

# NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号 & NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書

```
NGFW # get sys ha status
HA Health Status: ok
Model: FortiGate0VM64
Mode: HAA-P
Group: 0
Debug: 0
Cluster Uptime: 0 days 01:07:35
Master selected using:
<2017/04/24 09:43:44> FGVM010000077649 is selected as the master because it has the largest value of override pr
<2017/04/24 08:50:53> FGVM010000077 is selected as the master because it's the only member in the cluster.
ses_pickup: disable
override: enable
Configuration Status:
FGVM010000077649(updated 1 seconds ago): in-sync
FGVM010000077650(updated 0 seconds ago): out-of-sync
System Usage stats:
FGVM010000077649(updated 1 seconds ago):
sessions=30, average-cpu-user/nice/system/idle=0%/0%/0%/100%, memory-60%
FGVM010000077650(updated 0 seconds ago):
sessions=2, average-cpu-user/nice/system/idle=0%/0%/0%/100%, memory-61%
HBDEV stats:
FGVM010000077649(updated 1 seconds ago):
port7: physical/10000full, up, rx-bytes/packets/dropped/errors=7358367/17029/25/0, tx=7721830/17182/0/0
FGVM010000077650(updated 0 seconds ago):
port7: physical/10000full, up, rx-bytes/packets/dropped/errors=7793722/17190/0/0, tx=8940374/20806/0/0
Master: NGFW , FGVM010000077649
Slave : NGFW-2 , FGVM010000077650
number of vcluster: 1
vcluster 1: work 169.254.0.2
Master:0 FGVM010000077649
Slave :1 FGVM010000077650
```

あなたのキャリアでいくつかの輝かしい業績を行うことを望まないのですか。きっとそれを望んでいるでしょう。では、常に自分自身をアップグレードする必要があります。では、IT業種で仕事しているあなたはどうかやって自分のレベルを高めるべきですか。実は、NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6認定試験を受験して認証資格を取るの是一个非常の良い方法です。Fortinetの認定試験のNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6資格は非常に大切なものですから、Fortinetの試験を受ける人もますます多くなっています。

成功することが大変難しいと思っていますか。IT認定試験に合格するのは難しいと思いますか。今FortinetのNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6認定試験のためにため息をつくのでしょうか。実際にはそれは全く不要です。IT認定試験はあなたの思い通りに神秘的なものではありません。我々は適当なツールを使用して成功することができます。適切なツールを選択する限り、成功することは正に朝飯前のことです。どんなツールが最高なのかを知りたいですか。いま教えてあげます。JpexamのNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6問題集が最高のツールです。この問題集には試験の優秀な過去問が集められ、しかも最新のシラバスに従って出題される可能性がある新しい問題も追加しました。これはあなたが一回で試験に合格することを保証できる問題集です。

>> NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号 <<

## NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書 & NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6勉強方法

Jpexamは当面最新のFortinetのNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6の認証試験の準備問題を提供している認証された候補者のリーダーです。弊社の資源はずっと改訂され、アップデートされていますから、緊密な相関関係があります。FortinetのNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6の認証試験を準備しているあなたは、自分がトレーニングを選んで、しかも次の問題を受かったほうがいいです。弊社の試験問題はほとんど毎月で一回アップデートしますから、あなたは市場で一番新鮮な、しかも依頼できる良い資源を得ることができることを保証いたします。

## Fortinet NSE 7 - Security Operations 7.6 Architect 認定 NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6 試験問題 (Q43-Q48):

### 質問 # 43

Refer to the exhibits.

You configured a spearphishing event handler and the associated rule. However, FortiAnalyzer did not generate an event.

When you check the FortiAnalyzer log viewer, you confirm that FortiSandbox forwarded the appropriate logs, as shown in the raw log exhibit.

What configuration must you change on FortiAnalyzer in order for FortiAnalyzer to generate an event?

