

ACD301題庫下載，最新ACD301考題



Appian ACD-301 Appian Certified Lead Developer

Questions & Answers PDF
(Demo Version – Limited Content)

For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://p2pexam.com/>

Visit us at: <https://p2pexam.com/acd-301>

BONUS!!! 免費下載KaoGuTi ACD301考試題庫的完整版: https://drive.google.com/open?id=1ff-oR-J0dzQVS8s2t_V_eJ_QxhgXU2Fb

在如今人才濟濟的社會中，Appian專業人士是很受歡迎的，但競爭也很大。所以很多Appian專業人士通過一些比較難的權威的ACD301認證考試來穩固自己，而我們KaoGuTi是專門為參加ACD301認證考試的考生提供便利的。

Appian ACD301 考試大綱：

主題	簡介
主題 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Project and Resource Management: This section of the exam measures skills of Agile Project Leads and covers interpreting business requirements, recommending design options, and leading Agile teams through technical delivery. It also involves governance, and process standardization.
主題 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Data Management: This section of the exam measures skills of Data Architects and covers analyzing, designing, and securing data models. Candidates must demonstrate an understanding of how to use Appian's data fabric and manage data migrations. The focus is on ensuring performance in high-volume data environments, solving data-related issues, and implementing advanced database features effectively.
主題 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Extending Appian: This section of the exam measures skills of Integration Specialists and covers building and troubleshooting advanced integrations using connected systems and APIs. Candidates are expected to work with authentication, evaluate plug-ins, develop custom solutions when needed, and utilize document generation options to extend the platform's capabilities.

最新版的ACD301題庫下載，提前為Appian Lead Developer ACD301考試做好準備

我們瞭解到所有想考 ACD301 的考生都希望能有一份可以保證自己順利通過考試的題庫，但事實往往並不如大家想的那麼簡單，偏偏 ACD301 這科科目的題庫一直都沒有最新包過的版本在網上出現，這真的是一件讓廣大考生非常苦惱的事情。一些正在準備 ACD301 考試的考生，也不必感到茫然失措。因為 KaoGuTi 題庫網帶來了真正可以保證考生通過考試的 Appian ACD301 題庫，只要根據最新的題庫來緊緊抓住考試的動態資訊，就可以輕鬆通過這科考試了。

最新的 Lead Developer ACD301 免費考試真題 (Q38-Q43):

問題 #38

You are tasked to build a large-scale acquisition application for a prominent customer. The acquisition process tracks the time it takes to fulfill a purchase request with an award.

The customer has structured the contract so that there are multiple application development teams.

How should you design for multiple processes and forms, while minimizing repeated code?

- **A. Create a common objects application.**
- B. Create a Center of Excellence (CoE).
- C. Create a Scrum of Scrums sprint meeting for the team leads.
- D. Create duplicate processes and forms as needed.

答案: A

解題說明:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation: As an Appian Lead Developer, designing a large-scale acquisition application with multiple development teams requires a strategy to manage processes, forms, and code reuse effectively. The goal is to minimize repeated code (e.g., duplicate interfaces, process models) while ensuring scalability and maintainability across teams. Let's evaluate each option:

* A. Create a Center of Excellence (CoE): A Center of Excellence is an organizational structure or team focused on standardizing practices, training, and governance across projects. While beneficial for long-term consistency, it doesn't directly address the technical design of minimizing repeated code for processes and forms. It's a strategic initiative, not a design solution, and doesn't solve the immediate need for code reuse. Appian's documentation mentions CoEs for governance but not as a primary design approach, making this less relevant here.

* B. Create a common objects application: This is the best recommendation. In Appian, a "common objects application" (or shared application) is used to store reusable components like expression rules, interfaces, process models, constants, and data types (e.g., CDTs). For a large-scale acquisition application with multiple teams, centralizing shared objects (e.g., rule!CommonForm, pm!CommonProcess) ensures consistency, reduces duplication, and simplifies maintenance. Teams can reference these objects in their applications, adhering to Appian's design best practices for scalability.

This approach minimizes repeated code while allowing team-specific customizations, aligning with Lead Developer standards for large projects.

