

信頼できるSOA-C03テスト難易度 |最初の試行で簡単に勉強して試験に合格する &効率的なSOA-C03: AWS Certified CloudOps Engineer - Associate



Xhs1991 は Amazon 業界に認定試験大綱の主要なサプライヤーとして、SOA-C03 専門家は一緻して品質の高い商品を開発し続けています。

Amazon SOA-C03 認定試験の出題範囲:

トピック	出題範囲
トピック 1	Security and Compliance: This section measures skills of Security Engineers and includes implementing IAM policies, roles, MFA, and access controls. It focuses on troubleshooting access issues, enforcing compliance, securing data at rest and in transit using AWS KMS and ACM, protecting secrets, and applying findings from Security Hub, GuardDuty, and Inspector.
トピック 2	Networking and Content Delivery: This section measures skills of Cloud Network Engineers and focuses on VPC configuration, subnets, routing, network ACLs, and gateways. It includes optimizing network cost and performance, configuring DNS with Route 53, using CloudFront and Global Accelerator for content delivery, and troubleshooting network and hybrid connectivity using logs and monitoring tools.
トピック 3	Monitoring, Logging, Analysis, Remediation, and Performance Optimization: This section of the exam measures skills of CloudOps Engineers and covers implementing AWS monitoring tools such as CloudWatch, CloudTrail, and Prometheus. It evaluates configuring alarms, dashboards, and notifications, analyzing performance metrics, troubleshooting issues using EventBridge and Systems Manager, and applying strategies to optimize compute, storage, and database performance.
トピック 4	Deployment, Provisioning, and Automation: This section measures the skills of Cloud Engineers and covers provisioning and maintaining cloud resources using AWS CloudFormation, CDK, and third-party tools. It evaluates automation of deployments, remediation of resource issues, and managing infrastructure using Systems Manager and event-driven processes like Lambda or S3 notifications.
トピック 5	Reliability and Business Continuity: This section measures the skills of System Administrators and focuses on maintaining scalability, elasticity, and fault tolerance. It includes configuring load balancing, auto scaling, Multi-AZ deployments, implementing backup and restore strategies with AWS Backup and versioning, and ensuring disaster recovery to meet RTO and RPO goals.

>> SOA-C03テスト難易度 <<

SOA-C03日本語解説集、SOA-C03認証試験

近年、この行では、AWS Certified CloudOps Engineer - Associateの実際の試験で新しいポイントが絶えずテストされていることについて、いくつかの変更が行われています。そのため、当社の専門家は新しいタイプの質問を強調し、練習資料に更新を追加し、発生した場合は密接にシフトを探します。このXhs1991試験で起こった急速な変化については、Amazon専門家が修正し、現在見ているSOA-C03試験シミュレーションが最新バージョンであることを保証します。材料の傾向は必ずしも簡単に予測できるわけではありませんが、10年の経験から予測可能なパターンを持っているため、次のSOA-C03準備材料AWS Certified CloudOps Engineer - Associateで発生する知識のポイントを正確に予測することがよくあります。

Amazon AWS Certified CloudOps Engineer - Associate 認定 SOA-C03 試験 問題 (Q137-Q142):

質問 # 137

A company hosts a static website in an Amazon S3 bucket, accessed globally via Amazon CloudFront. The Cache-Control max-age header is set to 1 hour, and Maximum TTL is set to 5 minutes. The CloudOps engineer observes that CloudFront is not caching objects for the expected duration.

What is the reason for this issue?

- A. Cached assets are not expiring in the edge location.
- B. Cache invalidation is missing in the CloudFront configuration.
- **C. Cache-duration settings conflict with each other.**
- D. The Expires header has been set to 3 hours.

正解: C

解説:

As per the AWS Cloud Operations and Content Delivery documentation, CloudFront determines cache behavior by evaluating both origin headers (e.g., Cache-Control and Expires) and distribution-level TTL settings.

When Cache-Control max-age conflicts with the Maximum TTL configured in CloudFront, the shorter TTL value takes precedence. This results in CloudFront caching content for only 5 minutes instead of 1 hour, despite the origin headers suggesting a longer duration.

AWS documentation explicitly states: "When both origin cache headers and CloudFront TTL settings are defined, CloudFront uses the most restrictive caching period." This mismatch causes the perceived performance drop, as CloudFront frequently revalidates content.

Therefore, Option D is correct - cache-duration settings conflict with each other, leading to unexpected caching behavior.

