


# OGEA-102日本語対策、OGEA-102日本語版対応参考書



無料でクラウドストレージから最新のCertShiken OGEA-102 PDFダンプをダウンロードする: [https://drive.google.com/open?id=1xGgUlpudUvmorl1N4FIPrSuqeaw\\_S1LF](https://drive.google.com/open?id=1xGgUlpudUvmorl1N4FIPrSuqeaw_S1LF)

OGEA-102認定の取得を支援するために、多くの専門家が数年間、The Open Groupすべての試験官向けのOGEA-102試験トレントを策定するために懸命に取り組んできました。CertShiken このようにして、当社のOGEA-102学習資料は、対象となるだけでなく、すべての知識ポイントを網羅しています。OGEA-102練習教材には、OGEA-102練習教材の学習プロセスの欠陥を見つけるのに役立つ統計分析機能もあるため、弱いリンクのTOGAF Enterprise Architecture Part 2 Examトレーニングを強化できます。このようにして、能力が向上したため、成功に自信を持つことができます。

調査によると、当社の高く評価されているOGEA-102テスト問題の成功は、簡単に操作できる練習システムへの尽力によるものです。候補者から寄せられたフィードバックのほとんどは、OGEA-102ガイド急流が優れたプラクティスとシステムを実装し、より競争力のある新しい製品を発売する能力を強化していることを物語っています。OGEA-102試験ダンプに伴い、Q&Aはそれほど複雑ではありませんが、より重要な情報で受験者を教育します。これにより、OGEA-102試験に合格するための知識を深め、自己啓発を強化できます。

>> OGEA-102日本語対策 <<

## The Open Group OGEA-102 Exam | OGEA-102日本語対策 - 準備を助ける OGEA-102 試験効率

The Open Groupは、短時間でOGEA-102認定を取得するために最善を尽くす必要があります。認定資格を取得することが決まっている場合、OGEA-102質問トレントは喜んであなたに手を差し伸べます。弊社のOGEA-102学習教材は、認定を取得するための最適な学習ツールになるためです。ここで、OGEA-102試験問題を詳細に紹介します。紹介を注意深くお読みください。多くのメリットを得ることができます。OGEA-102試験の資料に興味がある場合は、今すぐ購入できます。

## The Open Group TOGAF Enterprise Architecture Part 2 Exam 認定 OGEA-102 試験問題 (Q34-Q39):

### 質問 # 34

You are working as an Enterprise Architect within the Enterprise Architecture (EA) team at a healthcare and life sciences company. The EA team is developing a secure system for researchers to share clinical trial information easily across the organization and with external partners.

Due to the highly sensitive nature of the information, each architecture domain must consider privacy and safety concerns. The

healthcare division has been directed to minimize disruptions to clinical trials while introducing the new system gradually. How would you identify the work packages for introducing the new system? Based on the TOGAF standard, which of the following is the best answer?

- A. Use a Consolidated Gaps, Solutions, and Dependencies Matrix to classify each solution, group them into work packages, then regroup into Capability Increments. Document in an Architecture Definition Increments Table.
- B. Draw up an Implementation Factor Catalog to indicate actions and constraints. Use a Consolidated Gaps, Solutions, and Dependencies Matrix, then group similar activities into work packages and identify dependencies.
- C. Use a Consolidated Gaps, Solutions, and Dependencies Matrix to create work packages and sequence them into Capability Increments. Document in a Transition Architecture State Evolution Table.
- D. Identify Solution Building Blocks for development or procurement, then use a CRUD matrix to rank and select the most cost-effective work packages. Schedule the rollout sequentially across regions.

正解: A

解説:

In the TOGAF framework, understanding and addressing stakeholder concerns is crucial, particularly for complex projects with high stakes like the AI-first initiative described in the scenario. This approach aligns well with TOGAF's ADM (Architecture Development Method) and its emphasis on effective stakeholder management and risk assessment. Here's why this is the best course of action:

Stakeholder Analysis and Documentation:

Conducting a stakeholder analysis is foundational in the early stages of any TOGAF project, particularly during the Preliminary and Architecture Vision phases. This process involves identifying the different stakeholders, understanding their positions, documenting their concerns, and considering any cultural factors that might influence their perspective on the AI-first initiative. Given the diverse concerns raised (such as job security, skill requirements, and cybersecurity), it's essential to have a clear understanding of each stakeholder group's priorities and fears.

