

第壹手的ACD-301題庫更新資訊 & Appian Appian Certified Lead Developer



PDFExamDumps 是專門給全世界的IT認證的考生提供培訓資料的，購買我們所有的資料能保證考生一次性通過 ACD-301 考試，讓考生信心百倍的通過 ACD-301 考試認證，給自己的職業生涯帶來重大影響，用自己專業的頭腦和豐富的考試經驗來滿足考生們的需求。本題庫網用超低的價格和高品質的 Appian ACD-301 考古題真試題和答案來奉獻給廣大考生。

如果考生沒有基礎，可以選擇資策會進行補習，考生在還要上班的情形下，又想快速通過 ACD-301 考試，可以選擇 PDFExamDumps ACD-301 題庫，覆蓋率很高，可以順利通過考試，從而獲得 Appian 的認證證書。我們承諾所有購買“ACD-301題庫”的客戶，都將獲得一年免費升級的售後服務，確保客戶考試的一次通過率。並實行“一次不過全額退款”的保障，絕對保證考生的利益不受到任何的損失。

>> ACD-301題庫更新資訊 <<

Appian ACD-301考題資源 & ACD-301測試引擎

想要通過ACD-301認證考試？擔心考試會變體，來嘗試最新版本的題庫學習資料。我們提供的Appian ACD-301考古題準確性高，品質好，是你想通過考試最好的選擇，也是你成功的保障。你可以免費下載100%準確的ACD-301考古題資料，我們所有的Appian產品都是最新的，這是經過認證的網站。它覆蓋接近95%的真實問題和答案，快來訪問PDFExamDumps網站，獲取免費的ACD-301題庫試用版本吧！

最新的 Appian Certification Program ACD-301 免費考試真題 (Q42-Q47):

問題 #42

You need to design a complex Appian integration to call a RESTful API. The RESTful API will be used to update a case in a customer's legacy system.

What are three prerequisites for designing the integration?

- A. Understand the content of the expected body, including each field type and their limits.
- B. Understand the business rules to be applied to ensure the business logic of the data.
- C. Understand the different error codes managed by the API and the process of error handling in Appian.
- D. Understand whether this integration will be used in an interface or in a process model.
- E. Define the HTTP method that the integration will use.

答案：A,C,E

解題說明：

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

As an Appian Lead Developer, designing a complex integration to a RESTful API for updating a case in a legacy system requires a structured approach to ensure reliability, performance, and alignment with business needs. The integration involves sending a JSON

payload (implied by the context) and handling responses, so the focus is on technical and functional prerequisites. Let's evaluate each option:

A . Define the HTTP method that the integration will use:

This is a primary prerequisite. RESTful APIs use HTTP methods (e.g., POST, PUT, GET) to define the operation-here, updating a case likely requires PUT or POST. Appian's Connected System and Integration objects require specifying the method to configure the HTTP request correctly. Understanding the API's method ensures the integration aligns with its design, making this essential for design. Appian's documentation emphasizes choosing the correct HTTP method as a foundational step.

B . Understand the content of the expected body, including each field type and their limits:

This is also critical. The JSON payload for updating a case includes fields (e.g., text, dates, numbers), and the API expects a specific structure with field types (e.g., string, integer) and limits (e.g., max length, size constraints). In Appian, the Integration object requires a dictionary or CDT to construct the body, and mismatches (e.g., wrong types, exceeding limits) cause errors (e.g., 400 Bad Request). Appian's best practices mandate understanding the API schema to ensure data compatibility, making this a key prerequisite.

C . Understand whether this integration will be used in an interface or in a process model:

While knowing the context (interface vs. process model) is useful for design (e.g., synchronous vs. asynchronous calls), it's not a prerequisite for the integration itself-it's a usage consideration. Appian supports integrations in both contexts, and the integration's design (e.g., HTTP method, body) remains the same. This is secondary to technical API details, so it's not among the top three prerequisites.