Reference: AWS Cloud Operations & Content Delivery Guide - Interaction Between Cache-Control Headers and CloudFront TTL Settings

質問 # 138

A company has an AWS CloudFormation template that includes an AWS::EC2::Instance resource and a custom resource (Lambda function). The Lambda function fails because it runs before the EC2 instance is launched.

Which solution will resolve this issue?

- A. Update the Lambda function to use the cfn-response module to send a response to the custom resource.
- B. Update the custom resource's service token to point to a valid Lambda function.
- C. Use the Fn::If intrinsic function to check for the EC2 instance before the custom resource runs.
- **D. Add a DependsOn attribute to the custom resource. Specify the EC2 instance in the DependsOn attribute.**

正解: D

解説:

The AWS Cloud Operations and Infrastructure-as-Code documentation specifies that when using AWS CloudFormation, resources are created in parallel by default unless explicitly ordered using DependsOn.

If a custom resource (Lambda) depends on another resource (like an EC2 instance) to exist before execution, a DependsOn attribute must be added to enforce creation order. This ensures the EC2 instance is launched and available before the custom resource executes its automation logic.

Updating the service token (Option B) doesn't affect order of execution. The cfn-response module (Option C) handles callback communication but not sequencing. Fn::If (Option D) is for conditional creation, not dependency control.

Therefore, Option A is correct - adding a DependsOn attribute guarantees that CloudFormation provisions the EC2 instance before executing the Lambda custom resource.

Reference: AWS Cloud Operations & Infrastructure-as-Code Guide - Using DependsOn for Resource Creation Order in

質問 # 139

A company is using an Amazon Aurora MySQL DB cluster that has point-in-time recovery, backtracking, and automatic backup enabled. A CloudOps engineer needs to roll back the DB cluster to a specific recovery point within the previous 72 hours. Restores must be completed in the same production DB cluster.

Which solution will meet these requirements?

- **A. Use backtracking to rewind the existing DB cluster to the desired recovery point.**
- B. Create an Aurora Replica. Promote the replica to replace the primary DB instance.
- C. Create an AWS Lambda function to restore an automatic backup to the existing DB cluster.
- D. Use point-in-time recovery to restore the existing DB cluster to the desired recovery point.

正解: A

解説:

As documented in AWS Cloud Operations and Database Recovery, Aurora Backtrack allows you to rewind the existing database cluster to a chosen point in time without creating a new cluster. This feature supports fine-grained rollback for accidental data changes, making it ideal for scenarios like table deletions or logical corruption. Backtracking maintains continuous transaction logs and permits rewinding within a configurable window (up to 72 hours). It does not require creating a new cluster or endpoint, and it preserves the same production environment, fulfilling the operational requirement for in-place recovery.

In contrast, Point-in-Time Recovery (Option D) always creates a new cluster, while replica promotion (Option A) and Lambda restoration (Option B) are unrelated to immediate rollback operations.

Therefore, Option C, using Aurora Backtrack, best meets the requirement for same-cluster restoration and minimal downtime.

Reference: AWS Cloud Operations & Database Management Guide - Section: Using Aurora Backtrack for Fast In-Place Recovery

質問 # 140

A company runs an application on Amazon EC2 instances. The application stores and retrieves data from an Amazon Aurora PostgreSQL database. A developer accidentally drops a table from the database, which causes application errors. Two hours later, a CloudOps engineer needs to recover the data and make the application function again.

Which solution will meet this requirement?

- A. Use the Aurora Backtrack feature to rewind the database to a specified time, 2 hours in the past.
- B. Create a new Aurora cluster. Choose the Restore data from S3 bucket option. Choose log files up to the failure time 2 hours in the past.
- C. Perform a point-in-time recovery on the existing database to restore the database to a specified point in time, 2 hours in the past.
- **D. Perform a point-in-time recovery and create a new database to restore the database to a specified point in time, 2 hours in the past. Reconfigure the application to use a new database endpoint.**

正解: D

解説:

Aurora Backtrack is not supported for Aurora PostgreSQL, so option A is not valid here.

Point-in-time recovery (PITR) for Aurora always restores to a new cluster, not in place. You choose a time (e.g., just before the table was dropped, 2 hours ago), Aurora creates a new cluster at that state, and you then:

- Either point the application to the new cluster endpoint, or
- Copy the recovered table/data back to the original cluster.

質問 # 141

A finance company stores confidential data in an Amazon S3 bucket. The company uses Amazon QuickSight to analyze the data and create dashboard reports. The company requires that all data access and connections to QuickSight remain within the company's VPC network boundary.

Which solution will meet these requirements?

myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, www.stes.tyc.edu.tw,
www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, igroad.com, www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,
myportal.utt.edu.tt, Disposable vapes