Recording Concerns in the Architecture Vision Document:

The Architecture Vision phase in TOGAF focuses on defining the high-level scope and objectives of the architecture project. By documenting stakeholder concerns and the corresponding views in the Architecture Vision document, the EA team ensures that these concerns are transparently acknowledged and addressed as part of the strategic direction. This step not only aligns with TOGAF best practices but also helps in building stakeholder buy-in and trust.

Architecture Requirements Specification and Risk Management:

Risk management is a key aspect of TOGAF's ADM, particularly in the Requirements Management and Implementation Governance phases. Documenting the requirements for addressing specific risks in the Architecture Requirements Specification provides a structured way to ensure that identified risks are acknowledged and managed throughout the transformation. Regular assessments and feedback loops ensure ongoing alignment and adaptability to emerging risks, which is particularly important given the dynamic nature of AI and its associated challenges.

Alignment with TOGAF ADM Phases:

This approach follows the prescribed flow of TOGAF's ADM, starting with stakeholder engagement in the Preliminary and Architecture Vision phases and progressing to risk assessment in the Requirements Management phase. By maintaining a focus on stakeholder needs and formalizing these into architecture requirements, the EA team can ensure that the architecture not only meets business objectives but also mitigates stakeholder concerns.

TOGAF Reference on Stakeholder Management Techniques:

TOGAF places significant emphasis on managing stakeholder concerns through its stakeholder management techniques, which highlight the need to systematically identify, analyze, and address the concerns of all involved parties. This practice helps ensure that the architecture is viable and accepted across the organization.

By conducting a thorough stakeholder analysis and integrating the findings into both the Architecture Vision and the Architecture Requirements Specification, the EA team can proactively address stakeholder concerns, manage risks, and align the AI-first initiative with the agency's strategic objectives. This approach is consistent with TOGAF's guidance and provides a structured framework for addressing both business and technical challenges in the context of an AI-first transformation.

### 質問 # 35

Please read this scenario prior to answering the question

You are employed as an Enterprise Architect within a clinical research and health technologies company. The company is dedicated to transforming healthcare with new ideas and advancements. The company has multiple divisions that cover different aspects of the business.

The company's Enterprise Architecture (EA) department has mature, well-developed architecture governance and development processes following the TOGAF standard.

In addition to the EA program, the company has a number of management

frameworks in use. The Architecture Board includes representatives from each division of the company. The Chief Information

Officer (CIO) is the sponsor of the Enterprise Architecture program. The CIO has actively encouraged architecting with agility within the EA department as the preferred approach for projects.

Many of the company's rivals have begun using Artificial Intelligence (AI) in their operations, and the indications are that this will be transformative for healthcare delivery. This is something the EA department has been interested in for a while, and they had recently submitted an architecture Change Request which was approved. As a result, the CIO has approved a Request for Architecture Work to investigate the implementation of AI in the company.

Areas for evaluation include:

How can staff use AI daily in their current roles?

How can AI enhance access to care for patients, and how to make that experience seamless?

How can AI offer new workplace platforms and tools to increase efficiency?

Some of the top managers are worried about a change in the way of working, and if it will achieve the goals. Many are not confident that the company's risk management processes are adequate for a company-wide integration of generative AI. There are also questions from staff about whether enough specific guidelines and policies have been put in place for responsible use of AI.

Refer to the scenario

You have been assigned to the architecture development and asked how to address the concerns and manage risk for the project.

How do you begin?

Based on the TOGAF standard which of the following is the best answer?

