

# Reliable SecOps-Pro Braindumps Ebook, Dumps SecOps-Pro Vce



If you are finding a study material to prepare your exam, our material will end your search. Our SecOps-Pro exam torrent has a high quality that you can't expect. I think our SecOps-Pro prep torrent will help you save much time, and you will have more free time to do what you like to do. I can guarantee that you will have no regrets about using our SecOps-Pro Test Braindumps. When the time for action arrives, stop thinking and go in, try our SecOps-Pro exam torrent, you will find our products will be a very good choice for you to pass your SecOps-Pro exam and get your certificate in a short time.

Just like the old saying goes: "Practice is the only standard to testify truth", which means learning of theory ultimately serves practical application, in the same way, it is a matter of common sense that the pass rate of a kind of SecOps-Pro exam torrent is the only standard to testify whether it is effective and useful. I believe that you already have a general idea about the advantages of our Palo Alto Networks Security Operations Professional exam question, but now I would like to show you the greatest strength of our SecOps-Pro Guide Torrent --the highest pass rate. According to the statistics, the pass rate among our customers who prepared the exam under the guidance of our SecOps-Pro guide torrent has reached as high as 98% to 100% with only practicing our SecOps-Pro exam torrent for 20 to 30 hours.

>> **Reliable SecOps-Pro Braindumps Ebook** <<

## Top Reliable SecOps-Pro Braindumps Ebook - Pass SecOps-Pro in One Time - Excellent Dumps SecOps-Pro Vce

Take advantage of the DumpsQuestion's Palo Alto Networks training materials to prepare for the exam, let me feel that the exam has never so easy to pass. This is someone who passed the examination said to us. With DumpsQuestion Palo Alto Networks SecOps-Pro Exam Certification training, you can sort out your messy thoughts, and no longer twitchy for the exam. DumpsQuestion have some questions and answers provided free of charge as a trial. If I just said, you may not believe that. But as long as you use the trial version, you will believe what I say. You will know the effect of this exam materials.

## Palo Alto Networks Security Operations Professional Sample Questions (Q114-Q119):

### NEW QUESTION # 114

Consider a scenario where a global enterprise utilizes Cortex XDR to protect endpoints across various geographically dispersed regions, each with its own local network infrastructure and varying internet connectivity quality. The security team observes that agents in certain remote offices frequently report as 'Disconnected' or 'Stale' in the Cortex XDR console, leading to gaps in visibility and protection. What combination of Cortex XDR agent management and network configuration strategies would be most effective in mitigating these connectivity issues and ensuring consistent agent health and communication, without significant local infrastructure upgrades?

- A. Distribute a 'proxy.pac' file via GPO/MDM in remote offices, directing agent traffic through a centralized, high-bandwidth proxy server in the corporate data center. Also, disable 'Content Updates' for agents in these regions.
- B. Increase the 'Agent Heartbeat Interval' in the security policy to reduce network traffic, and configure local DNS servers in remote offices to prioritize resolution of cortex XDR cloud URLs.
- C. Implement QOS (Quality of Service) policies on local network routers in remote offices to prioritize Cortex XDR agent traffic over other applications, and instruct users to restart their agents daily.
- **D. Deploy a Cortex XDR Broker in each remote office that experiences connectivity issues, and configure agents in those offices to communicate with their local Broker instead of directly with the cloud.**
- E. Enable 'Self-Healing' for agents in the security policy to automatically restart services if connectivity is lost, and implement a dedicated VPN tunnel from each remote office directly to the Cortex XDR cloud.