D . Understand the different error codes managed by the API and the process of error handling in Appian:

This is essential. RESTful APIs return HTTP status codes (e.g., 200 OK, 400 Bad Request, 500 Internal Server Error), and the customer's API likely documents these for failure scenarios (e.g., invalid data, server issues). Appian's Integration objects can handle errors via error mappings or process models, and understanding these codes ensures robust error handling (e.g., retry logic, user notifications). Appian's documentation stresses error handling as a core design element for reliable integrations, making this a primary prerequisite.

E . Understand the business rules to be applied to ensure the business logic of the data:

While business rules (e.g., validating case data before sending) are important for the overall application, they aren't a prerequisite for designing the integration itself-they're part of the application logic (e.g., process model or interface). The integration focuses on technical interaction with the API, not business validation, which can be handled separately in Appian. This is a secondary concern, not a core design requirement for the integration.

Conclusion: The three prerequisites are A (define the HTTP method), B (understand the body content and limits), and D (understand error codes and handling). These ensure the integration is technically sound, compatible with the API, and resilient to errors-critical for a complex RESTful API integration in Appian.

Appian Documentation: "Designing REST Integrations" (HTTP Methods, Request Body, Error Handling).

Appian Lead Developer Certification: Integration Module (Prerequisites for Complex Integrations).

Appian Best Practices: "Building Reliable API Integrations" (Payload and Error Management).

To design a complex Appian integration to call a RESTful API, you need to have some prerequisites, such as:

Define the HTTP method that the integration will use. The HTTP method is the action that the integration will perform on the API, such as GET, POST, PUT, PATCH, or DELETE. The HTTP method determines how the data will be sent and received by the API, and what kind of response will be expected.

Understand the content of the expected body, including each field type and their limits. The body is the data that the integration will send to the API, or receive from the API, depending on the HTTP method. The body can be in different formats, such as JSON, XML, or form data. You need to understand how to structure the body according to the API specification, and what kind of data types and values are allowed for each field.

Understand the different error codes managed by the API and the process of error handling in Appian. The error codes are the status codes that indicate whether the API request was successful or not, and what kind of problem occurred if not. The error codes can range from 200 (OK) to 500 (Internal Server Error), and each code has a different meaning and implication. You need to understand how to handle different error codes in Appian, and how to display meaningful messages to the user or log them for debugging purposes.

The other two options are not prerequisites for designing the integration, but rather considerations for implementing it.

Understand whether this integration will be used in an interface or in a process model. This is not a prerequisite, but rather a decision that you need to make based on your application requirements and design. You can use an integration either in an interface or in a process model, depending on where you need to call the API and how you want to handle the response. For example, if you need to update a case in real-time based on user input, you may want to use an integration in an interface. If you need to update a case periodically based on a schedule or an event, you may want to use an integration in a process model.

Understand the business rules to be applied to ensure the business logic of the data. This is not a prerequisite, but rather a part of your application logic that you need to implement after designing the integration. You need to apply business rules to validate, transform, or enrich the data that you send or receive from the API, according to your business requirements and logic. For example, you may need to check if the case status is valid before updating it in the legacy system, or you may need to add some additional information to the case data before displaying it in Appian.

問題 #43

You are running an inspection as part of the first deployment process from TEST to PROD. You receive a notice that one of your objects will not deploy because it is dependent on an object from an application owned by a separate team. What should be your next step?

- A. Push a functionally viable package to PROD without the dependencies, and plan the rest of the deployment accordingly with the other team's constraints.
- B. Create your own object with the same code base, replace the dependent object in the application, and deploy to PROD.
- **C. Halt the production deployment and contact the other team for guidance on promoting the object to PROD.**
- D. Check the dependencies of the necessary object. Deploy to PROD if there are few dependencies and it is low risk.