- A. You recommend that models be created for the Draft Business, Data, Application, and Technology Architectures. These can be used to minimize risk, and make sure that the system meets the local regulations for each division. Together with the problem description, and requirements, these should be included in the Architecture Vision document. A formal review should be held with the stakeholders to verify that their concerns are included in the Architecture Vision.
- B. You recommend creation of a simple solution concept diagram to show how the stakeholders will be impacted, and the benefits to the firm. You would also create a benefits diagram showing the various opportunities from adoption of AI-based solutions. A meeting should be held with the main stakeholders to review the diagrams. They can then decide the priorities and sequencing decisions for the architecture development. Risk will be evaluated when defining the Architecture Roadmap.
- C. You recommend that all the stakeholders be identified, and a Communications Plan created to address the most powerful and influential stakeholders. This plan should include a report that summarizes the key features of the architecture with respect to each division and reflects the stakeholders' requirements. You will check with each key stakeholder that their concerns are being addressed. Risk mitigation should be explicitly addressed as a component of the architecture being developed.
- D. You recommend that an analysis of the stakeholders is carried out. This will allow the architects to define groups of stakeholders who have common concerns and include development of a Stakeholder Map. The concerns and relevant views should then be defined for each group and recorded in the Architecture Vision document. To mitigate risk, you include a requirement that there be progressive development of the target architecture to ensure there is regular feedback.

**正解： D**

**解説：**

In this scenario you are right at the start of an ADM cycle: a Request for Architecture Work has been approved to investigate AI, and there are strong stakeholder concerns and risk questions. According to the TOGAF standard, the correct place to start is Phase A: Architecture Vision, with a strong focus on stakeholder management and capturing their concerns and required views.

Option A is the only answer that correctly reflects this:

Stakeholder analysis & Stakeholder Map (Phase A core task)

TOGAF explicitly states that in Phase A you must:

Identify stakeholders

Analyze and group them by common concerns

Use a Stakeholder Map to understand their influence, interest, and required engagement Determine which views/viewpoints are needed to address their concerns in the architecture description coe.qualiware.com+1 Option A says:

"analysis of the stakeholders ... define groups of stakeholders who have common concerns and include development of a Stakeholder Map. The concerns and relevant views should then be defined for each group and recorded in the Architecture Vision document." This is exactly how TOGAF describes stakeholder management and views in Phase A:

Stakeholder Map to classify and prioritize stakeholders

Concerns and required views captured and traced

These elements feeding into the Architecture Vision deliverable Visual Paradigm TOGAF+1 Concerns, views, and Architecture Vision TOGAF emphasizes that architecture views are constructed to address specific stakeholder concerns; you do not just build generic models. opengroup.org+1 Option A explicitly links concerns → views → Architecture Vision, which aligns with TOGAF guidance for early phases.

Capturing this in the Architecture Vision provides a high-level, shared understanding of what the AI initiative is trying to achieve and how stakeholder issues (e.g., responsible AI, risk processes, change in way of working) will be addressed.

Risk management and "architecting with agility"

In the scenario, the CIO has encouraged architecting with agility. TOGAF is compatible with incremental and iterative development

of the target architecture, especially when there is high uncertainty and risk. conexiam.com Option A includes:  
 "a requirement that there be progressive development of the target architecture to ensure there is regular feedback." This "progressive development" and frequent feedback loop is exactly how you mitigate risk in an AI-heavy, change-sensitive initiative:  
 Frequent stakeholder feedback  
 Early validation of assumptions  
 Ability to adjust scope, constraints, and principles as risk and understanding evolve This directly addresses management's worry about the change in the way of working and whether risk management and responsible AI policies are adequate: these become explicit stakeholder concerns and requirements that are iteratively refined.  
 Why the other options are weaker / not TOGAF-aligned as a starting point Option B Focuses mainly on a Communications Plan and powerful stakeholders.  
 While TOGAF does expect a stakeholder communications plan, it is derived from a proper stakeholder analysis and Stakeholder Map, not a substitute for it.  
 It also treats risk as a "component of the architecture" rather than something to be addressed early through stakeholder concerns, principles, and iteration.  
 Option C  
 Jumps straight to a solution concept diagram and benefits diagram and defers risk evaluation to when the Architecture Roadmap is defined (Phase E).  
 In TOGAF, risk and stakeholder concerns must be addressed already in Phase A and refined throughout, not postponed to roadmap development.  
 Option D  
 Proposes creating draft Business, Data, Application, and Technology models and putting them into the Architecture Vision. This is too detailed for the starting point: Phase A is about high-level vision, not full draft core architecture models (those belong in Phases B, C, D).  
 It also doesn't emphasize Stakeholder Mapping and grouping by concerns, which is central to resolving the worries about way of working, risk, and responsible AI.  
 In summary, Option A is the best and TOGAF-consistent way to begin:  
 Start in Phase A: Architecture Vision  
 Perform stakeholder analysis and create a Stakeholder Map  
 Define stakeholder concerns and relevant views  
 Record them in the Architecture Vision  
 Add an explicit requirement for progressive (iterative) development of the target architecture for continuous feedback and risk mitigation