**Answer: D**

Explanation:

The problem describes agents going 'Disconnected' or 'Stale' due to varying internet connectivity in remote offices, implying network challenges rather than agent misconfiguration. B: Deploy Cortex XDR Broker locally: This is the most effective solution. A Cortex XDR Broker deployed within the remote office network acts as a local proxy and communication hub for agents. Agents communicate over the LAN with the Broker, and the Broker then handles the potentially less reliable WAN link to the Cortex XDR cloud. This significantly reduces the individual agents' reliance on direct cloud connectivity, improving stability and reducing 'disconnected' states. It centralizes and optimizes the outbound communication from the remote site. A: Heartbeat Interval and DNS: Increasing heartbeat interval delays detection of issues. DNS optimization helps with initial resolution but doesn't solve persistent connectivity problems over poor links. C: QOS and daily restarts: QOS might help with prioritization but won't solve underlying network instability. Daily agent restarts are impractical and not a solution to root connectivity problems. D: Centralized proxy and content updates: Forcing agents through a distant centralized proxy might aggravate connectivity issues due to increased latency and potential single point of failure if the central link is saturated. Disabling content updates reduces protection effectiveness. E: Self-Healing and VPN: Self-healing helps with agent service issues, not network connectivity. A dedicated VPN to the XDR cloud is not a standard or practical solution; XDR connects over public internet via HTTPS. VPNs are typically for private network access, not direct XDR cloud connectivity, and would require significant infrastructure investment.

### NEW QUESTION # 115

A sophisticated adversary group known for leveraging DNS tunneling for data exfiltration has targeted your organization. Your threat intelligence feed provides specific DNS query patterns (e.g., unusually long subdomain names, specific character sets, high entropy) and a list of resolver IPs they commonly use for exfiltration. Which combination of Palo Alto Networks firewall features, precisely tuned with this threat intelligence, would be most effective in detecting and preventing this advanced exfiltration technique?

- **A. Implement a custom Threat Prevention (IPS) signature using PCRE to detect the long, high-entropy subdomain patterns in DNS queries and apply a Security Profile that utilizes DNS Security's DGA detection.**
- B. Create an Anti-Spyware profile with a custom DNS signature for the resolver IPs and deploy a custom Data Filtering profile to block any DNS queries exceeding a specific length.
- C. Utilize an External Dynamic List (EDL) for the resolver IPs in a Security Policy and configure WildFire to inspect all DNS traffic for suspicious patterns.
- D. Deploy a custom Application Override for DNS tunneling and set up a QOS policy to deprioritize high-volume DNS traffic.
- E. Enable DNS Sinkholing for the resolver IPs and configure a custom URL Filtering profile to block high-entropy domains.

**Answer: A**

Explanation:

This question requires a deep understanding of Palo Alto Networks features and how to combine them effectively against a specific,

advanced threat (DNS tunneling) using precise threat intelligence.

Option B provides the most direct and effective combination:

Custom Threat Prevention (IPS) signature with PCRE: This is crucial for detecting the specific patterns within DNS queries (long subdomain names, specific character sets, high entropy) that indicate tunneling. PCRE allows for highly granular matching against the DNS packet payload, which is where the exfiltrated data or C2 commands reside.

DNS Security's DGA detection (as part of a Security Profile): While DGA typically refers to C2, DNS tunneling often involves dynamically generated domains. Palo Alto's DNS Security service (which includes DGA detection) can identify suspicious DNS queries that deviate from normal patterns, complementing the custom IPS signature by leveraging Palo Alto's advanced analytics. Let's analyze why other options are less optimal for this specific threat:

A (DNS Sinkholing + URL Filtering): Sinkholing is for known malicious domains/IPs, but doesn't detect the tunneling pattern. URL filtering applies to HTTP/HTTPS, not raw DNS queries directly for content analysis.

C (Custom Anti-Spyware DNS signature + Data Filtering): Anti-Spyware DNS signatures are primarily for blocking known malicious domains, not for pattern matching within the query itself. Data Filtering is for sensitive data exiting the network, not for detecting the method of exfiltration (DNS tunneling) by analyzing query structure. Blocking by length is too blunt and prone to false positives.

D (EDL for resolver IPs + WildFire on DNS traffic): EDL is good for blocking known bad IPs, but DNS tunneling can use many resolvers. WildFire typically focuses on file analysis and domain reputation, not deep packet inspection of DNS query structure for tunneling.