* C. Create a Scrum of Scrums sprint meeting for the team leads: A Scrum of Scrums meeting is a coordination mechanism for Agile teams, focusing on aligning sprint goals and resolving cross-team dependencies. While useful for collaboration, it doesn't address the technical design of minimizing repeated code—it's a process, not a solution for code reuse. Appian's Agile methodologies support such meetings, but they don't directly reduce duplication in processes and forms, making this less applicable.

* D. Create duplicate processes and forms as needed: Duplicating processes and forms (e.g., copying interface!PurchaseForm for each team) leads to redundancy, increased maintenance effort, and potential inconsistencies (e.g., divergent logic). This contradicts the goal of minimizing repeated code and violates Appian's design principles for reusability and efficiency. Appian's documentation strongly discourages duplication, favoring shared objects instead, making this the least effective option.

Conclusion: Creating a common objects application (B) is the recommended design. It centralizes reusable processes, forms, and other components, minimizing code duplication across teams while ensuring consistency and scalability for the large-scale acquisition application. This leverages Appian's application architecture for shared resources, aligning with Lead Developer best practices for multi-team projects.

References:

- * Appian Documentation: "Designing Large-Scale Applications" (Common Application for Reusable Objects).
- * Appian Lead Developer Certification: Application Design Module (Minimizing Code Duplication).
- * Appian Best Practices: "Managing Multi-Team Development" (Shared Objects Strategy).

To build a large scale acquisition application for a prominent customer, you should design for multiple processes and forms, while minimizing repeated code. One way to do this is to create a common objects application, which is a shared application that contains reusable components, such as rules, constants, interfaces, integrations, or data types, that can be used by multiple applications. This way, you can avoid duplication and inconsistency of code, and make it easier to maintain and update your applications. You can also use the common objects application to define common standards and best practices for your application development teams, such as naming conventions, coding styles, or documentation guidelines. Verified References: [Appian Best Practices], [Appian Design Guidance]

問題 #39

You are designing a process that is anticipated to be executed multiple times a day. This process retrieves data from an external system and then calls various utility processes as needed. The main process will not use the results of the utility processes, and there are no user forms anywhere.

Which design choice should be used to start the utility processes and minimize the load on the execution engines?

- A. Use the Start Process Smart Service to start the utility processes.
- B. Start the utility processes via a subprocess synchronously.
- **C. Start the utility processes via a subprocess asynchronously.**
- D. Use Process Messaging to start the utility process.

答案： C

解題說明：

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

As an Appian Lead Developer, designing a process that executes frequently (multiple times a day) and calls utility processes without using their results requires optimizing performance and minimizing load on Appian's execution engines. The absence of user forms indicates a backend process, so user experience isn't a concern—only engine efficiency matters. Let's evaluate each option:

A . Use the Start Process Smart Service to start the utility processes:

The Start Process Smart Service launches a new process instance independently, creating a separate process in the Work Queue. While functional, it increases engine load because each utility process runs as a distinct instance, consuming engine resources and potentially clogging the Java Work Queue, especially with frequent executions. Appian's performance guidelines discourage unnecessary separate process instances for utility tasks, favoring integrated subprocesses, making this less optimal.

B . Start the utility processes via a subprocess synchronously:

Synchronous subprocesses (e.g., `startProcess` with `isAsync: false`) execute within the main process flow, blocking until completion. For utility processes not used by the main process, this creates unnecessary delays, increasing execution time and engine load. With frequent daily executions, synchronous subprocesses could strain engines, especially if utility processes are slow or numerous. Appian's documentation recommends asynchronous execution for non-dependent, non-blocking tasks, ruling this out.

C . Use Process Messaging to start the utility process:

Process Messaging (e.g., `sendMessage()` in Appian) is used for inter-process communication, not for starting processes. It's designed to pass data between running processes, not initiate new ones. Attempting to use it for starting utility processes would require additional setup (e.g., a listening process) and isn't a standard or efficient method. Appian's messaging features are for coordination, not process initiation, making this inappropriate.

D . Start the utility processes via a subprocess asynchronously:

This is the best choice. Asynchronous subprocesses (e.g., `startProcess` with `isAsync: true`) execute independently of the main process, offloading work to the engine without blocking or delaying the parent process. Since the main process doesn't use the utility process results and there are no user forms, asynchronous execution minimizes engine load by distributing tasks across time, reducing Work Queue pressure during frequent executions. Appian's performance best practices recommend asynchronous subprocesses for non-dependent, utility tasks to optimize engine utilization, making this ideal for minimizing load.