### 質問 # 36

Please read this scenario prior to answering the question

You are working as the Chief Enterprise Architect within a law firm specializing in personal injury cases. Many of the firm's competitors have improved their litigation strategies, and efficiency by streamlining their processes using Artificial Intelligence (AI). The CIO has approved a Request for Architecture Work to examine the use of Machine Learning in defining a new AI-driven litigation and finance process for the firm. This process would instruct the lawyers and analysts as to what tasks and portfolio they should work on. The key objectives are to increase task profitability, maximize staff utilization, and increase individual profitability. The CIO has emphasized that the architecture should enable the fast implementation of continuous Machine Learning. The solution will need to be constantly measured for delivered value and be quickly iterated to success.

Some of the partners have expressed concerns about letting the AI make the decisions, others about the risks associated with use of it for the type of service they deliver. The CIO wants to know if these concerns can be addressed, and how risks will be covered by a new architecture enabling AI and Machine Learning.

Refer to the scenario

You have been asked to respond to the CIO recommending an approach that would enable the development of an architecture that addresses the concerns of the CIO and the concerns of the partners.

Based on the TOGAF standard which of the following is the best answer?

- A. You recommend that all possible models be created for each candidate architecture that will enable the AI and Machine Learning solution. This ensures that all the necessary data and detail is addressed. A formal review should be held with the stakeholders to verify that their concerns have been properly addressed by the models. Agility will be considered during Phase G Implementation Governance.
- B. You recommend creation of a set of business models that can be applied uniformly across all architecture projects. The stakeholders will be trained to understand the business models to ensure they can see that their concerns are being addressed. Risk will be addressed once the Security Architecture is developed, which will happen later to avoid slowing down the agility required by the CIO.
- C. You recommend that an analysis of the stakeholders is undertaken resulting in documenting the stakeholders and their



concerns in a Stakeholder Map. The concerns and relevant views should then be defined for each group and recorded in the Architecture Vision document. The requirements will include risk mitigation through regular assessments. This will also allow a supervised agile implementation of the continuous Machine Learning.

- D. You recommend that a Communications Plan be created to address the key stakeholders, the most powerful and influential partners. This plan should include a report that summarizes the key features of the architecture reflecting their requirements. You will check with each key stakeholder that their concerns are being addressed. Risk mitigation and agility will be explicitly addressed as a component of the architecture being developed.

正解: C

解説:

A Stakeholder Map is a technique that can be used to identify and classify the stakeholders of the architecture work, and to document their key interests, requirements, and concerns. A stakeholder is any person, group, or organization that has a stake in the outcome of the architecture work, such as the sponsor, the client, the users, the suppliers, the regulators, or the competitors. A Stakeholder Map can help to understand the needs and expectations of the stakeholders, and to communicate and engage with them effectively<sup>1</sup> The steps for creating a Stakeholder Map are:

Identify the stakeholders of the architecture work, using various sources and methods, such as interviews, surveys, workshops, or existing documents.

Classify the stakeholders according to their roles, responsibilities, and relationships, using various criteria and dimensions, such as power, influence, interest, attitude, or impact.