E (Custom Application Override + QOS): Application Override is for classifying unknown apps, not detecting malicious content within protocols. QOS deprioritizes traffic; it doesn't prevent or detect the tunneling.

### NEW QUESTION # 116

A Security Operations Center (SOC) analyst is investigating a critical alert in Cortex XDR related to a suspicious PowerShell script execution detected on a Windows endpoint. The alert indicates 'Exploit Attempt - Malicious Script'. Upon initial review, the analyst observes that the script attempted to establish an outbound connection to a known malicious IP address and download a secondary payload. The SOC needs to quickly contain the threat, gather forensic data, and understand the full scope of the attack. Which of the following Cortex XDR elements and actions would be most effective in addressing this incident, considering both detection and response capabilities?

- A. Send a 'File Quarantine' command for the detected PowerShell script and then perform a 'Full Disk Scan' on the affected endpoint to find other potential threats.
- **B. Isolate the endpoint using Host Isolation, then leverage Live Terminal to examine the process tree and retrieve the suspicious script for analysis.**
- C. Review the 'Incidents' dashboard for related alerts and immediately create a new 'Custom Alert' rule based on the observed malicious IP address.
- D. Utilize 'XDR Pro Analytics' to identify similar behaviors across the environment and then trigger an 'Endpoint Response' action to delete the malicious script.
- E. Execute an 'IOC Scan' across all endpoints using the malicious IP address and file hash, and then immediately block the IP address in the network firewall.

**Answer: B**

Explanation:

Option A is the most effective immediate response. Host Isolation prevents further lateral movement and C2 communication. Live Terminal allows for immediate forensic investigation, including inspecting the process tree, viewing script contents, and gathering additional artifacts directly from the compromised host, which is crucial for understanding the attack's scope. While other options have merit, they are either less immediate, more reactive, or lack the combined containment and investigative capabilities for this specific scenario.

### NEW QUESTION # 117

An organization is investigating a targeted attack where threat actors are using custom, polymorphic executables that mutate with each download, making traditional signature-based detection challenging. They have Cortex XDR with WildFire deployed. The security team needs to configure Cortex XDR policies to leverage WildFire's full capabilities for optimal detection and prevention of these highly evasive threats. Which policy configurations are most crucial to achieve this, and why?

- A. Prioritize 'Behavioral Threat Protection' (BTP) by setting its mode to 'Block' and configuring 'Local Analysis' to 'Enabled'. This focuses on observed malicious actions rather than file signatures. WildFire is secondary here.
- B. Ensure that the 'Anti-Malware' module is enabled with 'Signature-based' detection set to 'Block' and 'Cloud-based

Analysis (WildFire)' set to 'Block'. This ensures both local and cloud verdicts are leveraged for prevention.

- C. Configure 'WildFire Submissions' to 'All Files' or 'Executables and Documents' to ensure all relevant unknown files are sent for dynamic analysis. Additionally, set 'Cortex XDR Exploit Prevention' to 'Block' to counter common exploit techniques often used by such malware.
- **D. A combination of**
- E. Enable 'Data Leak Prevention' and 'Host Firewall' rules to prevent the malware from exfiltrating data or establishing C2 communication. WildFire's role is to provide IOCs after the fact for these modules.

**Answer: D**

Explanation:

