本語版試験解答   [www.mogixam.com](http://www.mogixam.com)  から簡単に { Plat-Arch-204 } を無料でダウンロードできますPlat-Arch-204受験料過去問
- Plat-Arch-204復習攻略問題  Plat-Arch-204試験情報  Plat-Arch-204難易度受験料  ➡