Define the concerns and relevant views for each stakeholder group, using various techniques, such as business scenarios, use cases, or value propositions. A concern is a key interest or issue that is relevant to the stakeholder, such as a goal, a problem, a need, or a risk. A view is a representation of the system of interest from the perspective of one or more stakeholders and their concerns.

Record the stakeholders and their concerns in a Stakeholder Map, which shows the mapping between the stakeholder groups, the concerns, and the views. The Stakeholder Map also shows the dependencies, assumptions, and issues related to each stakeholder and concern.

Therefore, the best answer is B, because it recommends the approach that would enable the development of an architecture that addresses the concerns of the CIO and the partners, using the Stakeholder Map technique. The answer covers the following aspects:

An analysis of the stakeholders is undertaken, which involves identifying, classifying, and defining the stakeholders and their concerns.

The stakeholders and their concerns are documented in a Stakeholder Map, which provides a clear and comprehensive picture of the stakeholder landscape and their interests.

The concerns and relevant views are recorded in the Architecture Vision document, which is the output of Phase A: Architecture Vision of the Architecture Development Method (ADM), which is the core process of the TOGAF standard that guides the development and management of the enterprise architecture. The Architecture Vision defines the scope and approach of the architecture work, and establishes the business goals and drivers that motivate the architecture work. The Architecture Vision also involves obtaining the approval and commitment of the sponsors and other key stakeholders, and initiating the Architecture Governance process<sup>2</sup> The requirements include risk mitigation through regular assessments, which involves identifying, analyzing, and evaluating the risks that may affect the architecture, and determining the appropriate measures or actions to prevent, reduce, or mitigate the risks. Risk mitigation can also involve monitoring and reviewing the risk situation, and communicating and reporting the risk status and actions<sup>3</sup> This approach also allows a supervised agile implementation of the continuous Machine Learning, which involves applying agile principles and practices to the architecture development and implementation, such as iterative and incremental delivery, frequent feedback, collaboration, and adaptation. A supervised agile implementation can help to ensure the quality, value, and alignment of the architecture, and to respond to the changing needs and expectations of the stakeholders.

References: 1: The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2, Part III: ADM Guidelines and Techniques, Chapter 24: Stakeholder

Management 2: The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2, Part II: Architecture Development Method (ADM), Chapter 18: Phase A:

Architecture Vision 3: The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2, Part III: ADM Guidelines and Techniques, Chapter 32: Risk

Management : The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2, Part III: ADM Guidelines and Techniques, Chapter 29: Applying Iteration to the ADM

### 質問 # 37

Please read this scenario prior to answering the question

You are the Chief Enterprise Architect at a large food service company specializing in sales to trade and wholesale, for example, restaurants and other food retailers.

One of your company's competitors has launched a revolutionary product range and is running a very aggressive marketing campaign. Your company's resellers are successively announcing that they are not interested in your company's products and will sell your competitor's.

The CEO has stated there must be significant change to address the situation. He has made it clear that new markets must be found for the company's products, and that the business needs to pivot, and address the retail market as well as the existing wholesale

market.

A consideration is the company's ability and willingness to change its business model, and if it is a temporary or permanent change. An additional risk factor is one of culture. The company has been used to a stable business with a reasonably well known and settled client base - all with its own local understandings and practices.

The CEO is the sponsor of the EA program within the company. You have been engaged with the sales, logistics, production, and marketing teams, enabling the architecture activity to start. An Architecture Vision, Architecture Principles, and Requirements have all been agreed. As you move forward to develop a possible Target Architecture you have identified that some of the key stakeholders' preferences are incompatible. The incompatibilities are focused primarily on time-to-market, cost savings, and the need to bring out a fully featured product range, but there are additional factors.

Refer to the scenario

You have been asked how you will address the incompatibilities between key stakeholder preferences.

Based on the TOGAF standard which of the following is the best answer?