- A. In the Log Type field, change the selection to AntiVirus Log(malware).
- B. In the Log Filter by Text field, type the value: .5 ub t ype ma lwa re..

- C. Configure a FortiSandbox data selector and add it to the event handler.
- D. Change trigger condition by selecting. Within a group, the log field Malware Name (mname) has 2 or more unique values.

正解: C

解説:

\* Understanding the Event Handler Configuration:

\* The event handler is set up to detect specific security incidents, such as spearphishing, based on logs forwarded from other Fortinet products like FortiSandbox.

\* An event handler includes rules that define the conditions under which an event should be triggered.

\* Analyzing the Current Configuration:

\* The current event handler is named "Spearphishing handler" with a rule titled "Spearphishing Rule 1".

\* The log viewer shows that logs are being forwarded by FortiSandbox but no events are generated by FortiAnalyzer.

\* Key Components of Event Handling:

\* Log Type: Determines which type of logs will trigger the event handler.

\* Data Selector: Specifies the criteria that logs must meet to trigger an event.

\* Automation Stitch: Optional actions that can be triggered when an event occurs.

\* Notifications: Defines how alerts are communicated when an event is detected.

\* Issue Identification:

\* Since FortiSandbox logs are correctly forwarded but no event is generated, the issue likely lies in the data selector configuration or log type matching.

\* The data selector must be configured to include logs forwarded by FortiSandbox.

\* Solution:

\* B. Configure a FortiSandbox data selector and add it to the event handler:

\* By configuring a data selector specifically for FortiSandbox logs and adding it to the event handler, FortiAnalyzer can accurately identify and trigger events based on the forwarded logs.

\* Steps to Implement the Solution:

\* Step 1: Go to the Event Handler settings in FortiAnalyzer.

\* Step 2: Add a new data selector that includes criteria matching the logs forwarded by FortiSandbox (e.g., log subtype, malware detection details).

\* Step 3: Link this data selector to the existing spearphishing event handler.

\* Step 4: Save the configuration and test to ensure events are now being generated.

\* Conclusion:

\* The correct configuration of a FortiSandbox data selector within the event handler ensures that FortiAnalyzer can generate events based on relevant logs.

Fortinet Documentation on Event Handlers and Data Selectors FortiAnalyzer Event Handlers Fortinet Knowledge Base for Configuring Data Selectors FortiAnalyzer Data Selectors By configuring a FortiSandbox data selector and adding it to the event handler, FortiAnalyzer will be able to accurately generate events based on the appropriate logs.

#### 質問 # 44

Review the incident report:

Packet captures show a host maintaining periodic TLS sessions that imitate normal HTTPS traffic but run on TCP 8443 to a single external host. An analyst flags the traffic as potential command-and-control. During the same period, the host issues frequent DNS queries with oversized TXT payloads to an attacker-controlled domain, transferring staged files.

Which two MITRE ATT&CK techniques best describe this activity? (Choose two answers)

- A. Exfiltration Over Alternative Protocol
- B. Exploitation of Remote Services
- C. Hide Artifacts
- D. Non-Standard Port

正解: A、D

解説:

Comprehensive and Detailed Explanation From FortiSOAR 7.6., FortiSIEM 7.3 Exact Extract study guide:

In accordance with the MITRE ATT&CK mapping utilized by FortiSIEM 7.3 and FortiSOAR 7.6, the described behaviors correspond to the following techniques:

\* Non-Standard Port (T1571): This technique involves adversaries communicating using a protocol and port pairing that are typically not associated. The incident report identifies HTTPS (TLS) traffic running on TCP 8443 rather than the standard port 443. FortiSIEM specifically includes built-in correlation rules, such as "Suspicious Typical Malware Back Connect Ports," designed

to detect these protocol-port mismatches.