答案: C

解題說明:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

As an Appian Lead Developer, managing a deployment from TEST to PROD requires careful handling of dependencies, especially when objects from another team's application are involved. The scenario describes a dependency issue during deployment, signaling a need for collaboration and governance. Let's evaluate each option:

A . Create your own object with the same code base, replace the dependent object in the application, and deploy to PROD:

This approach involves duplicating the object, which introduces redundancy, maintenance risks, and potential version control issues. It violates Appian's governance principles, as objects should be owned and managed by their respective teams to ensure consistency and avoid conflicts. Appian's deployment best practices discourage duplicating objects unless absolutely necessary, making this an unsustainable and risky solution.

B . Halt the production deployment and contact the other team for guidance on promoting the object to PROD:

This is the correct step. When an object from another application (owned by a separate team) is a dependency, Appian's deployment process requires coordination to ensure both applications' objects are deployed in sync. Halting the deployment prevents partial deployments that could break functionality, and contacting the other team aligns with Appian's collaboration and governance guidelines. The other team can provide the necessary object version, adjust their deployment timeline, or resolve the dependency, ensuring a stable PROD environment.

C . Check the dependencies of the necessary object. Deploy to PROD if there are few dependencies and it is low risk:

This approach risks deploying an incomplete or unstable application if the dependency isn't fully resolved. Even with "few dependencies" and "low risk," deploying without the other team's object could lead to runtime errors or broken functionality in PROD. Appian's documentation emphasizes thorough dependency management during deployment, requiring all objects (including those from other applications) to be promoted together, making this risky and not recommended.

D . Push a functionally viable package to PROD without the dependencies, and plan the rest of the deployment accordingly with the other team's constraints:

Deploying without dependencies creates an incomplete solution, potentially leaving the application non-functional or unstable in PROD. Appian's deployment process ensures all dependencies are included to maintain application integrity, and partial deployments are discouraged unless explicitly planned (e.g., phased rollouts). This option delays resolution and increases risk, contradicting Appian's best practices for Production stability.

Conclusion: Halting the production deployment and contacting the other team for guidance (B) is the next step. It ensures proper collaboration, aligns with Appian's governance model, and prevents deployment errors, providing a safe and effective resolution.

Appian Documentation: "Deployment Best Practices" (Managing Dependencies Across Applications).

Appian Lead Developer Certification: Application Management Module (Cross-Team Collaboration).

Appian Best Practices: "Handling Production Deployments" (Dependency Resolution).

問題 #44

You are asked to design a case management system for a client. In addition to storing some basic metadata about a case, one of the client's requirements is the ability for users to update a case. The client would like any user in their organization of 500 people to be able to make these updates. The users are all based in the company's headquarters, and there will be frequent cases where users are attempting to edit the same case. The client wants to ensure no information is lost when these edits occur and does not want the solution to burden their process administrators with any additional effort. Which data locking approach should you recommend?

- A. Design a process report and query to determine who opened the edit form first.
- B. Allow edits without locking the case CDI.
- **C. Add an @Version annotation to the case CDT to manage the locking.**
- D. Use the database to implement low-level pessimistic locking.

答案: C

解題說明：

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

The requirement involves a case management system where 500 users may simultaneously edit the same case, with a need to prevent data loss and minimize administrative overhead. Appian's data management and concurrency control strategies are critical here, especially when integrating with an underlying database.

Option C (Add an @Version annotation to the case CDT to manage the locking):

This is the recommended approach. In Appian, the @Version annotation on a Custom Data Type (CDT) enables optimistic locking, a lightweight concurrency control mechanism. When a user updates a case, Appian checks the version number of the CDT instance. If another user has modified it in the meantime, the update fails, prompting the user to refresh and reapply changes. This prevents data loss without requiring manual intervention by process administrators. Appian's Data Design Guide recommends @Version for scenarios with high concurrency (e.g., 500 users) and frequent edits, as it leverages the database's native versioning (e.g., in MySQL or PostgreSQL) and integrates seamlessly with Appian's process models. This aligns with the client's no-burden requirement.

Option A (Allow edits without locking the case CDT):

This is risky. Without locking, simultaneous edits could overwrite each other, leading to data loss—a direct violation of the client's requirement. Appian does not recommend this for collaborative environments.