- A. You would seek to understand value preferences and priorities of the stakeholders. You would develop alternative Target Architectures, highlighting the gaps between current state and the alternatives. You would consider combining features from one or more alternatives in collaboration with the stakeholders. A formal stakeholder review should then be held to decide which alternative is fit for purpose and should be moved forward with. You will then secure the funding required.
- B. You recommend that since the CEO has stated that the company must pivot, it is better to compromise on a full product range rather than time-to-market. You would develop just enough of the Target Architecture to demonstrate fitness of the proposed approach. You would limit the description to just where there is a gap between the current baseline. You would seek approval by the stakeholders to move forward with developing the Target Architecture in detail.
- C. You would review the Stakeholder Map and ensure that you have addressed and represented the concerns of all department heads. You will involve them in resolving the incompatibilities. The Communications Plan should include a report that summarizes the key features of the architecture with and how incompatibilities were resolved to reflects the stakeholders' requirements. You will check with each key stakeholder they are satisfied with how the incompatibilities have been resolved.
- **D. You would use the Architecture Vision, Principles, and Requirements to define a set of criteria for alternatives and create a set of architecture views to illustrate the impact of the alternative Target Architectures. You would identify the impact on planned projects. You would understand the strengths and weaknesses of the alternatives. You would conduct a formal stakeholder review to decide which alternative to move forward with. You will determine the funding required.**

**正解: D**

**解説:**

According to the TOGAF standard, the Target Architecture is the description of a future state of the architecture being developed for an organization. It should be aligned with the Architecture Vision, Principles, and Requirements that have been agreed with the stakeholders. To address the incompatibilities between key stakeholder preferences, the TOGAF standard recommends creating and evaluating multiple alternative Target Architectures that meet different sets of criteria. These criteria should reflect the value preferences and priorities of the stakeholders, as well as the business drivers and objectives. The alternative Target Architectures should be illustrated using a set of architecture views that show the impact of each alternative on the business, data, application, and technology domains. The impact on planned projects should also be identified and analyzed. The strengths and weaknesses of each alternative should be understood and documented. A formal stakeholder review should then be conducted to decide which alternative is the most fit for purpose and should be moved forward with. The funding required for implementing the chosen alternative should also be determined and secured. Reference:

The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2 - Phase B: Business Architecture - The Open Group The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2 -

Phase C: Information Systems Architectures - The Open Group

[The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2 - Phase D: Technology Architecture - The Open Group]

[The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2 - Phase E: Opportunities and Solutions - The Open Group]

[The TOGAF Standard, Version 9.2 - Phase F: Migration Planning - The Open Group]

### **質問 # 38**

Please read this scenario prior to answering the question

You have been appointed as senior architect working for an autonomous driving technology development company. The mission of the company is to build an industry leading unified technology and software platform to support connected cars and autonomous driving.

The company uses the TOGAF Standard as the basis for its Enterprise Architecture (EA) framework. Architecture development within the company follows the purpose-based EA Capability model as described in the TOGAF Series Guide: A Practitioners' Approach to Developing Enterprise Architecture Following the TOGAF ADM.

An architecture to support strategy has been completed defining a long-range Target Architecture with a roadmap spanning five years. This has identified the need for a portfolio of projects over the next two years. The portfolio includes development of travel assistance systems using swarm data from vehicles on the road.

The current phase of architecture development is focused on the Business Architecture which needs to support the core travel assistance services that the company plans to provide. The core services will manage and process the swarm data generated by vehicles, paving the way for autonomous driving in the future.

The presentation and access to different variations of data that the company plans to offer through its platform poses an architecture challenge. The application portfolio needs to interact securely with various third-party cloud services, and V2X (Vehicle-to-Everything) service providers in many countries to be able to manage the data at scale. The security of V2X is a key concern for the stakeholders. Regulators have stated that the user's privacy be always protected, for example, so that the drivers' journey cannot be tracked or reconstructed by compiling data sent or received by the car.

Refer to the scenario

You have been asked to describe the risk and security considerations you would include in the current phase of the architecture development?

Based on the TOGAF standard which of the following is the best answer?