\* Exfiltration Over Alternative Protocol (T1048): This technique describes adversaries stealing data by exfiltrating it over a different protocol than the primary command and control (C2) channel. In this scenario, while the C2 channel is established via HTTPS on port 8443, the adversary is transferring staged files using DNS queries with oversized TXT payloads. DNS is a common "alternative protocol" used to bypass standard data transfer monitoring and egress filtering.

Analysis of Incorrect Options:

\* Exploitation of Remote Services (B): This technique falls under Initial Access or Lateral Movement tactics, focusing on gaining entry into a system via vulnerabilities in network services like SMB or RDP. It does not apply to the maintenance of an established C2 channel or the exfiltration of data.

\* Hide Artifacts (D): This is a Defense Evasion technique where an adversary attempts to conceal their presence by removing traces such as log files or registry keys. While the attacker is "initiating normal traffic," the specific acts of using a non-standard port and DNS exfiltration are primary behavioral signatures defined by their own more specific techniques.

#### 質問 # 45

Review the incident report:

An attacker identified employee names, roles, and email patterns from public press releases, which were then used to craft tailored emails.

The emails were directed to recipients to review an attached agenda using a link hosted off the corporate domain.

Which two MITRE ATT&CK tactics best fit this report? (Choose two answers)

- A. Discovery
- B. Defense Evasion
- C. Initial Access
- D. Reconnaissance

正解: C、D

解説:

Comprehensive and Detailed Explanation From FortiSOAR 7.6., FortiSIEM 7.3 Exact Extract study guide:

Based on the official documentation for FortiSIEM 7.3 (which utilizes the MITRE ATT&CK mapping for incident correlation) and FortiSOAR 7.6 (which uses these tactics for incident classification and playbook triggering):

\* Reconnaissance (Tactic TA0043): This tactic consists of techniques that involve adversaries actively or passively gathering information that can be used to support targeting. In this scenario, the attacker identifies "employee names, roles, and email patterns from public press releases." This is categorized under Gather Victim Org Information (T1591) and Search Open Technical Databases (T1596). Since this activity happens prior to the compromise and involves gathering intelligence, it is strictly Reconnaissance.

\* Initial Access (Tactic TA0001): This tactic covers techniques that use various entry vectors to gain an initial foothold within a network. The act of sending "tailored emails... to recipients to review an attached agenda using a link" is the definition of Phishing: Spearphishing Link (T1566.002). This is the specific delivery mechanism used to gain the initial entry.

Why other options are incorrect:

\* Discovery (B): This tactic involves techniques an adversary uses to gain knowledge about the internal network after they have already gained access. Since the attacker is looking at public press releases, they are operating outside the perimeter.

\* Defense Evasion (D): This tactic consists of techniques that adversaries use to avoid detection throughout their compromise. While using an external link might bypass some basic reputation filters, the primary goal described in the report is the act of establishing contact and access, which is the core of the Initial Access tactic.

#### 質問 # 46

Refer to the exhibits.

The Malicious File Detect playbook is configured to create an incident when an event handler generates a malicious file detection event.

Why did the Malicious File Detect playbook execution fail?

- A. The Attach\_Data\_To\_Incident incident task was expecting an integer, but received an incorrect data format.
- B. The Create Incident task was expecting a name or number as input, but received an incorrect data format
- C. The Attach Data To Incident task failed, which stopped the playbook execution.
- D. The Get Events task did not retrieve any event data.

正解: B

解説:

- \* Understanding the Playbook Configuration:
  - \* The "Malicious File Detect" playbook is designed to create an incident when a malicious file detection event is triggered.
  - \* The playbook includes tasks such as Attach\_Data\_To\_Incident, Create Incident, and Get Events.
- \* Analyzing the Playbook Execution:
  - \* The exhibit shows that the Create Incident task has failed, and the Attach\_Data\_To\_Incident task has also failed.
  - \* The Get Events task succeeded, indicating that it was able to retrieve event data.
- \* Reviewing Raw Logs:
  - \* The raw logs indicate an error related to parsing input in the incident\_operator.py file.
  - \* The error traceback suggests that the task was expecting a specific input format (likely a name or number) but received an incorrect data format.
- \* Identifying the Source of the Failure:
  - \* The Create Incident task failure is the root cause since it did not proceed correctly due to incorrect input format.
  - \* The Attach\_Data\_To\_Incident task subsequently failed because it depends on the successful creation of an incident.
- \* Conclusion:
  - \* The primary reason for the playbook execution failure is that the Create Incident task received an incorrect data format, which was not a name or number as expected.