Option B (Use the database to implement low-level pessimistic locking):

Pessimistic locking (e.g., using SELECT ... FOR UPDATE in MySQL) locks the record during the edit process, preventing other users from modifying it until the lock is released. While effective, it can lead to deadlocks or performance bottlenecks with 500 users, especially if edits are frequent. Additionally, managing this at the database level requires custom SQL and increases administrative effort (e.g., monitoring locks), which the client wants to avoid. Appian prefers higher-level solutions like @Version over low-level database locking.

Option D (Design a process report and query to determine who opened the edit form first):

This is impractical and inefficient. Building a custom report and query to track form opens adds complexity and administrative overhead. It doesn't inherently prevent data loss and relies on manual resolution, conflicting with the client's requirements.

The @Version annotation provides a robust, Appian-native solution that balances concurrency, data integrity, and ease of maintenance, making it the best fit.

問題 #45

On the latest Health Check report from your Cloud TEST environment utilizing a MongoDB add-on, you note the following findings:

Category: User Experience, Description: # of slow query rules, Risk: High Category: User Experience, Description: # of slow write to data store nodes, Risk: High Which three things might you do to address this, without consulting the business?

- A. Reduce the size and complexity of the inputs. If you are passing in a list, consider whether the data model can be redesigned to pass single values instead.
- B. Optimize the database execution using standard database performance troubleshooting methods and tools (such as query execution plans).
- C. Use smaller CDTs or limit the fields selected in a!queryEntity().
- D. Reduce the batch size for database queues to 10.
- E. Optimize the database execution. Replace the view with a materialized view.

答案： A,B,C

解題說明：

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

The Health Check report indicates high-risk issues with slow query rules and slow writes to data store nodes in a MongoDB-integrated Appian Cloud TEST environment. As a Lead Developer, you can address these performance bottlenecks without business consultation by focusing on technical optimizations within Appian and MongoDB. The goal is to improve user experience by reducing query and write latency.

Option B (Optimize the database execution using standard database performance troubleshooting methods and tools (such as query execution plans)):

This is a critical step. Slow queries and writes suggest inefficient database operations. Using MongoDB's explain() or equivalent tools to analyze execution plans can identify missing indices, suboptimal queries, or full collection scans. Appian's Performance Tuning Guide recommends optimizing database interactions by adding indices on frequently queried fields or rewriting queries (e.g., using projections to limit returned data). This directly addresses both slow queries and writes without business input.

Option C (Reduce the size and complexity of the inputs. If you are passing in a list, consider whether the data model can be redesigned to pass single values instead):

Large or complex inputs (e.g., large arrays in a!queryEntity() or write operations) can overwhelm MongoDB, especially in Appian's data store integration. Redesigning the data model to handle single values or smaller batches reduces processing overhead. Appian's Best Practices for Data Store Design suggest normalizing data or breaking down lists into manageable units, which can mitigate slow writes and improve query performance without requiring business approval.

Option E (Use smaller CDTs or limit the fields selected in a!queryEntity()): Appian Custom Data Types (CDTs) and a!queryEntity() calls that return excessive fields can increase data transfer and processing time, contributing to slow queries. Limiting fields to only those needed (e.g., using fetchTotalCount selectively) or using smaller CDTs reduces the load on MongoDB and Appian's engine. This optimization is a technical adjustment within the developer's control, aligning with Appian's Query Optimization Guidelines.

Option A (Reduce the batch size for database queues to 10):

While adjusting batch sizes can help with write performance, reducing it to 10 without analysis might not address the root cause and could slow down legitimate operations. This requires testing and potentially business input on acceptable performance trade-offs, making it less immediate.

Option D (Optimize the database execution. Replace the view with a materialized view):

Materialized views are not natively supported in MongoDB (unlike relational databases like PostgreSQL), and Appian's MongoDB add-on relies on collection-based storage. Implementing this would require significant redesign or custom aggregation pipelines, which may exceed the scope of a unilateral technical fix and could impact business logic.