- A. You will create a security domain model so that assets with the same level can be managed under one security policy. Since data is being shared across partners, you will establish a security federation to include them. This would include contractual arrangements, and a definition of the responsibility areas for the data exchanged, as well as security implications. You would undertake a risk assessment determining risks relevant to specific data assets.
- B. You will perform a qualitative risk assessment for the data assets exchanged with partners. This will deliver a set of priorities, high to medium to low, based on identified threats, the likelihood of occurrence, and the impact if it did occur. Using the priorities, you would then develop a Business Risk Model which will detail the risk strategy including classifications to determine what mitigation is enough.
- C. You will focus on data quality as it is a key factor in risk management. You will identify the datasets that need to be safeguarded. For each dataset, you will assign ownership and responsibility for the quality of data needs. A security classification will be defined and applied to each dataset. The dataset owner will then be able to authorize processes that are trusted for a certain activity on the dataset under certain circumstances.
- D. You will focus on the relationship with the third parties required for the travel assistance systems and define a trust framework. This will describe the relationship with each party. Digital certificates are a key part of the framework and will be used to create trust between parties. You will monitor legal and regulatory changes across all the countries to keep the trust framework in compliance.

正解: A

解説:

A security domain model is a technique that can be used to define the security requirements and policies for the architecture. A security domain is a grouping of assets that share a common level of security and trust. A security policy is a set of rules and procedures that govern the access and protection of the assets within a security domain. A security domain model can help to identify the security domains, the assets within each domain, the security policies for each domain, and the relationships and dependencies between the domains<sup>1</sup>. Since the data is being shared across partners, a security federation is needed to establish a trust relationship and a common security framework among the different parties. A security federation is a collection of security domains that have agreed to interoperate under a set of shared security policies and standards. A security federation can enable secure data exchange and collaboration across organizational boundaries, while preserving the autonomy and privacy of each party. A security federation requires contractual arrangements, and a definition of the responsibility areas for the data exchanged, as well as security implications<sup>2</sup>. A risk assessment is a process that identifies, analyzes, and evaluates the risks that may affect the architecture. A risk assessment can help to determine the likelihood and impact of the threats and vulnerabilities that may compromise the security and privacy of the data assets. A risk assessment can also help to prioritize and mitigate the risks, and to monitor and review the risk situation<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, the best answer is D, because it describes the risk and security considerations that would be included in the current phase of the architecture development, which is focused on the Business Architecture. The answer covers the security domain model, the security federation, and the risk assessment techniques that are relevant to the scenario.

### 質問 # 39

.....

長年の努力と絶え間ない改善により、当社のOGEA-102試験教材は多くの学習教材から際立っており、国内および国際市場でトップブランドになりました。当社は、研究、革新、調査、生産、販売、アフターサービスを含むOGEA-102トレーニング資料のすべてのリンクを厳しく管理し、すべてのリンクが完璧に到達するよう努めています。当社は、業界の最新の傾向とOGEA-102認定ガイドに関するクライアントのフィードバックに細心の注意を払っています。

OGEA-102日本語版対応参考書: <https://www.certshiken.com/OGEA-102-shiken.html>

やっぱり酔っ払った所為で、彼女と私を間違えてるのかな、労働市場を考えると、新しい職人にOGEA-102なることは多くの人にとって最良の雇用経路となるでしょう、高合格率が98%から100%であるため、試験トレントの高品質と高効率性は市場で他に類を見ないものであると確信しています。

どんなツールかと聞きたいでしょう、我々社のThe Open Group OGEA-102問題集を使用して試験に合格しないで全額での返金を承諾するのは弊社の商品に不自信ではなく、行為でもって我々の誠意を示します、さらに、OGEA-102ガイドの質問はどんどん安くなっており、より多く購入してより多くお届けします。

[illegible]

2026年CertShikenの最新OGEA-102 PDFダンプおよびOGEA-102試験エンジンの無料共有: [https://drive.google.com/open?id=1xGgUlpuUvmorl1N4FIPrSugeaw\\_S1LF](https://drive.google.com/open?id=1xGgUlpuUvmorl1N4FIPrSugeaw_S1LF)