References:  
 Fortinet Documentation on Playbook and Task Configuration.  
 Error handling and debugging practices in playbook execution.

#### 質問 # 47

Which three are threat hunting activities? (Choose three answers)

- A. Automate workflows.
- B. Generate a hypothesis.
- C. Enrich records with threat intelligence.
- D. Perform packet analysis.
- E. Tune correlation rules.

正解: B、C、D

解説:

Comprehensive and Detailed Explanation From FortiSOAR 7.6., FortiSIEM 7.3 Exact Extract study guide:

According to the specialized threat hunting modules and frameworks within FortiSOAR 7.6 and the advanced analytics capabilities of FortiSIEM 7.3, threat hunting is defined as a proactive, human-led search for threats that have bypassed automated security controls. The three selected activities are core components of this lifecycle:

\* Generate a hypothesis (C): This is the fundamental starting point of a "Structured Hunt." Analysts develop a testable theory-based on recent threat intelligence (such as a new TTP identified by FortiGuard) or environmental risk—about how an attacker might be operating undetected in the network.

\* Enrich records with threat intelligence (A): During the investigation phase, hunters use the Threat Intelligence Management (TIM) module in FortiSOAR to enrich technical data (IPs, hashes, URLs) with external context. This helps determine if an anomaly discovered during the hunt is indeed malicious or part of a known campaign.

\* Perform packet analysis (D): Since advanced threats often live in the "gaps" between log files, hunters frequently perform deep-packet or network-flow analysis using FortiSIEM's query tools or integrated NDR (Network Detection and Response) data to identify suspicious lateral movement or C2 (Command and Control) communication patterns that standard alerts might miss.

Why other options are excluded:

\* Automate workflows (B): While SOAR is designed for automation, the act of "automating" is a DevOps or SOC engineering task. Threat hunting itself is a proactive investigation; while playbooks can assist a hunter (e.g., by automating the data gathering), the act of hunting remains a manual or semi-automated cognitive process.

\* Tune correlation rules (E): Tuning rules is a reactive maintenance task or a "post-hunt" activity. Once a threat hunter finds a new attack pattern, they will then tune SIEM correlation rules to ensure that specific threat is detected automatically in the future. The tuning is the result of the hunt, not the activity of hunting itself.

#### 質問 # 48

.....

ローマは一日に建てられませんでした。多くの人にとって、短い時間でNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験に合格できることは難しいです。しかし、幸いにして、NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6の練習問題の専門会社として、弊社の最も正確な質

問と回答を含むNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験の資料は、NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験対する問題を効果的に解決できます。NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6練習問題をちゃんと覚えると、NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6に合格できます。あなたはNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6練習問題を選べば、試験に合格できますよ！

**NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書:** [https://www.jpexam.com/NSE7\\_SOC\\_AR-7.6\\_exam.html](https://www.jpexam.com/NSE7_SOC_AR-7.6_exam.html)

Jpexamは全ての受かるべきNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験を含めていますから、Jpexamを利用したら、あなたは試験に合格することができるようになります。また、NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6認定ガイドでは、最新の科学技術を使用して、権威ある研究材料ネットワーク学習の新しい要件を満たしています。Fortinet NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号しかし、神様はずっと私を向上させることを要求します、だから、あなたは私達と我々のNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6練習問題を信頼できます、Fortinet NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号 仕事に忙しいですから、試験の準備をする時間が足りないでしょう、そうしたら、我が社JpexamNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書のNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書 - Fortinet NSE7 - Security Operations 7.6 Architect問題集をご覧ください。