These three actions (B, C, E) leverage Appian and MongoDB optimization techniques, addressing both query and write performance without altering business requirements or processes.

The three things that might help to address the findings of the Health Check report are:

B . Optimize the database execution using standard database performance troubleshooting methods and tools (such as query execution plans). This can help to identify and eliminate any bottlenecks or inefficiencies in the database queries that are causing slow query rules or slow write to data store nodes.

C . Reduce the size and complexity of the inputs. If you are passing in a list, consider whether the data model can be redesigned to pass single values instead. This can help to reduce the amount of data that needs to be transferred or processed by the database, which can improve the performance and speed of the queries or writes.

E . Use smaller CDTs or limit the fields selected in a!queryEntity(). This can help to reduce the amount of data that is returned by the queries, which can improve the performance and speed of the rules that use them.

The other options are incorrect for the following reasons:

A . Reduce the batch size for database queues to 10. This might not help to address the findings, as reducing the batch size could increase the number of transactions and overhead for the database, which could worsen the performance and speed of the queries or writes.

D . Optimize the database execution. Replace the new with a materialized view. This might not help to address the findings, as replacing a view with a materialized view could increase the storage space and maintenance cost for the database, which could affect the performance and speed of the queries or writes. Verified Appian Documentation, section "Performance Tuning".

Below are the corrected and formatted questions based on your input, including the analysis of the provided image. The answers are 100% verified per official Appian Lead Developer documentation and best practices as of March 01, 2025, with comprehensive explanations and references provided.

問題 #46

Your team has deployed an application to Production with an underperforming view. Unexpectedly, the production data is ten times that of what was tested, and you must remediate the issue. What is the best option you can take to mitigate their performance concerns?

- **A. Create a materialized view or table.**
- B. Bypass Appian's query rule by calling the database directly with a SQL statement.
- C. Introduce a data management policy to reduce the volume of data.
- D. Create a table which is loaded every hour with the latest data.

答案: A

解題說明:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

As an Appian Lead Developer, addressing performance issues in production requires balancing Appian's best practices, scalability, and maintainability. The scenario involves an underperforming view due to a significant increase in data volume (ten times the tested amount), necessitating a solution that optimizes performance while adhering to Appian's architecture. Let's evaluate each option:

A . Bypass Appian's query rule by calling the database directly with a SQL statement:

This approach involves circumventing Appian's query rules (e.g., a!queryEntity) and directly executing SQL against the database.

While this might offer a quick performance boost by avoiding Appian's abstraction layer, it violates Appian's core design principles.

Appian Lead Developer documentation explicitly discourages direct database calls, as they bypass security (e.g., Appian's row-level security), auditing, and portability features. This introduces maintenance risks, dependencies on database-specific logic, and potential production instability-making it an unsustainable and non-recommended solution.

B . Create a table which is loaded every hour with the latest data:

This suggests implementing a staging table updated hourly (e.g., via an Appian process model or ETL process). While this could reduce query load by pre-aggregating data, it introduces latency (data is only fresh hourly), which may not meet real-time

requirements typical in Appian applications (e.g., a customer-facing view). Additionally, maintaining an hourly refresh process adds complexity and overhead (e.g., scheduling, monitoring). Appian's documentation favors more efficient, real-time solutions over periodic refreshes unless explicitly required, making this less optimal for immediate performance remediation.

C . Create a materialized view or table:

This is the best choice. A materialized view (or table, depending on the database) pre-computes and stores query results, significantly improving retrieval performance for large datasets. In Appian, you can integrate a materialized view with a Data Store Entity, allowing a!queryEntity to fetch data efficiently without changing application logic. Appian Lead Developer training emphasizes leveraging database optimizations like materialized views to handle large data volumes, as they reduce query execution time while keeping data consistent with the source (via periodic or triggered refreshes, depending on the database). This aligns with Appian's performance optimization guidelines and addresses the tenfold data increase effectively.