Option E is the most comprehensive and correct answer, leveraging the full power of Cortex XDR and WildFire against highly evasive, polymorphic threats. 1. WildFire Submissions ('All Files') : Essential for ensuring every unknown executable, script, or document is sent to WildFire for deep dynamic analysis. This directly addresses the polymorphic nature, as WildFire's sandbox will execute and observe each unique variant. 2. Anti-Malware with Cloud Analysis (WildFire) 'Block' : This ensures that once WildFire provides a malicious verdict (even for a new, polymorphic variant), Cortex XDR immediately prevents its execution. This is the direct prevention link to WildFire's analysis. 3. Behavioral Threat Protection ('Block') : Critically important for polymorphic malware. Even if a variant initially evades WildFire's immediate verdict, BTP monitors and blocks malicious behaviors (e.g., privilege escalation, persistence, C2 attempts, encryption) that the malware exhibits post- execution, regardless of its signature. This catches fileless components too. 4. Exploit Prevention ('Block') : Polymorphic malware often relies on exploits for initial access or lateral movement. Blocking common and unknown exploit techniques provides another layer of defense at different stages of the attack chain. Options A, B, C, and D are either incomplete or misrepresent the optimal configuration for this advanced threat scenario.

#### NEW QUESTION # 118

A security analyst observes an alert in Cortex XDR indicating a new executable file, malware. exe, was downloaded by an employee from an unknown website. Despite the file not having a known malicious signature, Cortex XDR's Behavioral Threat Protection triggered a 'Possible Ransomware' alert. Upon investigation, WildFire analysis shows the file exhibits suspicious API calls indicative of file encryption attempts in a sandbox environment. What is the most accurate sequence of events and capabilities that led to this detection and what further actions would be recommended based on WildFire's role?

- A. Cortex XDR's Anti-Malware module failed to detect the file during download. WildFire's cloud-based static analysis then marked it as suspicious, triggering further dynamic analysis in a sandbox. The 'Possible Ransomware' alert is a result of the combined behavioral and WildFire dynamic analysis. The analyst should leverage Cortex XDR's Live Terminal to collect forensic artifacts and investigate the origin of the download.
- B. WildFire performed a real-time inline scan of the file during download, immediately identifying it as malicious and preventing its execution. The 'Possible Ransomware' alert is a post-event notification. The analyst should review WildFire logs for other similar downloads.
- C. The file's hash was checked against WildFire's known good/bad database. Since it was unknown, it was allowed. After execution, Cortex XDR's Exploitation Prevention detected the ransomware behavior. WildFire's analysis provides context for post-incident forensics. The analyst should focus on restoring affected data from backups.
- **D. The file was initially allowed by the firewall. Cortex XDR's Local Analysis Engine identified suspicious characteristics, then submitted it to WildFire for dynamic analysis. WildFire's verdict triggered the 'Possible Ransomware' alert, and the analyst should immediately quarantine the endpoint and isolate network access for the user.**
- E. Cortex XDR's behavioral engine detected the malicious behavior post-execution, leading to the 'Possible Ransomware' alert. WildFire's subsequent analysis confirmed the malicious intent. The recommended action is to deploy a custom block rule for the hash provided by WildFire.

**Answer: D**

Explanation:

Option A accurately describes the typical flow for unknown executables. Cortex XDR's Local Analysis (part of the Multi-Method Prevention) can identify suspicious traits, which triggers submission to WildFire. WildFire performs dynamic analysis in a sandbox, observing behaviors like API calls, and renders a verdict. This verdict, combined with behavioral patterns observed by Cortex XDR (like file encryption attempts), generates the alert. Immediate quarantine and network isolation are critical initial response actions for suspected ransomware.

#### NEW QUESTION # 119

.....

**Dumps SecOps-Pro Vce:** <https://www.dumpsquestion.com/SecOps-Pro-exam-dumps-collection.html>

You can find extremely user friendly platform for Palo Alto Networks exam, This Palo Alto Networks SecOps-Pro updated exam cert is perfectly designed for you to learn technology skills and gain a certificate which is not so easy to get.

Before the purchase, the clients can download and try out our SecOps-Pro learning file freely, But how to prepare SecOps-Pro real test effectively and smoothly trouble most candidates.

First of all, SecOps-Pro exam materials will combine your fragmented time for greater effectiveness, and secondly, you can use the shortest time to pass the exam to get your desired certification.

- [illegible]

myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt, myportal.utt.edu.tt,  
www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, www.stes.tyc.edu.tw, intellect.guru, Disposable vapes