Conclusion: Starting the utility processes via a subprocess asynchronously (D) minimizes engine load by allowing independent execution without blocking the main process, aligning with Appian's performance optimization strategies for frequent, backend processes.

Reference:

Appian Documentation: "Process Model Performance" (Synchronous vs. Asynchronous Subprocesses).

Appian Lead Developer Certification: Process Design Module (Optimizing Engine Load).

Appian Best Practices: "Designing Efficient Utility Processes" (Asynchronous Execution).

問題 #40

You are in a backlog refinement meeting with the development team and the product owner. You review a story for an integration involving a third-party system. A payload will be sent from the Appian system through the integration to the third-party system. The

story is 21 points on a Fibonacci scale and requires development from your Appian team as well as technical resources from the third-party system. This item is crucial to your project's success. What are the two recommended steps to ensure this story can be developed effectively?

- A. Acquire testing steps from QA resources.
- B. Identify subject matter experts (SMEs) to perform user acceptance testing (UAT).
- C. Break down the item into smaller stories.
- D. Maintain a communication schedule with the third-party resources.

答案: C,D

解題說明:

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation: This question involves a complex integration story rated at 21 points on the Fibonacci scale, indicating significant complexity and effort. Appian Lead Developer best practices emphasize effective collaboration, risk mitigation, and manageable development scopes for such scenarios. The two most critical steps are:

* Option C (Maintain a communication schedule with the third-party resources): Integrations with third-party systems require close coordination, as Appian developers depend on external teams for endpoint specifications, payload formats, authentication details, and testing support. Establishing a regular communication schedule ensures alignment on requirements, timelines, and issue resolution. Appian's Integration Best Practices documentation highlights the importance of proactive communication with external stakeholders to prevent delays and misunderstandings, especially for critical project components.

* Option D (Break down the item into smaller stories): A 21-point story is considered large by Agile standards (Fibonacci scale typically flags anything above 13 as complex). Appian's Agile Development Guide recommends decomposing large stories into smaller, independently deliverable pieces to reduce risk, improve testability, and enable iterative progress. For example, the integration could be split into tasks like designing the payload structure, building the integration object, and testing the connection—each manageable within a sprint. This approach aligns with the principle of delivering value incrementally while maintaining quality.

* Option A (Acquire testing steps from QA resources): While QA involvement is valuable, this step is more relevant during the testing phase rather than backlog refinement or development preparation. It's not a primary step for ensuring effective development of the story.

* Option B (Identify SMEs for UAT): User acceptance testing occurs after development, during the validation phase. Identifying SMEs is important but not a key step in ensuring the story is developed effectively during the refinement and coding stages. By choosing C and D, you address both the external dependency (third-party coordination) and internal complexity (story size), ensuring a smoother development process for this critical integration.

References: Appian Lead Developer Training - Integration Best Practices, Appian Agile Development Guide - Story Refinement and Decomposition.

問題 #41

You are reviewing the Engine Performance Logs in Production for a single application that has been live for six months. This application experiences concurrent user activity and has a fairly sustained load during business hours. The client has reported performance issues with the application during business hours.

During your investigation, you notice a high Work Queue - Java Work Queue Size value in the logs. You also notice unattended process activities, including timer events and sending notification emails, are taking far longer to execute than normal.

The client increased the number of CPU cores prior to the application going live.

What is the next recommendation?

- A. Add more application servers.
- B. Add more engine replicas.
- C. Add execution and analytics shards
- D. Optimize slow-performing user interfaces.

答案: B

解題說明:

As an Appian Lead Developer, analyzing Engine Performance Logs to address performance issues in a Production application requires understanding Appian's architecture and the specific metrics described. The scenario indicates a high "Work Queue - Java Work Queue Size," which reflects a backlog of tasks in the Java Work Queue (managed by Appian engines), and delays in unattended process activities (e.g., timer events, email notifications). These symptoms suggest the Appian engines are overloaded, despite the client increasing CPU cores. Let's evaluate each option:

A. Add more engine replicas:

This is the correct recommendation. In Appian, engine replicas (part of the Appian Engine cluster) handle process execution, including unattended tasks like timers and notifications. A high Java Work Queue Size indicates the engines are overwhelmed by

concurrent activity during business hours, causing delays. Adding more engine replicas distributes the workload, reducing queue size and improving performance for both user-driven and unattended tasks. Appian's documentation recommends scaling engine replicas to handle sustained loads, especially in Production with high concurrency. Since CPU cores were already increased (likely on application servers), the bottleneck is likely the engine capacity, not the servers.