購入の日から一年以内に更新サービスを無料で提供して、我々社のシステムはメールで更新しているNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験勉強資料をタイムリーに送信します、うさぎはそう言うジャケットのポケットからケータイを取り出した。

## NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験の準備方法 | ユニークなNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号試験 | 検証するFortinet NSE 7 - Security Operations 7.6 Architect参考書

Jpexamは全ての受かるべきNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験を含めていますから、Jpexamを利用したら、あなたは試験に合格することができるようになります。また、NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6認定ガイドでは、最新の科学技術を使用して、権威ある研究材料ネットワーク学習の新しい要件を満たしています。

しかし、神様はずっと私を向上させることを要求します、だから、あなたは私達と我々のNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6練習問題を信頼できます、仕事に忙しいですから、試験の準備をする時間が足りないでしょう。

- 実際のNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号 - 合格スムーズNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書 | 認定するNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6勉強方法 ☞ [www.passtest.jp](http://www.passtest.jp) ☞☞☞から簡単に▶ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6 ◀を無料でダウンロードできますNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6最新受験攻略
- NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6日本語 ☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6最新資料 ☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6日本語 ☞ [[www.goshiken.com](http://www.goshiken.com)] サイトにて最新☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6 ☞問題集をダウンロードNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6再テスト
- 認定する-信頼的なNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号試験-試験の準備方法NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書 ☞☞☞[www.passtest.jp](http://www.passtest.jp) ☞☞☞から簡単に【NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6】を無料でダウンロードできますNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験復習
- NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6関連受験参考書 ☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6関連受験参考書 ☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6再テスト ☞☞☞[www.goshiken.com](http://www.goshiken.com) ☞の無料ダウンロード☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6 ☞ページが開きますNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6最新受験攻略
- 実際のNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号 - 合格スムーズNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書 | 認定するNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6勉強方法 ☞ 今すぐ☞ [www.jpexam.com](http://www.jpexam.com) ☞☞☞▶ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6 ◀を検索し、無料でダウンロードしてくださいNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6関連受験参考書
- Fortinet NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号: Fortinet NSE 7 - Security Operations 7.6 Architect - GoShiken 合格のを助ける ☞☞☞[www.goshiken.com](http://www.goshiken.com) ☞☞☞NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6 ☞を検索し、無料でダウンロードしてくださいNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6受験資格
- Fortinet NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号: Fortinet NSE 7 - Security Operations 7.6 Architect - [www.japancert.com](http://www.japancert.com) 合格のを助ける ☞☞☞「[www.japancert.com](http://www.japancert.com)」を開き、【NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6】を入力して、無料でダウンロードしてくださいNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書内容
- NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6日本語 ☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6日本語参考 ☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書内容 ☞☞☞[www.goshiken.com](http://www.goshiken.com) ☞☞☞には無料の☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6 ☞問題集がありますNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6関連受験参考書
- NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6再テスト ☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6模擬体験 ☞ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6対応内容 ☞☞☞《NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6》の試験問題は☞ [www.japancert.com](http://www.japancert.com) ☞☞☞無料配信中NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6関連受験参考書
- NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号がFortinet NSE 7 - Security Operations 7.6 Architectに合格するのを支援しましょう ☞☞☞NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6 ☞の試験問題は{ [www.goshiken.com](http://www.goshiken.com) }で無料配信中NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6模擬試験サンプル
- 認定する-信頼的なNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6試験番号試験-試験の準備方法NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6参考書 ☞☞☞[www.mogexam.com](http://www.mogexam.com) ☞☞☞から簡単に▶ NSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6 ◀を無料でダウンロードできますNSE7\_SOC\_AR-7.6資格トレーニング

- [illegible]