D . Introduce a data management policy to reduce the volume of data:

This involves archiving or purging data to shrink the dataset (e.g., moving old records to an archive table). While a long-term data management policy is a good practice (and supported by Appian's Data Fabric principles), it doesn't immediately remediate the performance issue. Reducing data volume requires business approval, policy design, and implementation—delaying resolution. Appian documentation recommends combining such strategies with technical fixes (like C), but as a standalone solution, it's insufficient for urgent production concerns.

Conclusion: Creating a materialized view or table (C) is the best option. It directly mitigates performance by optimizing data retrieval, integrates seamlessly with Appian's Data Store, and scales for large datasets—all while adhering to Appian's recommended practices. The view can be refreshed as needed (e.g., via database triggers or schedules), balancing performance and data freshness. This approach requires collaboration with a DBA to implement but ensures a robust, Appian-supported solution.

Appian Documentation: "Performance Best Practices" (Optimizing Data Queries with Materialized Views).

Appian Lead Developer Certification: Application Performance Module (Database Optimization Techniques).

Appian Best Practices: "Working with Large Data Volumes in Appian" (Data Store and Query Performance).

問題 #47

.....

揮灑如椽之巨筆譜寫生命之絢爛華章，讓心的小舟在波瀾壯闊的汪洋中乘風破浪，直濟滄海。如何才能到達天堂，捷徑只有一個，那就是使用PDFExamDumps Appian的ACD-301考試培訓資料。這是我們對每位IT考生的忠告，希望他們能抵達夢想的天堂。

ACD-301考題資源: https://www.pdfexamdumps.com/ACD-301_valid-braindumps.html

僅僅需要一點美金就可以得到最新的Appian ACD-301考題資源 ACD-301考題資源考試題庫，我們的PDF試題庫和全真的ACD-301考題資源認證壹樣。Appian ACD-301考題資源 ACD-301考題資源產品介紹 準備ACD-301考題資源測試有許多的在線資源，PDFExamDumps擁有最新的針對Appian ACD-301認證考試的培訓資料，與真實的考試很95%相似性，所有購買我們Appian ACD-301題庫學習資料的考生，都將獲免費升級的售後服務，確保考生一次通過，Appian ACD-301題庫更新資訊 如果妳的回答是YES，那麼不要再觀望。

蘇逸轉身猛的拋出周武劍，秦川笑著拍拍秦青的肩膀，僅僅需要一點美金就可以得到最新的Appian ACD-301 Appian Certification Program考試題庫，我們的PDF試題庫和全真的Appian Certification Program認證壹樣。Appian Appian Certification Program產品介紹 準備Appian Certification Program測試有許多的在線資源。

一流的ACD-301題庫更新資訊和有效的Appian認證培訓 - 實用的Appian Appian Certified Lead Developer

PDFExamDumps擁有最新的針對Appian ACD-301認證考試的培訓資料，與真實的考試很95%相似性，所有購買我們Appian ACD-301題庫學習資料的考生，都將獲免費升級的售後服務，確保考生一次通過，如果妳的回答是YES，那麼不要再觀望。

首先，我們將ACD-301問題集和考試指南結合起來，可以規劃出科學高效的學習計劃；其次，我們可以利用問題集中的ACD-301 PDF將日常生活中的一些碎片化時間段利用起來，有效的提高我們的學習效率；還有，我們通過記憶一部分自己無法掌握的ACD-301問題和答案，可以提高我們最終的考試得分。

- Appian ACD-301認證考試學習指南 □ 複製網址“www.pdfexamdumps.com”打開並搜索“ACD-301”免費下載ACD-301熱門認證
- ACD-301考試內容 □ ACD-301考題資訊 □ ACD-301證照信息 □ 複製網址□ www.newdumpspdf.com □ 打開並搜索□ ACD-301 □ 免費下載ACD-301熱門認證
- 授權的ACD-301題庫更新資訊擁有模擬真實考試環境與場境的軟件VCE版本&精心準備的ACD-301: Appian Certified Lead Developer □ tw.fast2test.com ◀上的▶ ACD-301 □ 免費下載只需搜尋ACD-301真題材料

- [illegible]