B . Optimize slow-performing user interfaces:

While optimizing user interfaces (e.g., SAIL forms, reports) can improve user experience, the scenario highlights delays in unattended activities (timers, emails), not UI performance. The Java Work Queue Size issue points to engine-level processing, not UI rendering, so this doesn't address the root cause. Appian's performance tuning guidelines prioritize engine scaling for queue-related issues, making this a secondary concern.

C . Add more application servers:

Application servers handle web traffic (e.g., SAIL interfaces, API calls), not process execution or unattended tasks managed by engines. Increasing application servers would help with UI concurrency but wouldn't reduce the Java Work Queue Size or speed up timer/email processing, as these are engine responsibilities. Since the client already increased CPU cores (likely on application servers), this is redundant and unrelated to the issue.

D . Add execution and analytics shards:

Execution shards (for process data) and analytics shards (for reporting) are part of Appian's data fabric for scalability, but they don't directly address engine workload or Java Work Queue Size. Shards optimize data storage and query performance, not real-time process execution. The logs indicate an engine bottleneck, not a data storage issue, so this isn't relevant. Appian's documentation confirms shards are for long-term scaling, not immediate performance fixes.

Conclusion: Adding more engine replicas (A) is the next recommendation. It directly resolves the high Java Work Queue Size and delays in unattended tasks, aligning with Appian's architecture for handling concurrent loads in Production. This requires collaboration with system administrators to configure additional replicas in the Appian cluster.

Reference:

Appian Documentation: "Engine Performance Monitoring" (Java Work Queue and Scaling Replicas).

Appian Lead Developer Certification: Performance Optimization Module (Engine Scaling Strategies).

Appian Best Practices: "Managing Production Performance" (Work Queue Analysis).

問題 #42

Users must be able to navigate throughout the application while maintaining complete visibility in the application structure and easily navigate to previous locations. Which Appian Interface Pattern would you recommend?

- A. Use Billboards as Cards pattern on the homepage to prominently display application choices.
- B. Implement a Drilldown Report pattern to show detailed information about report data.
- C. Implement an Activity History pattern to track an organization's activity measures.
- **D. Include a Breadcrumbs pattern on applicable interfaces to show the organizational hierarchy.**

答案： D

解題說明：

Comprehensive and Detailed In-Depth Explanation:

The requirement emphasizes navigation with complete visibility of the application structure and the ability to return to previous locations easily. The Breadcrumbs pattern is specifically designed to meet this need. According to Appian's design best practices, the Breadcrumbs pattern provides a visual trail of the user's navigation path, showing the hierarchy of pages or sections within the application. This allows users to understand their current location relative to the overall structure and quickly navigate back to previous levels by clicking on the breadcrumb links.

Option A (Billboards as Cards): This pattern is useful for presenting high-level options or choices on a homepage in a visually appealing way. However, it does not address navigation visibility or the ability to return to previous locations, making it irrelevant to the requirement.

Option B (Activity History): This pattern tracks and displays a log of activities or actions within the application, typically for auditing or monitoring purposes. It does not enhance navigation or provide visibility into the application structure.

Option C (Drilldown Report): This pattern allows users to explore detailed data within reports by drilling into specific records. While it supports navigation within data, it is not designed for general application navigation or maintaining structural visibility.

Option D (Breadcrumbs): This is the correct choice as it directly aligns with the requirement. Per Appian's Interface Patterns documentation, Breadcrumbs improve usability by showing a hierarchical path (e.g., Home > Section > Subsection) and enabling backtracking, fulfilling both visibility and navigation needs.

問題 #43

.....

最新ACD301考題: https://www.kaoguti.com/ACD301_exam-pdf.html

- P.S. KaoGuTi在Google Drive上分享了免費的、最新的ACD301考試題庫：https://drive.google.com/open?id=1ft-oR-J0dzQVS8s2t_V_eJ_QxhgXU2Fb